13, 2011 through OMB Control Number: 3145–0217. This included collecting primary data via surveys and interviews with Principal Investigators, Faculty, Noyce Recipients, and K–12 Principals.

The Noyce program operates within NSF's Division of Undergraduate Education, and bridges the higher education and the K–12 system. The Noyce Program encourages talented science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) majors and professionals to become K-12 mathematics and science teachers. The program provides funds to institutions of higher education (IHEs) to support scholarships, stipends, and academic programs for undergraduate STEM majors and post-baccalaureate STEM students who commit to teaching in high-need K-12 school districts as a condition of receiving financial support. Additionally, the program provides support to undergraduate freshmen and sophomores who serve as summer interns in STEM educational settings as an introduction to a possible career in teaching.

Under the NSF Teaching Fellowship and Master Teaching Fellowship track, the Noyce program supports STEM professionals who enroll as NSF Teaching Fellows (TFs) in master's degree programs leading to teacher certification by providing academic courses, professional development, and salary supplements as the Teaching Fellows fulfill a four-year teaching commitment in a high need school district. This track also supports exemplary math and science teachers, who have master's degrees, to become Master Teaching Fellows (MTFs) in high need school districts by providing professional development and salary supplements.

Since TFs are supported by the Noyce program in preparing for teacher certification and their early years of teaching, they will take the same survey that will be given to other recipients previously approved by OMB.

NSF has developed a new survey as part of the overall evaluation for the MTFs. The MTF survey will be similar to the other recipient surveys for recipients who are teaching. However, it will focus more on the leadership activities expected of these more experienced teachers. Since MTFs were not supported by the Noyce Program in preparing for certification or their early teaching years, there are no questions in this survey about their teacher preparation program or support during early teaching.

*Respondents:* Individuals, Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal

Government and not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 104.

Burden on the Public: 52 hours.

Dated: December 8, 2011.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2011–31904 Filed 12–12–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2011-0250]

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget and solicitation of public comment; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 2011 (76 FR 72983). This action is necessary to correct an erroneous NRC Web site for submission of public comments.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tremaine Donnell, NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, *telephone:* (301) 415–6258; *email: Tremaine.Donnell@nrc.gov.* 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 72984, in the second column, the NRC Web site is changed from http:// www.nrc.gov/public-involve/docomment/omb/index.html to read http:// www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doccomment/omb/index.html.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of December 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Tremaine Donnell**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2011–31849 Filed 12–12–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2011-0181]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a Federal **Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on August 23, 2011 (76 FR 52698).

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Extension.

2. The title of the information collection: NRC Form 483, Registration Certificate—In Vitro Testing with Byproduct Material Under General License.

3. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0038.

4. *The form number if applicable:* NRC Form 483.

5. *How often the collection is required:* There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a validated copy of NRC Form 483 with an assigned registration number. In addition, any changes in the information reported on NRC Form 483 must be reported in writing to the NRC within 30 days after the effective date of such change.

6. Who will be required or asked to report: Any physician, veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine, clinical laboratory or hospital which desires a general license to receive, acquire, possess, transfer, or use specified units of byproduct material in certain *in vitro* clinical or laboratory tests.

7. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 87 (7 NRC licensees + 80 Agreement State licensees).

8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 87 (7 NRC licensees + 80 Agreement State licensees).

9. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 12.87 hours (1

hour for NRC licensees + 10.7 hours for Agreement State licensees + 1.17 hours recordkeeping).

10. Abstract: Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 31.11 establishes a general license authorizing any physician, clinical laboratory, veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine, or hospital to possess certain small quantities of byproduct material for *in vitro* clinical or laboratory tests not involving the internal or external administration of the byproduct material or the radiation there from to human beings or animals. Possession of byproduct material under 10 CFR 31.11 is not authorized until the physician, clinical laboratory, veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine, or hospital has filed NRC Form 483 and received from the Commission a validated copy of NRC Form 483 with a registration number.

The public may examine and have copied for a fee, publicly available documents, including the final supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20874. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/ public-involve/doc-comment/omb/ index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by January 12, 2012. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Chad Whiteman, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0038), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be emailed to *CWhiteman@omb.eop.gov* or submitted by telephone at (202) 395–4718.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Tremaine Donnell, (301) 415–6258.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of December 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Tremaine Donnell**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2011–31850 Filed 12–12–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52–029–COL, 52–030–COL; ASLBP No. 09–879–04–COL–BD01]

#### Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; In the Matter of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; (Levy County Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)

December 7, 2011.

Before Administrative Judges: Alex S. Karlin, Chairman, Dr. Anthony J. Baratta, Dr. William M. Murphy.

#### Notice

### (Opportunity To Make Oral or Written Limited Appearance Statements)

This Atomic Safety and Licensing Board hereby gives notice that it will accept oral or written limited appearance statements from members of the public regarding the application of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF) to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a license to construct and operate two nuclear power plants in Levy County, Florida. Two sessions to hear oral limited appearance statements will be held on January 12, 2012, in Crystal River, Florida.

## I. Background and Scope of Proceeding

On December 8, 2008, the NRC published a notice in the Federal **Register** that any interested person could file a challenge to PEF's application to construct and operate two proposed nuclear power plants in Levy County, Florida and could request an adjudicatory hearing thereon. 73 FR 74,532 (Dec. 8, 2008) (ADAMS Accession No. ML083430114). On February 6, 2009, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service, the Ecology Party of Florida, and the Green Party of Florida (Intervenors) filed such a challenge and request.<sup>1</sup> On February 23, 2009, this Board was established to handle the matter and to preside over any contested adjudicatory proceeding relating to the PEF application.<sup>2</sup> On July 8, 2009, this Board granted the Intervenors' request, ruling that they had shown standing and had raised at least one admissible contention. See LBP-09-10, 70 NRC 51, 147 (2009) (ADAMS Accession No. ML091890822).

The scope of this contested adjudicatory proceeding, and thus the appropriate scope of any limited appearance statements, is defined by the contentions that have been raised by the Intervenors and that have been admitted, *i.e.*, have been ruled to satisfy the requirements set forth in the relevant NRC regulation, 10 CFR 2.309(f)(1).<sup>3</sup> The Intervenors have proffered several contentions, but at this point only one such admitted contention remains in litigation-Contention 4A.<sup>4</sup> Contention 4A, therefore, defines the appropriate scope of any limited appearance statements.<sup>5</sup> Contention 4A reads as follows:

*Contention 4A:* The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to comply with 10 CFR part 51 and the National Environmental Policy Act because it fails to specifically and adequately address, and inappropriately characterizes as SMALL, certain direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts, onsite and offsite, of constructing and operating the proposed LNP facility:

A. Impacts to wetlands, floodplains, special aquatic sites, and other waters, associated with dewatering, specifically:

1. Impacts resulting from active and passive dewatering;

2. Impacts resulting from the connection of the site to the underlying Floridan aquifer system;

3. Impacts on Outstanding Florida Waters such as the Withlacoochee and Waccasassa Rivers;

4. Impacts on water quality and the aquatic environment due to alterations and increases in nutrient concentrations caused by the removal of water; and

5. Impacts on water quality and the aquatic environment due to increased nutrients resulting from destructive wildfires resulting from dewatering.

B. Impacts to wetlands, floodplains, special aquatic sites, and other waters, associated with salt drift and salt deposition resulting from cooling towers (that use salt water) being situated in an inland, freshwater wetland area of the LNP site.

<sup>4</sup>Licensing Board Memorandum and Order (Admitting Contention 4A) (February 2, 2011) at 20 (unpublished) (ADAMS Accession No. ML110330394).

<sup>5</sup> If additional contentions are subsequently admitted, or if Contention 4A is subsequently settled, dismissed, or revised, then the scope of this proceeding will change accordingly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing by the Green Party of Florida, the Ecology Party of Florida and Nuclear Information and Resource Service (Feb. 6, 2009) (ADAMS Accession No. ML090371107).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, 74 FR 9113 (Mar. 2, 2009) (ADAMS Accession No. ML090540936).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In addition to the contested adjudicatory proceeding being conducted by this Board, there will be an uncontested adjudicatory proceeding concerning PEF's application to construct and operate the two proposed nuclear power plants in Levy County. See 42 U.S.C. 2239(a)(i)(A). The content of the uncontested proceeding is not within the scope of this adjudication. See "Conduct of Mandatory Hearings on Applications for Combined Licenses," Internal Commission Procedures at IV– 12—IV–21 (ADAMS Accession No. ML11269A125).