

Issued in Washington, DC.

**Patricia A. Hoffman,**

*Assistant Secretary of Energy, Office of  
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.*

[FR Doc. 2011-30640 Filed 11-28-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. AD12-1-000, RC11-6-000,  
EL11-62-000]

#### Reliability Technical Conference, North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Public Service Commission of South Carolina and the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff; Notice of Amended Reliability Technical Conference Agenda

As announced in the Notice of  
Technical Conference issued on October  
7, 2011, the Commission will hold a  
technical conference on Tuesday,

November 29, 2011, from 1 p.m. to 5  
p.m. and Wednesday, November 30,  
2011, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. to explore  
the progress made on the priorities for  
addressing risks to reliability that were  
identified in earlier Commission  
technical conferences. The agenda for  
this conference has been amended and  
is attached. Commission members will  
participate in this conference.

Information on this event will be  
posted on the Calendar of Events on the  
Commission's Web site, <http://www.ferc.gov>, prior to the event. The  
conference will be transcribed. Transcripts will be available  
immediately for a fee from Ace  
Reporting Company (202) 347-3700 or  
1-(800) 336-6646. A free webcast of  
this event is also available through  
<http://www.ferc.gov>. Anyone with  
Internet access who desires to listen to  
this event can do so by navigating to  
<http://www.ferc.gov>'s Calendar of Events  
and locating this event in the Calendar.  
The event will contain a link to the

webcast. The Capitol Connection  
provides technical support for webcasts  
and offers the option of listening to the  
meeting via phone-bridge for a fee. If  
you have any questions, visit <http://www.CapitolConnection.org> or call (703)  
993-3100.

Commission conferences are  
accessible under section 508 of the  
Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For  
accessibility accommodations, please  
send an email to [accessibility@ferc.gov](mailto:accessibility@ferc.gov)  
or call toll free 1-(866) 208-3372 (voice)  
or (202) 208-1659 (TTY), or send a FAX  
to (202) 208-2106 with the required  
accommodations.

For more information about this  
conference, please contact: Sarah  
McKinley, Office of External Affairs,  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,  
888 First Street NE., Washington, DC  
20426. (202) 502-8368.  
[sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov](mailto:sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov).

Dated: November 22, 2011.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*



#### Reliability Technical Conference Commissioner-Led Reliability Technical Conference

November 29, 2011

1 p.m.-5 p.m.

November 30, 2011

9 a.m.-4 p.m.

#### Agenda

November 29, 2011

1 p.m. Commissioners' Opening  
Remarks

1:20 p.m. Introductions Commissioner  
Cheryl LaFleur, Chair

1:25 p.m. Panel I: Identifying  
Priorities for NERC Activities

*Presentations:* NERC will be invited to  
provide an update on its priorities as  
identified in the February 8, 2011  
Reliability Technical Conference.  
Panelists will be invited to express their  
general views on how NERC's  
prioritization tool has been working.  
Has NERC addressed concerns raised at  
the February 8, 2011 Reliability  
Technical Conference. Panelists will be  
asked to address some or all of the  
following:

a. What are the most critical reliability  
issues and/or standards development

initiatives that needed to be addressed  
in 2011 and 2012? What is the status of  
the priorities identified by NERC at the  
February technical conference? Has  
NERC's prioritization tool been useful?

b. One of the priorities was improving  
the compliance and enforcement  
process. How is that being addressed?

c. What are the biggest challenges to  
addressing these priorities and/or  
completing these initiatives in an  
effective and timely manner? What next  
steps are appropriate to timely and  
effectively address the priorities  
discussed?

d. How do NERC and reliability  
standards development teams  
incorporate in new or re-ordered  
priorities regarding reliability standards  
into their work plans? How are  
emerging issues considered and are any  
becoming high priorities?

#### Panelists

- Gerry W. Cauley, President and  
Chief Executive Officer, North American  
Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC)

- Kevin Burke, Chairman, President  
and CEO, Consolidated Edison Inc., on  
behalf of Consolidated Edison and the  
Edison Electric Institute (EEI)

- Mike Smith, President and Chief  
Executive Officer, Georgia Transmission  
Corporation, on behalf of Georgia  
Transmission Corp. and the National  
Rural Electric Association (NRECA)

- John A. Anderson, President,  
Electricity Consumers Resource Council  
(ELCON)

- Allen Mosher, Senior Director of  
Policy Analysis and Reliability,  
American Public Power Association  
(APPA); NERC Standards Committee  
Chairman

- Deborah Le Vine, Director, System  
Operations, California Independent  
System Operator Corporation (CAISO)

- William J. Gallagher, NERC Member  
Representatives Committee Chairman;  
Retired CEO, Vermont Public Power  
Supply Authority

- Peter Fraser, Managing Director of  
Regulatory Policy, Ontario Energy Board

#### 3:30 p.m. Panel II: Incorporating Lessons Learned Into a More Reliable Grid

*Presentations:* Panelists will address  
how lessons learned are incorporated  
into NERC priorities. Panelists will be  
asked to address some or all of the  
following:

a. How do lessons learned from events analysis get disseminated to industry?

b. How do NERC's non-standards processes such as the Industry Alerts, Recommendations, Event Analysis, Essential Actions, Lessons Learned and Compliance Application Notices interact with the reliability standards? To what extent do these processes aid in identifying important reliability matters that are not addressed under the existing Reliability Standards?

c. Is the alerts process getting the message out on issues of immediate importance

d. How do you gauge whether industry is appropriately implementing NERC alerts or lessons learned from an event analysis?

e. Is there a feedback loop into the Reliability Standards development process to determine if there is a gap in the standards? If so, how has that been working? If not, should there be?

