

the definitions contained in the Procedural Guidelines (Section B) a "submission" is "a communication from the public containing specific allegations, accompanied by relevant supporting information, that another Party has failed to meet its commitments or obligations arising under a labor chapter or Part Two of the NAALC." Pursuant to Section F.2, the submission shall identify clearly the person filing the submission and shall be signed and dated. It shall state with specificity the matters that the submitter requests the OTLA to consider and include supporting information available to the submitter, including, wherever possible, copies of laws or regulations that are the subject of the submission.

The Procedural Guidelines specify that OTLA shall consider six factors, to the extent that they are relevant, in determining whether to accept a submission for review:

- (1) Whether the submission raises issues relevant to any matter arising under a labor chapter;
- (2) Whether a review would further the objectives of a labor chapter;
- (3) Whether the submission clearly identifies the person filing the submission, is signed and dated, and is sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review;
- (4) Whether the statements contained in the submission, if substantiated, would constitute a failure of the other Party to comply with its obligations or commitments under a labor chapter;
- (5) Whether the statements contained in the submission or available information demonstrate that appropriate relief has been sought under the domestic laws of the other Party, or that the matter or a related matter is pending before an international body; and
- (6) Whether the submission is substantially similar to a recent submission and significant, new information has been furnished that would substantially differentiate the submission from the one previously filed.

In the present case, a Peruvian union, the *Sindicato Nacional de Unidad de Trabajadores de Superintendencia Nacional de Administración Tributaria* (SINAUT-SUNAT) that represents workers at the National Superintendency of Tax Administration (SUNAT) filed a submission with OTLA on December 29, 2010, which alleges that the Government of Peru, through SUNAT, has failed to live up to its commitments under Article 17.2.1 of the PTPA by not effectively recognizing the

right to collective bargaining. The submission alleges that the Government of Peru is failing to comply with the legal requirements for collective bargaining by denying the union's request to submit their dispute to arbitration after a prolonged negotiation and conciliation process has failed to resolve the dispute.

After reviewing the submission, and additional supplementary information provided by the submitters, OTLA finds that the submission raises pertinent issues that would further the objectives of the Labor Chapter and that could, if substantiated, constitute a failure of the Government of Peru to comply with its PTPA commitments. The submission meets the filing requirements established in Section F.2 of the OTLA Procedural Guidelines and is not substantially similar to a recent submission. The submitters have sought appropriate relief under domestic laws and procedures. The OTLA has taken these factors into account and accepted the submission for review.

OTLA's decision to accept the submission for review is not intended to indicate any determination as to the validity or accuracy of the allegations contained in the submission. The objective of the review of the submission will be to gather information so that OTLA can better understand the allegations therein and publicly report on the U.S. Government's views regarding whether the Government of Peru's actions were consistent with the obligations set forth in the Labor Chapter of the PTPA. The review will be completed and a public report issued within 180 days, unless circumstances, as determined by OTLA, require an extension of time, as set out in the Procedural Guidelines. The public report will include a summary of the review process, as well as findings and recommendations.

Signed at Washington, DC, on July 19, 2011.

Sandra Polaski,

Deputy Undersecretary, International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2011-18737 Filed 7-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of a Change in Status of an Extended Benefit (EB) Period for Massachusetts, New Mexico, and New York

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a change in benefit period eligibility under the EB program for Massachusetts, New Mexico, and New York.

The following changes have occurred since the publication of the last notice regarding the States' EB status:

- Based on data released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics on June 17, the three month average, seasonally adjusted total unemployment rates for Massachusetts, New Mexico, and New York fell below the 8.0% threshold necessary for a high unemployment period (HUP) in the EB program. As a result, the payable period for Massachusetts and New Mexico in HUP concluded July 9, 2011, and the payable period for New York in HUP concluded July 10, 2011. Eligibility for claimants has been reduced from a maximum potential entitlement of 20 weeks to a maximum potential entitlement of 13 weeks in the EB program.

The trigger notice covering state eligibility for the EB program can be found at: http://ows.dola.gov/unemploy/claims_arch.asp.

Information for Claimants

The duration of benefits payable in the EB program, and the terms and conditions on which they are payable, are governed by the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, as amended, and the operating instructions issued to the states by the U.S. Department of Labor. In the case of a state triggering "off" an HUP period, the State Workforce Agency will furnish a written notice of potential entitlement to each individual who is potentially affected (20 CFR 615.13(c)(4)).

