- additional resources, and the costs imposed;
- —Whether existing assistance is meeting the needs of LEP persons;
- Whether staff know and understand the LEP plan and how to implement it; and
- —Whether identified sources for assistance are still available and viable.

In addition to the five elements above, effective plans set clear goals, management accountability, and opportunities for community input and planning throughout the process.

## VII. Voluntary Compliance Effort

The goal for Title VI and Title VI regulatory enforcement is to achieve voluntary compliance. The requirement to provide meaningful access to LEP persons is enforced and implemented by USDA through its regulations at 7 CFR part 15, Departmental Regulation 4330-2, "Nondiscrimination in Programs and Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance From USDA," and Departmental Manual 4330-1, "Procedures for Processing Discrimination Complaints and Conducting Civil Rights Compliance Reviews in USDA Assisted Programs and Activities." These documents contain USDA requirements and procedures for discrimination complaints processing, complaint investigations, compliance reviews, efforts to secure voluntary compliance, and technical assistance.

USDA will investigate whenever it receives a complaint, report, or other information that alleges or indicates possible noncompliance with Title VI or its regulations. If the investigation results in a finding of compliance, USDA will inform the recipient in writing of this determination, including the basis for the determination. USDA uses voluntary mediation to resolve most complaints. However, if a case is fully investigated and results in a finding of noncompliance, USDA must inform the recipient of the noncompliance through a Letter of Findings that sets out the areas of noncompliance and the steps that must be taken to correct the noncompliance. It must attempt to secure voluntary compliance through informal means, if necessary. If the matter cannot be resolved informally, USDA must secure compliance through the termination of Federal assistance after the USDA recipient has been given an opportunity for an administrative hearing and/or by referring the matter to DOJ to seek injunctive relief or pursue other enforcement proceedings. USDA

engages in voluntary compliance efforts and provides technical assistance to recipients at all stages of an investigation. During these efforts, USDA proposes reasonable timetables for achieving compliance and consults with and assists recipients in exploring cost-effective ways of coming into compliance. In determining a recipient's compliance with the Title VI regulations, USDA's primary concern is to ensure that the recipient's policies and procedures provide meaningful access for LEP persons to the recipient's programs and activities.

While all recipients must work toward building systems that will ensure access for LEP persons, USDA acknowledges that the implementation of a comprehensive system to serve LEP persons is a process and that a system will evolve over time as it is implemented and periodically reevaluated. As recipients take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to federally assisted programs and activities for LEP persons, USDA will look favorably on intermediate steps recipients take that are consistent with this guidance, and that, as part of a broader implementation plan or schedule, move their service delivery system toward providing full access to LEP persons. This does not excuse noncompliance but instead recognizes that full compliance in all areas of a recipient's activities and for all potential language minority groups might reasonably require a series of implementing actions over a period of time. However, in developing any phased implementation schedule, USDA recipients should ensure that the provision of appropriate assistance for significant LEP populations or with respect to programs or activities having a significant impact on important benefits, and services, are addressed first. Recipients are encouraged to document their efforts to provide LEP persons with meaningful access to federally assisted programs and activities.

## VIII. Effect on State and Local Laws

Some State and local laws might identify language access obligations/ requirements. Recipients might meet these obligations, as long as they do not conflict with or set a lower standard than is required under Title VI and Title VI regulations. Finally, as noted above, some recipients operate in a jurisdiction in which English has been declared the official language. Nonetheless, these recipients continue to be subject to Federal non-discrimination requirements, including those applicable to the provision of federally

assisted benefits and services to persons with limited English proficiency.

Dated: January 30, 2012.

Thomas J. Vilsack,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-4377 Filed 3-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-9R-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

## Office of the Secretary

7 CFR Part 20 RIN 0551-AA70

### **Export Sales Reporting Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would add reporting for pork (fresh, chilled, and frozen box/primal cuts) and distillers dried grain (DDG) to the Export Sales Reporting Requirements. Under this proposed rule, all exporters of U.S. pork and DDG would be required to report on a weekly basis, information on the export sales of pork and DDGs to the Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS).

