

(3) *The Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number(s): NA. Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract.* Primary: OVC Services to Victims of Human Trafficking Grant recipients (OVC Grantees) Abstract: The OVC Trafficking Information Management System (TIMS) Online is a Web-based database and reporting system, designed to simplify performance reporting required by the OVC Services to Victims of Human Trafficking Grant Initiative. Once approved, OVC will require OVC Grantees to use this electronic tool to submit grant performance data, including demographics about human trafficking victims. OVC intends to publish an annual analysis of these data to provide the crime victims' field with stronger evidence for practices and programs.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* There are approximately 30–38 OVC Services to Victims of Human Trafficking Grantees per six-month reporting period. On average, it should take each grantee one hour to seven hours, depending on client case load per reporting period, to enter information into TIMS Online. There are two reporting periods per year.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There is no public burden associated with the collection. This system only pertains to OVC grantees.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Planning and Policy Staff, Justice Management Division, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Room 2E–508, Washington, DC 20530.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011–26877 Filed 10–17–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

National Institute of Justice

[OMB Number 1121–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Survey of the Interoperability of Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems Regarding Latent Fingerprint Exchange

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), National Institute of Justice (NIJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 76, Number 148, Page 46328, on August 2, 2011, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until November 17, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, *Attn:* DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to e-mail them to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax them to 202–395–7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please call Mark E. Greene at 202–307–3384 or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202–395–3176.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Establishment survey and initial approval of collection.

(2) *Title of Form/Collection:* Latent Fingerprint Interoperability Survey.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: none. National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State and Local law enforcement agencies with Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems (AFIS). The proposed collection is the only effort that provides an ability to establish the level of interoperability of automated fingerprint identification systems maintained by State and Local law enforcement agencies regarding the electronic exchange of latent fingerprints to support criminal investigations. This collection will enable NIJ; Federal, State, Local, and Tribal law enforcement and government administrators; legislators; and researchers; to understand the technology and policy barriers to local, regional, and national interoperability from the perspective of State and Local criminal investigations requiring the exchange of latent fingerprints across jurisdictional boundaries. Information collected in the core survey and survey addenda will provide critical data on the types and functionalities of fielded AFIS systems in State and Local agencies; the current policy agreements among jurisdictions to permit the sharing, exchange, and searching of latent fingerprints electronically; and the technology-related and policy-related impediments regarding the electronic sharing, exchange, and searching of latent fingerprints across various jurisdictions at the State and Local levels.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 350 to 400 respondents will complete the core

survey and one of two relevant addenda depending on whether the respondent is from a State or Local agency in approximately 60 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 21,000 to 24,000 total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Room 2E-508, Washington, DC 20530.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011-26876 Filed 10-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Petitions for Modification of Application of Existing Mandatory Safety Standards

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 and 30 CFR part 44 govern the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for modification. This notice is a summary of petitions for modification submitted to the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) by the parties listed below to modify the application of existing mandatory safety standards codified in Title 30 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

DATES: All comments on the petitions must be received by the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances on or before November 17, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your comments, identified by "docket number" on the subject line, by any of the following methods:

1. *Electronic Mail:* zzMSHA-comments@dol.gov. Include the docket number of the petition in the subject line of the message.

2. *Facsimile:* 202-693-9441.

3. *Regular Mail:* MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2350, Arlington, Virginia 22209-3939, Attention: Roslyn B. Fontaine, Acting Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

4. *Hand-Delivery or Courier:* MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2350, Arlington, Virginia 22209-3939, Attention: Roslyn B. Fontaine, Acting Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

MSHA will consider only comments postmarked by the U.S. Postal Service or proof of delivery from another delivery service such as UPS or Federal Express on or before the deadline for comments. Individuals who submit comments by hand-delivery are required to check in at the receptionist's desk on the 21st floor.

Individuals may inspect copies of the petitions and comments during normal business hours at the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara Barron, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances at 202-693-9447 (Voice), barron.barbara@dol.gov (E-mail), or 202-693-9441 (Facsimile). [These are not toll-free numbers.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act) allows the mine operator or representative of miners to file a petition to modify the application of any mandatory safety standard to a coal or other mine if the Secretary determines that:

(1) An alternative method of achieving the result of such standard exists which will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners of such mine by such standard; or

(2) That the application of such standard to such mine will result in a diminution of safety to the miners in such mine. In addition, the regulations at 30 CFR 44.10 and 44.11 establish the requirements and procedures for filing petitions for modification.

II. Petitions for Modification

Docket Number: M-2011-031-C.

Petitioner: Chief Mining, Inc., P.O. Box 446, Glen Daniel, West Virginia 25844.

Mine: Jim's Branch No. 2 Mine, MSHA Mine I.D. No. 46-08577, located in Wyoming County, West Virginia.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 75.1101-1(b) (Deluge-type water spray system).

Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to eliminate the use of blow-off dust covers for the spray nozzles of a deluge-type water spray system. The petitioner states that:

(1) Frequent inspections and functional testing of the system are conducted.

(2) Dust covers are not necessary because the nozzles can be maintained in an unclogged condition through weekly use.

(3) It is burdensome to recap the large number of covers on a weekly basis after each inspection and functional test.

As an alternative to using the blow-off dust covers, the petitioner proposes to:

(1) Conduct a weekly inspection and functional test of the complete deluge-type water spray system; and

(2) Record the result of the examination and functional test and record any malfunction or clogged nozzle detected in a book maintained on the surface. The record will be retained at the mine for one year.

The petitioner asserts that the alternative method will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners at Jim's Branch No. 2 Mine by the existing standard.

Docket Number: M-2011-032-C.

Petitioner: Frontier Coal Company, Inc., 100 Cranberry Creek Drive, Beckley, West Virginia 25801.

Mine: Double Camp No. 1 Mine, MSHA I.D. No. 46-09227, located in Wyoming County, West Virginia.

Regulation Affected: 30 CFR 75.1101-1(b) (Deluge-type water spray system).

Modification Request: The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to eliminate the use of blow-off dust covers for the spray nozzles of a deluge-type water spray system. The petitioner states that:

(1) Frequent inspections and functional testing of the system are conducted.

(2) Dust covers are not necessary because the nozzles can be maintained in an unclogged condition through weekly use.

(3) It is burdensome to recap the large number of covers on a weekly basis after each inspection and functional test.

As an alternative to using the blow-off dust covers, the petitioner proposes to:

(1) Conduct a weekly inspection and functional test of the complete deluge-type water spray system; and

(2) Record the result of the examination and functional test and record any malfunction or clogged nozzle detected in a book maintained on the surface. The record will be retained at the mine for one year.

The petitioner asserts that the alternative method will at all times guarantee no less than the same measure of protection afforded the miners at Double Camp No. 1 Mine by the existing standard.