Persons who have questions about their entitlement to EB, or who wish to inquire about their rights under the program, should contact their State Workforce Agency.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Gibbons, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Unemployment Insurance, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Frances Perkins Bldg., Room S-4231, Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693-3008 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail: gibbons.scott@dol.gov.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of July, 2011.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-18739 Filed 7-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Announcement Regarding States Triggering "Off" of Tiers Three and Four of Emergency Unemployment Compensation 2008 (EUC08)

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Announcement regarding states triggering "off" of Tiers Three and Four of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC08) program.

Public law 111-312 extended provisions in public law 111-92 which amended prior laws to create a Third and Fourth Tier of benefits within the EUC08 program for qualified unemployed workers claiming benefits in high unemployment states. The Department of Labor produces a trigger notice indicating which states qualify for EUC08 benefits within Tiers Three and Four and provides the beginning and ending dates of payable periods for each qualifying state. The trigger notice covering state eligibility for the EUC08 program can be found at: http://ows.doleta.gov/unemploy/claims_arch.asp.

Based on data published June 17 by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the following trigger changes have occurred for states in the EUC08 program:

- Indiana's three month average, seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate fell below the 8.5% threshold to remain "on" in Tier Four of the EUC08 program. The week ending July 9, 2011 was the last week in which EUC claimants in Indiana could exhaust Tier Three, and establish Tier Four eligibility. Under the phase-out provisions, claimants may receive any remaining entitlement they have in Tier Four after July 9, 2011. Eligibility for claimants has been reduced from a maximum potential entitlement of 53 weeks to a maximum potential entitlement of 47 weeks in the EUC08 program.

- Oklahoma's three month average, seasonally adjusted total unemployment rate fell below the 6% threshold to remain "on" in Tier Three of the EUC08 program. The week ending July 9, 2011

was the last week in which EUC claimants in Oklahoma could exhaust Tier Two, and establish Tier Three eligibility. Under the phase-out provisions, claimants may receive any remaining entitlement they have in Tier Three after July 9, 2011. Eligibility for claimants has been reduced from a maximum potential entitlement of 47 weeks to a maximum potential entitlement of 34 weeks in the EUC08 program.

Information for Claimants

The duration of benefits payable in the EUC program, and the terms and conditions under which they are payable, are governed by public laws 110-252, 110-449, 111-5, 111-92, 111-118, 111-144, 111-157, and 111-205, and the operating instructions issued to the states by the U.S. Department of Labor. Persons who believe they may be entitled to additional benefits under the EUC08 program, or who wish to inquire about their rights under the program, should contact their State Workforce Agency.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Gibbons, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Workforce Security, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Frances Perkins Bldg., Room S-4231, Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693-3008 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail: gibbons.scott@dol.gov.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of July, 2011.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-18738 Filed 7-25-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

MORRIS K. UDALL AND STEWART L. UDALL FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request: See List of Evaluation Related ICRs Planned for Submission to OMB in Section A

AGENCY: Morris K. Udall and Stewart L. Udall Foundation, U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution (the U.S. Institute), part of the Udall Foundation, is planning to submit seven Information

Collection Requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). All seven ICRs seek revisions to currently approved collections due to expire 12/31/2011 (OMB control numbers 3320-0003, 3320-0004, 3320-0005, 3320-0006, 3320-0007, 3320-0009 and 3320-0010). The seven ICRs are consolidated under a single filing to provide a more coherent picture of information collection activities designed primarily to measure performance. The proposed collections are necessary to support program evaluation activities. The collection is not expected to have a significant economic impact on respondents or to affect a substantial number of small entities.

Before submitting the ICRs to OMB for review and approval, the U.S. Institute requests comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described at the beginning of the section labeled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

Supporting statements for the proposed paperwork collections can be downloaded from the Institute's Web site <http://ecr.gov/Resources/EvaluationProgram.aspx>. Paper copies can be obtained from Patricia Orr, Director of Policy, Planning and Budget, U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution, 130 South Scott Avenue, Tucson, Arizona 85701, Fax: 520-670-5530, Phone: 520-901-8548, E-mail: orr@ecr.gov.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 26, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing this **Federal Register** Notice, by e-mail to orr@ecr.gov, or by fax to 520-670-5530, or by mail to the attention of Patricia Orr, Director of Policy, Planning and Budget, U.S. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution, 130 South Scott Avenue, Tucson, Arizona 85701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview

To comply with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) (Pub. L. 103-62), the U.S. Institute, as part of the Udall Foundation, produces an Annual Performance Budget and an Annual Performance and Accountability Report, linked directly to the goals and objectives outlined in the Institute's five-year Strategic Plan. The U.S. Institute's evaluation system is key to evaluating progress towards its performance goals. The U.S. Institute is committed to evaluating all of its projects, programs and services to measure and report on performance and also to use this information to learn from and improve its services. The