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before May 7, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed rule to Peter W. Burr, Branch Chief, Export Sales Reporting Branch, Import Policies and Export Reporting Division, Office of Trade Programs, Foreign Agricultural Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250-1021, STOP 1021; or by email at Pete.Burr@fas.usda.gov; or by telephone at (202) 720-3274; or fax to (202) 720-0876. Persons with disabilities who require an alternative means for communication of information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's Target Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Peter W. Burr, Branch Chief, Export Sales Reporting Branch, Import Policies and Export Reporting Division, Office of Trade Programs, Foreign Agricultural Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250–1021, STOP 1021; or by email at Pete.Burr@fas.usda.gov; or by telephone on (202) 720–3274; or by fax (202) 720–0876.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

In 1973, Congress mandated an export sales reporting requirement to ensure that all parties involved in the production and export of U.S. grain have access to up-to-date export information. This mandate was the result of huge, unanticipated, Soviet purchases in 1972 of U.S. wheat and corn that produced a sizable run-up in U.S. food prices and depleted U.S. reserve stocks. There was concern that large grain companies had an advantage in this situation because they had more information than the public on future prices and grain trade trends. Prior to the establishment of the export sales reporting system, it was difficult for the public to obtain information on exports until such commodities were actually shipped.

The statutory authority for the Export Sales Reporting Requirements, section 602 of the Agricultural Trade Act of 1978, provides for the reporting of wheat and wheat flour, feed grains, oil seeds, cotton, pork, beef and products thereof, and other commodities that the Secretary of Agriculture (the Secretary) may designate.

This proposed rule would add reporting for pork (fresh, chilled, and frozen box/primal cuts) and DDGs to the Export Sales Reporting Requirements. Under this proposed rule, all exporters of U.S. pork and DDGs would be required to report weekly information with respect to the export sales of pork and DDGs to the Export Sales Reporting Branch, Office of Trade Programs, FAS, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Required reportable information includes the quantity, destination, and marketing year of all pork and DDG export sales, changes in sales, and shipments per parameters identified in Appendix 1. A summary of the "U.S. Export Sales" report is published on FAS' Web site at http:// www.fas.usda.gov/export-sales/ esrd1.html, each Thursday at 8:30 a.m., Eastern Time. This change would not alter the current reporting schedule and would be undertaken using existing staff at no additional cost to the agency.

Adding pork and DDG to the Export Sales Reporting Requirements would provide an early indicator of export sales levels for U.S. pork and DDG, thus improving market transparency and enabling commodity markets to better adjust to changing export activity. This proposed rule would allow for information on the total volume of sales and shipments to be available within 2 weeks of the export sale and shipment, rather than the nearly 2-month delay experienced under the current system operated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, which only reports actual exports.

#### Executive Order 12866

The proposed rule has been determined to be significant under Executive Order 12866 and has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act ensures that regulatory and information requirements are tailored to the size and nature of small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. This proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on small businesses.

## **Executive Order 12372**

Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," requires consultation with state and local officials. The objectives of the Executive Order are to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism, by relying on state and local processes for state and local government coordination and review of proposed federal financial assistance and direct federal development. This rule neither provides federal financial assistance nor direct federal development; it does not provide either grants or cooperative agreements. Therefore this program is not subject to Executive Order 12372.

## **Executive Order 12988**

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988. The provisions of this proposed rule would not have a preemptive effect with respect to any state or local laws, regulations, or policies which conflict with such provision or which otherwise impede their full implementation. The proposed rule would not have a retroactive effect. Before any judicial action may be brought forward regarding this proposed rule, all administrative remedies must be exhausted.

## **Executive Order 13132**

The policies contained in this rule would not have any substantial direct effect on states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Nor would this rule impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments. Therefore, consultation with the states is not required.

### Executive Order 13175

This rule has been reviewed for compliance with Executive Order

13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments." This Executive Order imposes requirements on the development of regulatory policies that have Tribal implications or preempt tribal laws. The policies contained in this rule do not preempt Tribal law.

## **National Environmental Policy Act**

The Administrator has determined that this action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, neither an Environmental Assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is necessary for this proposed rule.

# Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Pub. L. 104–4)

Public Law 104–4 requires consultation with state and local officials and Indian tribal governments. This proposed rule does not impose an unfunded mandate or any other requirement on state, local, or tribal governments. Accordingly, these requirements are not subject to the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.

