collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Filing Objections and Requests for a Hearing on a Regulation or Order—21 CFR Part 12 (OMB Control Number 0910–0184)—Extension

The regulations in 21 CFR 12.22, issued under section 701(e)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 371(e)(2)), set forth the instructions for filing objections and requests for a hearing on a regulation or order under § 12.20(d) (21 CFR 12.20(d)). Objections and requests must be submitted within the time specified in § 12.20(e). Each objection, for which

a hearing has been requested, must be separately numbered and specify the provision of the regulation or the proposed order. In addition, each objection must include a detailed description and analysis of the factual information and any other document, with some exceptions, supporting the objection. Failure to include this information constitutes a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. FDA uses the description and analysis to determine whether a hearing request is justified. The description and analysis may be used only for the purpose of determining whether a hearing has been justified under § 12.24 (21 CFR 12.24)

and do not limit the evidence that may be presented if a hearing is granted.

Respondents to this information collection are those parties that may be adversely affected by an order or regulation.

In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d), FDA published a 60-day notice for public comment in the **Federal Register** of September 9, 2011 (76 FR 55922), to which the Agency received two comments. However, these comments did not address the information collection.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN 1

21 CFR Section	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
12.22	3	1	3	20	60

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

The burden estimate for this collection of information is based on past filings. Agency personnel responsible for processing the filing of objections and requests for a public hearing on a specific regulation or order estimate approximately three requests are received by the Agency annually, with each requiring approximately 20 hours of preparation time.

Dated: March 12, 2012.

Leslie Kux,

Acting Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2012–6393 Filed 3–15–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration [Docket No. FDA-2011-N-0747]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Waiver of In Vivo Demonstration of Bioequivalence of Animal Drugs in Soluble Powder Oral Dosage Form Products and Type A Medicated Articles

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. **DATES:** Fax written comments on the collection of information by April 16, 2012.

ADDRESSES: To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, FAX: 202–395–7285, or emailed to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910–0575. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Juanmanuel Vilela, Office of Information Management, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50–400B, Rockville, MD 20850, 301– 796–7651,

Juanmanuel.vilela@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

Waiver of In Vivo Demonstration of Bioequivalence of Animal Drugs in Soluble Powder Oral Dosage Form Products and Type A Medicated Articles—21 CFR 514.1(b)(7) and (b)(8) (OMB Control Number 0910–0575)— Extension

The Center for Veterinary Medicine has written this guidance to address a

perceived need for Agency guidance in its work with the animal health industry. This guidance describes the procedures that the Agency recommends for the review of requests for waiver of in vivo demonstration of bioequivalence for generic soluble powder oral dosage form products and Type A medicated articles.

The Generic Animal Drug and Patent Term Registration Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-670) permitted generic drug manufacturers to copy those pioneer drug products that were no longer subject to patent or other marketing exclusivity protection. The approval for marketing these generic products is based, in part, upon a demonstration of bioequivalence between the generic product and pioneer product. This guidance clarifies circumstances under which FDA believes the demonstration of bioequivalence required by the statute does not need to be established on the basis of in vivo studies for soluble powder oral dosage form products and Type A medicated articles. The data submitted in support of the waiver request are necessary to validate the waiver decision. The requirement to establish bioequivalence through in vivo studies (blood level bioequivalence or clinical endpoint bioequivalence) may be waived for soluble powder oral dosage form products or Type A medicated articles in either of two alternative ways. A biowaiver may be granted if it can be shown that the generic soluble powder oral dosage form product or Type A medicated article contains the same active and inactive

ingredient(s) and is produced using the same manufacturing processes as the approved comparator product or article. Alternatively, a biowaiver may be granted without direct comparison to the pioneer product's formulation and manufacturing process if it can be shown that the active pharmaceutical ingredient(s) (API) is the same as the pioneer product, is soluble, and that there are no ingredients in the formulation likely to cause adverse pharmacologic effects. For the purpose of evaluating soluble powder oral dosage form products and Type A medicated articles, solubility can be demonstrated in one of two ways: "USP definition" approach or "Dosage adjusted" approach. The respondents for this collection of information are pharmaceutical companies manufacturing animal drugs. FDA estimates the burden for this collection of information as follows in tables 1 and 2 of this document. The source of the above data is records of generic drug applications over the past 10 years.

In the **Federal Register** of October 24, 2011 (76 FR 65733), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. FDA received one comment, which, however, did not address the questions posed in 60-day

notice regarding the collection of information. The comment supported the bioequivalence program but suggested a revision to the determination of bioequivalence, which relates to the substance of the scientific recommendations in the guidance document. Under FDA's good guidance practices regulations (21 CFR 10.115(f)(4)), the public may suggest at anytime that FDA revise a guidance document and under 21 CFR 10.115(g)(5), FDA will revise guidance documents in response to comments when appropriate.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN FOR WATER SOLUBLE POWDERS 1

	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Same formulation/manufacturing process approach	1 5	1 5	1 5	5 10	5 50
Total burden hours					55

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN FOR TYPE A MEDICATED ARTICLES 1

	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Same formulation/manufacturing process approach	2 10	2 10	2 10	5 20	10 200
Total burden hours					210

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: March 8, 2012.

Leslie Kux.

Acting Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2012–6391 Filed 3–15–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2004-N-0451]

Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997: Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 028

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing a publication containing modifications the Agency is making to the list of standards FDA recognizes for use in premarket reviews (FDA recognized consensus standards). This publication, entitled "Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 028" (Recognition List Number: 028), will assist manufacturers who elect to declare conformity with consensus standards to meet certain requirements for medical devices.

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments concerning this document at any time. See section VII of this document for the effective date of the recognition of standards announced in this document.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of "Modifications to the List of Recognized Standards, Recognition List Number: 028" to the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Silver Spring, MD 20993. Send two self-

addressed adhesive labels to assist that office in processing your requests, or fax your request to 301-847-8149. Submit electronic comments concerning this document to standards@cdrh.fda.gov. Submit written comments concerning this document, or recommendations for additional standards for recognition, to the contact person (see FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT**). This document may also be accessed on FDA's Internet site at http://www.fda.gov/ MedicalDevices/ DeviceRegulationandGuidance/ Standards/ucm123792.htm. See section VI of this document for electronic access to the searchable database for the current list of FDA recognized consensus standards, including Recognition List Number: 028 modifications and other standards related information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott A. Colburn, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire