

deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the all others rate for this proceeding, 4.05 percent.² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.³ If a hearing is requested, the Department will notify interested parties of the hearing schedule.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review. Unless extended by the Department, interested parties must submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed not later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d) (additional discussion on case briefs and rebuttal briefs, respectively). Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this review are requested to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

We intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless otherwise extended. *See* section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR

351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 2011.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-31428 Filed 12-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-947]

Certain Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of the 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel grating from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") of January 6, 2010, through June 30, 2011, with respect to Ningbo Haitian International Co., Ltd. ("Ningbo Haitian"), Shanghai Minmetals Materials & Products Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Minmetals"), Yantai Xinke Steel Structure Co., Ltd. ("Yantai Xinke"), Sinosteel Yantai Steel Grating Co., Ltd. ("Sinosteel Yantai"), Ningbo Jiulong Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ("Ningbo Jiulong"), Accurate Screen, Ltd. ("Accurate Screen"), Wuxi Juhua Import/Export Co., Ltd. ("Wuxi Juhua"), and Well Forge Industries ("Well Forge"). This rescission is based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review by the only interested party that requested the review of these companies.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3936 or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel grating from the PRC. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 38609, 38610 (July 1, 2011). In response, on August 1, 2011, Fisher & Ludlow and Alabama Metal Industries Corporation (hereafter referred to as "Petitioners") timely requested an administrative review of entries of the subject merchandise during the POR from Ningbo Haitian, Shanghai Minmetals, Yantai Xinke, Sinosteel Yantai, Ningbo Jiulong, Accurate Screen, Wuxi Juhua, and Well Forge. On August 26, 2011, the Department initiated a review of these companies. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 53404 (August 26, 2011).

In a letter dated September 21, 2011, Petitioners withdrew their request for review of the aforementioned companies, and requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to these companies. No other parties requested a review.

Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Accordingly, given that Petitioners' withdrawal requests were timely, and because no other party requested a review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the entire administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel grating from the PRC for the period January 6, 2010, through June 30, 2011.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19

² *See Order*, 73 FR at 66597.

³ *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c); Parties submitting written comments must submit them pursuant to the Department's e-filing regulations. *See* <https://iaaccess.trade.gov/help/IA%20ACCESS%20User%20Guide.pdf>.

CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 1, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-31447 Filed 12-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of

the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe ("CWP") from the Republic of Korea ("Korea"), covering the period November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. We preliminarily determine the exporters/producers covered by this review made sales of the subject merchandise at prices below normal value ("NV"). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Kolberg, or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1785 or (202) 482-2778, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 2, 1992, the Department published an antidumping duty order on CWP from Korea. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela, and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea*, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992) ("CWP Order").

On November 30, 2010, both Hyundai HYSCO ("HYSCO") and SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH") timely requested an administrative review of this order for the period November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. Also, on November 30, 2010, United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel"), a manufacturer of the domestic like product, requested a review of the following producers/exporters of subject merchandise: SeAH; HYSCO; Husteel Co., Ltd. ("Husteel"); Nexteel Co., Ltd. ("Nexteel"); Kumkang Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Kumkang"); and A-JU Besteel Co., Ltd. ("Besteel"). Likewise, on November 30, 2010, Wheatland Tube Company, a domestic producer of circular welded pipe, requested a review of the subject merchandise sales made by SeAH, HYSCO, Husteel, Nexteel, Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. ("Dongbu"), and Kumkang. On December 28, 2010, we initiated an administrative review. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for*

Revocation in Part, 75 FR 81565 (December 28, 2010) ("Initiation Notice").

In our initiation notice, we indicated that we would select mandatory respondents for review based upon CBP data, and that we would limit the respondents selected for individual review in accordance with section 777A(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Initiation Notice*, 75 FR at 81565. On January 10, 2011, we received comments on the issue of respondent selection from HYSCO.

On February 4, 2011, after considering the resources available to the Department, we determined that it was not practicable to examine all producers/exporters of subject merchandise for which a review was requested. As a result, we selected the two largest producers/exporters of CWP from Korea during the POR for individual review in this segment of this proceeding, pursuant to section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Act. These mandatory respondents were HYSCO and SeAH. *See Memorandum from Mary Kolberg and Jennifer Meek, International Trade Analysts, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, "Respondent Selection: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea,"* dated February 4, 2011.

On January 25, 2011, Wheatland submitted a request for a duty absorption determination for a number of producers or exporters subject to this review, including SeAH, HYSCO, Husteel, Nexteel, Dongbu, Kumkang, and Besteel. The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit found that the Department lacks authority to conduct two- and four-year duty absorption inquiries for transitional orders (orders in effect before January 1, 1995). *See FAG Italia S.p.A. v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806, 819 (Fed. Cir. 2002). Since the order for this case is from 1992, we have not conducted a duty absorption inquiry in this proceeding.

On February 9, 2011, we issued the antidumping questionnaire to HYSCO and SeAH.

On July 11, 2011, we published in the **Federal Register** an extension of the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of this review until no later than November 30, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). *See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of*