### **Executive Order 12630**

This Order requires careful evaluation of governmental actions that interfere with constitutionally protected property rights. This proposed rule would not interfere with any property rights and, therefore, does not need to be evaluated on the basis of the criteria outlined in Executive Order 12630.

## **Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Secretary is requesting comments from all interested individuals and organizations on a proposed revision to the currently approved information collection for this program. This revision includes the proposed change in information collection activities related to the regulatory changes in this proposed rule.

## **Comment Date**

Comments on this information collection must be received by May 7, 2012 to be assured of consideration.

## **Additional Information or Comments**

Peter W. Burr, Office of Trade Programs/Import Policies and Export Reporting Division/Export Sales Reporting Branch, FAS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, Stop 1025, SW., Washington, DC 20520–1025; or by email at: esr@fas.usda.gov; or to the Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Title: Export Sales (Reporting Program) of U.S. Agricultural Commodities.

OMB Number: 0551–0007. Expiration Date of Approval: January 31, 2014.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: Section 602 of the Agricultural Trade Act of 1978, as amended, (7 U.S.C. 5712) requires the reporting of information pertaining to contracts for export sale of certain specified agricultural commodities and other commodities that may be designated by the Secretary. The Secretary of Agriculture has the authority to add other commodities to this list. This proposed rule would add reporting for pork and DDGs to the Export Sales Reporting Requirements. Regulations at 7 CFR part 20 implement the reporting requirements, and prescribe a system for reporting information pertaining to contracts for export sales.

USDA's Export Sales Reporting
System was created after the large
unexpected purchase of U.S. wheat and
corn by the Soviet Union in 1972. To
make sure that all parties involved in
the production and export of U.S. grain
have access to up-to-date export
information, the U.S. Congress
mandated an export sales reporting
requirement in 1973. Prior to the
establishment of the Export Sales
Reporting System, it was difficult for the
public to obtain information on export
sales activity until the actual shipments
had taken place.

Estimate of Burden: The average burden, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data needed, completing forms, and record keeping is estimated to be 30 minutes. Respondents: All exporters of wheat and wheat flour, feed grains, oilseeds, cotton, rice, cattle hides and skins, beef, pork, and any products thereof, and other commodities that the Secretary may designate as produced in the United States.

Estimated number of respondents: 360.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 252.37.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 45,427.

Requests for Comments: Send comments regarding (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Persons with disabilities who require an alternative means of communication of information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's Target Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TDD). All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments also will become a matter of public record.

# **E-Government Act Compliance**

FAS is committed to compliance with the E-Government Act, which requires Government agencies, in general, to provide the public the option of submitting information or transacting business electronically to the maximum extent possible.

### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 20

Agricultural commodities, Exports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 20 is proposed to be amended as follows:

# PART 20—EXPORT SALES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The authority citation for part 20 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 5712.

2. Section 20.4 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

## § 20.4 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (c) Commodity. Wheat and wheat flour, feed grains, oilseeds, cotton, rice, cattle hides and skins, beef, pork, and any products thereof, and any other agricultural commodity the Secretary may designate. "Commodity" shall also mean a commodity having identifying characteristics as described in any announcement issued pursuant to § 20.5 such as class(es) of wheat and rice, or staple length(s) of cotton. Mixed wheat shall be considered to be the predominant wheat class of the blend. This definition excludes commodities to be used for seed which have been treated in such a manner that their use is limited to seed for planting purposes or on which a certificate has been issued by a recognized seed testing laboratory setting forth variety, germination, and purity.
- 3. Appendix 1 to part 20 is revised to read as follows:

Appendix 1 to Part 20—Commodities Subject to Reporting, Units of Measure to be Used in Reporting, and Beginning and Ending Dates of Marketing Years

Commodity to be reported	Unit of measure to be used in reporting	Beginning of marketing year	End of mar- keting year
Wheat—Hard red winter	Metric Tons	June 1	May 31. May 31. May 31. May 31. May 31. May 31.
Corn—Unmilled (including waxy, cracked—if 50% whole kernels)  Distillers Dried Grain	Metric Tons  Metric Tons	Sept. 1	Aug. 31. May 31. May 31. Aug. 31. Aug. 31.

