- physical import or export schedules)? If so, how has the elimination of explicit convergence bidding at intertie locations impacted the occurrence of implicit convergence bidding?
- —Have there been any reliability impacts, price spikes, or price divergence from eliminating explicit convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points?
- —Have there been benefits observed from permitting convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points? What evidence has there been of the benefits?
- —How has convergence bidding been used to hedge congestion on intertie scheduling points?
- —How has convergence bidding been used to hedge delivery risk on intertie scheduling points? What are physical resources losing by not being able to hedge their physical positions using virtual bidding at intertie scheduling points? Please provide examples of any other practices that are impacted by not being able to submit convergence bids at intertie scheduling points.
- —CAISO states that a rule prohibiting offsetting internal and external virtual bids would be "easily undermined by collusive transactions." ⁷ In order to understand the motivation for "collusive transactions," please provide aggregate values that represent the maximum actual monthly profit of a virtual bidder submitting offsetting virtual supply bids at the interties and virtual demand bids at the internal nodes.

Discussion of the Dual Real-Time Market Structure (Scheduling and Pricing Interties in the Hour-Ahead Scheduling Process, and Scheduling and Pricing Internal Nodes in Real-Time Dispatch)

- —Has the hour-ahead scheduling process price been consistently below the day ahead price since April 2009? Has there been a predictable pattern of price difference in certain hours? How has that pattern been affected, if at all, since convergence bidding was allowed?
- —What are the contributing factors to the real-time dispatch price being higher than hour-ahead scheduling process price (i.e., forecasting errors, operator biasing, ramping flexibility procurement, hourly interchange scheduling)? How do these factors impact the ability of convergence bidding to result in price convergence

- on internal nodes and intertie scheduling points?
- -WPTF states that on July 6, 2011, the loss of an external resource contributed to an increased number of market participants declining hourahead scheduling process awarded schedules to import power. WPTF states that, instead of considering whether resources within CAISO could replace the lost energy at costeffective prices, CAISO continued to dispatch increasing quantities of imports, inflating the hour-ahead scheduling process price.8 Is this an accurate representation of the events on July 6, 2011? In general, what impact does the dual real-time market structure have on CAISO's operations and pricing trends? How does scheduling in the hour-ahead scheduling process based on forecasted conditions impact prices and scheduling at the internal nodes in real-time dispatch?
- —What are the disadvantages and advantages of settling imports and exports at the real-time dispatch price?

Discussion of alternative proposals: Please evaluate the alternatives proposed by protestors and discussed by CAISO in its filing as described below, as well as any others, to eliminating convergence bidding indefinitely at intertie scheduling points. Please be prepared to discuss whether these alternatives could be implemented and how the alternatives will address the costs identified by CAISO that are attributed to convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points.

- Prohibit offsetting internal and external virtual bids.
- —Implement a settlement rule that would neutralize the price arbitrage of the hour-ahead scheduling process and real-time dispatch.
- —Modify the timing of convergence bidding liquidation and settlement. For instance, CAISO states that it considered keeping day-ahead awarded virtual supply and demand positions in the hour ahead scheduling process.
- —Modify the existing allocation of the real-time imbalance energy offset to measured demand, to more accurately reflect cost causation.
- —The approach utilized in the New York Independent System Operator to settle the interties.⁹

—Pay as bid or pay the greater of the bid or the real-time dispatch price.
4:15 p.m.—4:30 p.m.—Closing Remarks.
[FR Doc. 2011–32765 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP12-19-000; Docket No. CP12-20-000]

Dominion Transmission Inc.; Notice of Onsite Environmental Review

On December 28, 2011, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC or Commission) Office of Energy Projects staff will be in Tioga and Potter Counties, Pennsylvania and Steuben County, New York to gather data related to the environmental analysis of the Tioga Area Expansion and Sabinsville to Morrisville Projects proposed by Dominion Transmission Inc. (DTI) in the above-referenced dockets. Staff will examine the proposed TL-610 pipeline route and various above-ground facilities where modifications or additions are proposed. Viewing of this area is anticipated to be from public access points and DTI's existing right-ofway. The review is open to the public. All interested parties in attendance must provide their own transportation. Those attending should meet:

Wednesday, December 28, 2011, 9 a.m., at DTI's Sabinville Office—5094 Route 349, Westfield, PA 16950, Local DTI Contact—Debra Annibella telephone (814) 628–6068.

The review will be cancelled if there is a significant weather event. In case of a snowfall that may result in cancellation, please check the event calendar posted on the Commission's Internet Web page. Information about this onsite environmental review will be posted on the Commission's calendar at: http://www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx?CalendarID=119&Date=12/1/2011&View=listview&DisplayString=Scoping+Meetings+%26+Environmental+Site+Reviews%20-%20December%202011&IsSearch=false.

For additional information, contact the FERC's Office of External Affairs at (866) 208–FERC. Please use the FERC's free eSubscription service to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in these dockets. This can reduce the

⁸ WPTF Protest at 18.

⁹ NYISO is a net importer and schedules imports and exports in the hour-ahead process, similar to CAISO's hour-ahead scheduling process. Where there is no congestion on external interfaces, NYISO will settle imports and exports at the time-weighted

average of the real-time price at the relevant proxy bus. Imports receive a bid production cost guarantee if the real-time price is lower than their offer price. CAISO Filing at 18.

⁷ CAISO Filing at 17.

amount of time you spend researching proceedings by automatically providing you with notification of these filings, document summaries, and direct links to the documents. To register for this service, go to www.ferc.gov/esubscribenow.htm.

Dated: December 15, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–32741 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL12-14-000]

Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company; Notice of Petition For Declaratory Order

Take notice that on December 14, 2011, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), 18 CFR 385.207 (2011), Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company (TrAILCo) filed a Petition for Declaratory Order, requesting that the Commission find that the payment by TrAILCo of one or more dividends from paid-in capital, subject to proposed safeguards, will not violate section 305(a) of the Federal Power Act.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for

review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email <code>FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov</code>, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on December 23, 2011.

Dated: December 16, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–32769 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. NJ12-3-000]

City of Banning, CA; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

Take notice that on December 9, 2011, pursuant to Rules 205 and 207 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), 18 CFR 385.205, 385.207 (2011), and consistent with the provisions of the Transmission Owner (TO) Tariff of the City of Banning, California (Banning), Banning filed a Petition for Declaratory Order, seeking a declaratory order to (1) accept Banning's annual revisions to its Transmission Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment (TRBAA); (2) approve Banning's first annual update to the costs of its Existing Transmission Contracts (ETC) with Southern California Edison Company for purposes of recovery of such costs through the ETC Pass-through Clause contained in Banning's TO Tariff; (3) accept revisions to Appendix I to Banning's TO Tariff to reflect Banning's revised TRBAA, forcasted calendar year 2012 ETC costs, and updated Base, High, and Low Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements (TRR); (4) waive the sixty-day notice requirement; (5) waive the filing fee and any other fees associated with the requested revisions; and (6) grant any other relief or waivers necessary or appropriate for approval of implementation of the revisions to Banning's Base TRR, TRBAA, High and Lower Voltage TRRs, and corresponding modifications to Appendix I of Banning's TO Tariff effective as of January 1, 2012.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in

accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on December 30, 2011.

Dated: December 15, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–32743 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9506-1]

Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; North Hollywood Operable Unit of the San Fernando Valley Area 1 Superfund Site

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C.