#### Panelists

- Gerry W. Cauley, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Electric Reliability Corporation
- Thomas J. Galloway, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Transmission Forum
- Tom Burgess, Executive Director, Integrated System Planning and Development, FirstEnergy, on behalf of FirstEnergy and EEI
- Scott Helyer, Vice President, Transmission at Tenaska, on behalf of Electric Power Supply Association (EPSA)
- Mary Kipp, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer, El Paso Electric

#### Commissioner Closing Comments

November 30, 2011

9 a.m. Commissioners' Opening Remarks

9:20 a.m. Introductions Commissioner Cheryl LaFleur, Chair

9:30 Remarks: Janet McCabe, Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

9:40 a.m. Panel III: Presentations and Discussion on the Current State of Processes for Identifying Unit-Specific Local or Regional Reliability Issues in Response to Final EPA Regulations

*Presentations:* Panelists will be asked to describe their local and regional processes for identifying unit-specific reliability issues in response to final EPA environmental requirements. Panelists should address the following broad questions in their presentations:

a. How should reliability aspects of EPA's proposed and final regulations be addressed? What local or regional processes are used to plan for emerging issues such as the EPA regulations? How are you incorporating the EPA regulations into this process?

b. What have you proposed to the EPA regarding an exemption process? Do you support the exemption process changes identified by the RTOs or other entities in comments to the EPA? Do you have any alternative proposals?

c. What market structures and tariff rules are used to address local and regional reliability issues that may arise from generation retirements potentially triggered by EPA regulations? Are any changes to market and tariff rules needed?

d. Do you have the right tools to identify any problems that may arise? Are there other process changes that could help address reliability-related requests for exemptions from the EPA regulations?

#### Panelists

- Mark Lauby, Vice President and Director of Reliability Assessment and Performance Analysis, North American Electric Reliability Corporation
  - Michael Kormos, Senior Vice President of Operations, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
  - Carl Monroe, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Southwest Power Pool (SPP)
  - Thomas F. Farrell II, Chairman, President & CEO—Dominion, on behalf of EEI
  - Kathleen Barron, Vice President, Federal Regulatory Affairs and Policy, Exelon Corporation
  - Anthony Topazi, Chief Operating Officer, Southern Company
  - David Wright, Vice Chairman, South Carolina Public Service Commission
  - Joshua Epel, Chairman, Colorado Public Utilities Commission
- 12 p.m. Lunch
- 12:45 p.m. Continuation of Panel III
- Discussion with Commissioners:* Open dialogue and questions and answers between Panel 1 and Commissioners.
- 2:15 p.m. Break
- 2:30 p.m. Panel IV: Discussion on multi-jurisdictional processes.
- Presentations:* Panelists will be asked to describe how they coordinate processes such as the state integrated resource planning with their reliability planning and the safety valve proposal. Panelists should address the following broad questions in their presentations:
- a. What, if any role should the Commission or DOE play in studying the replacement generation or other

reliability solutions due to retirements? What role does the retail regulator, such as a state public utility commission or municipal authority play in forming your bulk power system reliability plans?

b. Do you support the exemption process changes identified by the RTOs or other entities in comments to the EPA? What role can the Commission play in evaluating individual requests under a safety-valve approach? Do you have any alternative proposals?

#### Panelists

- Patricia A. Hoffman, Assistant Secretary for Electricity & Infrastructure Reliability, U.S. Department of Energy
- Gerry W. Cauley, President and Chief Executive Officer, North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC)
- Nick Akins, CEO of American Electric Power (AEP), on behalf of AEP
- Clair J. Moeller, Vice President Transmission Asset Management, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (MISO)
- Betty Ann Kane, Chairman, District of Columbia Public Service Commission
- Cheryl Roberto, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
- Eric Baker, President and Chief Executive Officer, Wolverine Electric Power Cooperative
- Debra Raggio, Vice President, Government and Regulatory Affairs, Assistant General Counsel, GenOn Energy, Inc.

#### Commissioner Closing Comments

[FR Doc. 2011-30671 Filed 11-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP12-15-000]

#### Cameron LNG, LLC; Notice of Application

Take notice that on November 4, 2010, Cameron LNG, LLC (Cameron), 101 Ash Street, San Diego, California 92101, filed in Docket No. CP12-15-000, an application pursuant to section 3(a) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authority to construct and operate a boil-off gas (BOG) liquefaction system at its LNG import terminal in Cameron Parish, Louisiana. Specifically, Cameron proposes to install facilities consisting of a closed loop refrigeration system at the terminal to liquefy BOG and return such gas in the form of LNG to its storage tanks. Cameron states that the project will not require any new LNG