

Agency name	Organization name	Position title	Authorization No.	Effective date
Department of State	Office of the Chief of Protocol	Assistant Chief for Diplomatic Partnerships.	DS110126	9/2/2011
	Bureau of Public Affairs	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Digital Media.	DS110129	9/2/2011
	Office of the Chief of Protocol	Protocol Officer (Visits)	DS110130	9/29/2011
	Bureau of Economic, Energy and Business Affairs.	Special Assistant	DS110131	9/19/2011
	Office of the Under Secretary for Political Affairs.	Staff Assistant	DS110134	9/23/2011
Department of Transportation	Secretary	Scheduler	DT110056	9/26/2011
	Secretary	Associate Director for Scheduling and Advance.	DT110055	9/23/2011
	Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs.	Associate Director for Governmental Affairs.	DT110053	9/2/2011
Department of the Treasury	Under Secretary for Domestic Finance.	Senior Advisor	DY110139	9/9/2011
	Secretary of the Treasury	Senior Advisor	DY110138	9/9/2011
	Assistant Secretary (Economic Policy).	Special Assistant	DY110134	9/7/2011

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 3301 and 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. 2011–31220 Filed 12–5–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–39–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2012–5; Order No. 1011]

International Mail Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to enter into an additional bilateral agreement with Canada Post Corporation. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* December 14, 2011, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at (202) 789–6820 (case-related

information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On November 23, 2011, the Postal Service filed a notice, pursuant to 39 CFR 3010.40 *et seq.*, and Order No. 549, that it has entered into a bilateral agreement with Canada Post Corporation (Canada Post 2012 Agreement or Agreement), which it seeks to include in the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.¹ The Notice concerns the portion of a bilateral agreement with Canada Post for inbound market dominant services that the Postal Service contends is similar and functionally equivalent to agreements already included in the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.² Notice at 1.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Notice of Filing Functionally Equivalent Agreement, November 23, 2011 (Notice); *see also* Docket Nos. MC2010–35, R2010–5 and R2010–6, Order Adding Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 to the Market Dominant Product List and Approving Included Agreements, September 30, 2010 (Order No. 549).

² The Postal Service states that the Agreement is marked “Draft” because of continuing negotiation of specific terms and conditions. However, it asserts that no further substantive changes are expected concerning rates, operational terms or the financial liability provisions of the Agreement. Request at 2, n.2. The Postal Service states that it anticipates finalizing the terms of the fully executed Agreement prior to December 31, 2011. The Commission views the draft as acceptable for purposes of issuing notice of the Agreement. However, the Commission cannot base its final order in this proceeding on the

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed two attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the agreement and supporting documents under seal; and
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the Canada Post 2012 Agreement. The Postal Service also provided a redacted version of the Agreement and supporting financial documentation as a separate Excel file.

In Order No. 549, the Commission approved the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and included the Strategic Bilateral Agreement Between United States Postal Service and Koninklijke TNT Post BV and TNT Post Pakketservice Benelux BV (TNT Agreement) and the China Post Group–United States Postal Service Letter Post Bilateral Agreement (CPG Agreement) in the product. In Order No. 700, the Commission approved the functionally equivalent HongKong Post Agreement (HongKong Post Agreement).³ In Order No. 871, the Commission approved the functionally equivalent China Post 2011 Agreement.⁴ In Order Nos. 995 and 996,

draft Agreement. Therefore, to avoid delaying the final order, the Postal Service should file an executed Agreement as soon as possible. In that filing, the Postal Service shall indicate all changes between the draft agreement and the executed agreement.

³ *See* Docket No. R2011–4, Order Approving Rate Adjustment for HongKong Post–United States Postal Service Letter Post Bilateral Agreement Negotiated Service Agreement, March 18, 2011 (Order No. 700).

