

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

40 CFR Part 70

Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control,

Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 27, 2010.

Karl Brooks, Regional Administrator, Region 7.

Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart AA—Missouri

2. In § 52.1320(c) the table is amended by revising the entries under Chapter 2 for “10–2.150,” under Chapter 4 for “10–4.140,” and under Chapter 5 for “10–5.250”. The amended table reads as follows:

§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*
(c) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

Table with 5 columns: Missouri citation, Title, State effective date, EPA approval date, Explanation. Rows include Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Chapter 2—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the Kansas City Metropolitan Area, Chapter 4—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for Springfield-Greene County Area, and Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area.

PART 70—[AMENDED]

3. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Appendix A—[Amended]

4. Appendix A to part 70 is amended by adding paragraph (y) under Missouri to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permits Programs

\* \* \* \* \*
Missouri
\* \* \* \* \*

(y) The Missouri Department of Natural Resources submitted revisions to Missouri rule 10 CSR 10–6.110, “Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information” on December 30, 2008; approval of section (3)(D) effective March 25, 2011.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2011–229 Filed 1–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 10–2443; MM Docket No. 99–238; RM–9669]

Television Broadcasting Services; North Pole and Plattsburgh, NY

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission grants a petition for rulemaking filed by Hearst-

Argyle Stations, Inc. ("Hearst-Argyle"), the licensee of station WPTZ(DT), channel 14, North Pole, New York, proposing to reallocate channel 14 from North Pole to Plattsburgh, New York, and to modify station WPTZ(DT)'s authorization to specify Plattsburgh as its community of license.

**DATES:** This rule is effective February 23, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Joyce L. Bernstein,  
joyce.bernstein@fcc.gov, Media Bureau,  
(202) 418-1600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order*, MM Docket No. 99-238, adopted January 4, 2011, and released January 5, 2011. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY-A257, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFS (<http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word 97, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) This document may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 1-800-478-3160 or via e-mail <http://www.BCPIWEB.com>. To request this document in accessible formats (computer diskettes, large print, audio recording, and Braille), send an e-mail to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY). This document does not contain information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any information collection burden "for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Public Law 107-198, see 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4). Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

The Commission will send a copy of this *Report and Order* in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

**List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73**

Television, Television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications

Commission amends 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

**PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, 336.

**§ 73.622 [Amended]**

- 2. Section 73.622(i), the Post-Transition Table of DTV Allotments under New York, is amended by:
- a. Removing channel 14 from North Pole, and by removing North Pole.
  - b. Removing Plattsburgh and adding in its place Plattsburgh; and
  - c. Adding channel 14 to Plattsburgh.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Barbara A. Kreisman,**

Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2011-1376 Filed 1-21-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**48 CFR Parts 1804 and 1852**

**RIN 2700-AD46**

**Information Technology (IT) Security**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** NASA is revising the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) to update requirements related to Information Technology Security, consistent with Federal policies for the security of unclassified information and information systems. The rule imposes no new requirements. Its purpose is to more clearly define applicability, update procedural processes, eliminate the requirement for contractor personnel to meet the NASA System Security Certification Program, and provide a Web site link within a contract clause to a library where contractors can find all underlying regulations and referenced documents.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 24, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Leigh Pomponio, NASA, Office of Procurement, Contract Management Division; (202) 358-0592; e-mail: [leigh.pomponio@nasa.gov](mailto:leigh.pomponio@nasa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Background**

NASA published a proposed rule in the *Federal Register* (73 FR 73201-73202) on December 2, 2008. The sixty

day comment period expired February 2, 2009. Six comments were received from two respondents.

*Comment:* IT Security should be addressed through government-wide policies, standards, and requirements.

*NASA response:* NASA has requested that the Defense Acquisition Regulation (DAR) Council consider a government-wide IT Security clause. However, due to the critical importance of protecting the Agency's Information Technology (IT) resources, the Agency will continue to pursue this case. When and if the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) is amended to include similar coverage, the Agency will modify or eliminate any redundant coverage.

*Comment:* The proposed requirement to maintain a listing of NASA Electronic Information and IT resources is too broad.

*NASA response:* Although maintaining an inventory of electronic messages and other documents may appear burdensome, this information can be critical to the maintenance of our information systems and in meeting our institutional and mission objectives. At the completion of the contract, the Contracting Officer will be supported by the cognizant subject matter experts in properly assessing the information and determining disposition instructions.

*Comment:* The proposed requirement to represent that all NASA Electronic Information has been purged from the contractor's IT systems is unworkable.

*NASA response:* The clause has been revised and purging requirements have been deleted.

*Comment:* NASA should clarify the IT Security Management Plan Requirement.

*NASA response:* This requirement has been clarified at 1852.204-76. The IT Security Management Plan addresses how the contractor will manage personnel and processes associated with IT Security on the instant contract.

*Comment:* The Access Provision in NFS 1852.204-76 is duplicative of FAR 52.215-2 and should be deleted.

*NASA response:* FAR 52.215-2 deals primarily with access to the Contractor's cost and pricing data and other supporting records. The proposed provisions of 1852.204-76(f) concern access to contractor facilities, installations, operations, etc. in order to conduct IT inspection, investigation, and audit to safeguard against threats and hazards to NASA Electronic Information.

*Comment:* The Applicable Documents List (ADL) should contain all relevant security documents.

*NASA response:* The ADL attached to the contract will provide a specific