Commodity to be reported	Unit of measure to be used in reporting	Beginning of marketing year	End of mar- keting year
Soybean Oil—including: Crude (including degummed), once refined, soybean salad oil (including refined and further processed by bleaching, deodorizing or winterizing), hydro-genated, packaged oil.	Metric Tons	Oct. 1	Sept. 30.
Flaxseed	Metric Tons	June 1	May 31.
Linseed Oil—including raw, boiled	Metric Tons	June 1	May 31.
Cottonseed	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Cottonseed Cake and Meal	Metric Tons	Oct. 1	Sept. 30.
Cottonseed Oil—including crude, once refined, cottonseed salad oil (refined and further processed by bleaching, deodorizing or winterizing), hydrogenated.	Metric Tons	Oct. 1	Sept. 30.
Sunflowerseed Oil—crude, once refined, sunflowerseed salad oil (refined and further processed by bleaching, deodorizing or winterizing), hydrogenated.	Metric Tons	Oct. 1	Sept. 30.
Cotton—American Pima—Raw, extra long staple	Running Bales	Aug. 1	July 31.
Cotton—Upland—Raw, staple length 11/16 inches and over	Running Bales	Aug. 1	July 31.
Cotton—Upland—Raw, staple length 1 inch up to 11/16 inches	Running Bales	Aug. 1	July 31.
Cotton—Upland—Raw, staple length under 1 inch	Running Bales	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Long grain, rough (including parboiled)	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Medium, short and other classes, rough (including parboiled)	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Long grain, brown (including parboiled)	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Medium, short and other classes, brown (including parboiled)	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Long grain, milled (including parboiled)	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Rice—Medium, short and other classes, milled (including parboiled, brewer's rice).	Metric Tons	Aug. 1	July 31.
Cattle Hides and Skins—Whole cattle hides, (excluding wet blues)	Pieces	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle Hides and Skins—Whole calf skins (excluding wet blues)	Pieces	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle Hides and Skins—Whole kip skins, (excluding wet blues)	Pieces	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle Hides and Skins—Cattle, calf, and kip cut into croupons, crops, dossets, sides, butts and butt bend (hide equivalent) (excluding wet blues).	Number	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle Hides and Skins—Cattle, calf and kip, in cuts not otherwise specified; pickled/limed (excluding wet blues).	Pounds	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle, calf and kip, Wet blues—unsplit (whole or sided) hide equivalent	Number	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle, calf and kip, Wet blues—grain splits (whole or sided) hide equivalent	Number	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Cattle, calf and kip, Wet blues—splits, (excluding grain splits)	Pounds	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Beef—fresh, chilled or frozen muscle cuts/whether or not boxed	Metric tons	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
Pork—fresh, chilled or frozen muscle cuts/whether or not boxed	Metric tons	Jan. 1	Dec. 31.
		l .	

Dated: January 10, 2012.

### Suzanne E. Heinen.

Acting Administrator, Foreign Agricultural Service.

[FR Doc. 2012-5486 Filed 3-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-10-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0221; Directorate Identifier 2010-SW-082-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Spectrolab Nightsun XP Searchlight

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for a certain Spectrolab Nightsun XP Searchlight Assembly (searchlight)

installed on, but not limited to, Agusta S.p.A. (Agusta) Model AB139 and Model AW139 helicopters, Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation (Sikorsky) Model S–92A helicopters, and Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH (Eurocopter) Model EC135 and Model MBB-BK 117 C-2 helicopters. This proposed AD would require, before further flight, inserting information into the Normal Procedures section of the Rotorcraft Flight Manual (RFM), a daily check of the searchlight, and at a specified time interval or if you find certain conditions, modifying any affected searchlight gimbal assembly. This proposed AD is prompted by a report of a searchlight vibrating and an investigation that revealed that the gimbal azimuth top nut was loose. A loose nut, if not detected and corrected, could result in a gap between the rubber edging of the top shroud and the gimbal frame, leading to degredation of pointing accuracy and stability performance of the searchlight, and excessive vibration. If the nut were to entirely disengage, the searchlight could disconnect partially or totally from the helicopter, resulting in damage to the

helicopter and injury to persons on the ground.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 7, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Docket: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.
  - Fax: 202-493-2251.
- *Mail*: Send comments to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- Hand Delivery: Deliver to the "Mail" address between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Examining the AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the Docket Operations Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the economic evaluation, any