⁴ *See* Docket No. R2011–7, Order Concerning an Additional Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1

Continued

the Commission approved the functionally equivalent Singapore Post and Australia Post Agreements, respectively.⁵

Canada Post 2012 Agreement. The Postal Service and Canada Post, the postal operator for Canada, are parties to the Agreement. The Agreement covers, *inter alia*, the delivery of inbound Letter Post, in the form of letters, flats, small packets, parcels, bags, and International Registered Mail service for Letter Post. The planned inbound market dominant rates are scheduled to become effective January 7, 2012. Notice at 3. The Agreement has a term of 2 years commencing January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2013, although it may be extended for a third year. *Id.* Attachment 2 at 7–8; *see also* pdf version at 57 (2012–2013 CPC–USPS Contractual Bilateral Agreement, Exhibit 4).⁶ The Agreement however, may be terminated by either party without cause on no less than 90 days' written notice. *Id.* Attachment 2 at 8.

Requirements under part 3010. The Postal Service states that the projected financial performance of the Canada Post 2012 Agreement is provided in the Excel file included with its filing. It contends that improvements should enhance mail efficiency and other functions for Letter Post items under the Agreement. Notice at 3–4.

The Postal Service asserts that the Agreement should not cause unreasonable harm in the marketplace since it is unaware of any significant competition in this market. *Id.* at 5–6.

Data collection plan. Under 39 CFR 3010.43, the Postal Service is required to submit a data collection plan. The Postal Service indicates that it intends to report information on this Agreement through its Annual Compliance Report. While indicating its willingness to provide information on mailflows within the annual compliance review process, the Postal Service proposes that no special data collection plan be established for this Agreement. With respect to performance measurement, it requests that the Commission exempt the Canada Post 2012 Agreement from separate reporting requirements under

39 CFR 3055.3(a)(3) as determined in previous agreements approved as functionally equivalent agreements under the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.⁷

The Postal Service advances reasons why the instant Agreement is functionally equivalent to the previously filed CPG Agreement, TNT Agreement, HongKong Post Agreement, and China Post 2011 Agreement.⁸ It contends that it contains the same attributes and methodology and fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for the Inbound Multi-Service Agreements with the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. Additionally, it states that the Canada Post 2012 Agreement includes similar terms and conditions, *e.g.*, is with a foreign postal operator, conforms to a common description, and relates to rates for Letter Post tendered from the postal operator's territory. *Id.* at 8.

The Postal Service identifies specific differences that distinguish the instant Agreement from the previous agreements. It states that the Agreement provides greater specificity in the terms and products because of the parties' business experience with their previous bilateral agreements. The Postal Service states differences include specific performance-based financial incentives and adjustments to the financial model based on the specific negotiations between the parties. *Id.* at 9–10. The Postal Service contends that the instant Agreement is nonetheless functionally equivalent to existing agreements. *Id.* at 11.

In its Notice, the Postal Service maintains that certain portions of the Agreement, prices, and related financial information should remain under seal. *Id.* at 11; *id.* Attachment 1.

The Postal Service concludes that the Canada Post 2012 Agreement should be added as a functionally equivalent agreement under the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. *Id.* at 12.

II. Notice of Filings

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filing in the captioned docket is consistent with the policies of

⁷ In Order No. 996, the Commission held that “[f]uture agreements that fall within the parameters of the Inbound Market-Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product are excepted from the performance reporting requirements.” Order No. 996 at 7.

⁸ The Postal Service specifically references the differences between the instant Agreement and the TNT Agreement for comparison purposes. *Id.* at 8–11.

39 U.S.C. 3622 and 39 CFR part 3010.40. Comments are due no later than December 14, 2011. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints James F. Callow to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. R2012–5 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than December 14, 2011.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–31208 Filed 12–5–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. A2012–64; Order No. 1006]

Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Little America, Wyoming post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioners, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: November 29, 2011: Administrative record due (from Postal Service); December 27, 2011, 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time: Deadline for notices to intervene. *See* the Procedural Schedule in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in

Negotiated Service Agreement, September 23, 2011 (Order No. 871).

⁵ *See* Docket No. R2012–1, Order Approving Rate Adjustment for Singapore–Post United States Postal Service Letter Post Bilateral Agreement Negotiated Service Agreement, November 23, 2011 (Order No. 995); *see also* Docket No. R2012–2, Order Concerning an Additional Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, November 23, 2011 (Order No. 996).

⁶ When it files an executed copy of the Agreement, the Postal Service should confirm the effective dates of the Agreement.