

room W12-140 on the West Building Ground Floor, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find the docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

A copy of the ICR is available through the docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Additionally, copies are available from: Commandant (CG-611), ATTN: Paperwork Reduction Act Manager, US Coast Guard, 2100 2nd Street, SW., Stop 7101, Washington, DC 20593-7101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Kenlinishia Tyler, Office of Information Management, telephone 202-475-3652, or fax 202-475-3929, for questions on these documents. Contact Ms. Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, 202-366-9826, for questions on the docket.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Public Participation and Request for Comments**

This Notice relies on the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended. An ICR is an application to OIRA seeking the approval, extension, or renewal of a Coast Guard collection of information (Collection). The ICR contains information describing the Collection's purpose, the Collection's likely burden on the affected public, an explanation of the necessity of the Collection, and other important information describing the Collection. There is one ICR for each Collection.

The Coast Guard invites comments on whether this ICR should be granted based on the Collection being necessary for the proper performance of Departmental functions. In particular, the Coast Guard would appreciate comments addressing: (1) The practical utility of the Collection; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden of the Collection; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of information subject to the Collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the Collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. In response to your comments, we may revise this ICR or decide not to seek approval of revisions of the Collection. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period.

We encourage you to respond to this request by submitting comments and related materials. Comments must contain the OMB Control Number of the ICR and the docket number of this

request, [USCG-2011-0494], and must be received by August 15, 2011. We will post all comments received, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>. They will include any personal information you provide. We have an agreement with DOT to use their DMF. Please see the "Privacy Act" paragraph below.

#### **Submitting Comments**

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number [USCG-2011-0494], indicate the specific section of the document to which each comment applies, providing a reason for each comment. If you submit a comment online via <http://www.regulations.gov>, it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment, it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the DMF. We recommend you include your name, mailing address, an e-mail address, or other contact information in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

You may submit your comments and material by electronic means, mail, fax, or hand delivery to the DMF at the address under **ADDRESSES**; but please submit them by only one means. To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and type "USCG-2011-0494" in the "Keyword" box. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and will address them accordingly.

#### **Viewing Comments and Documents**

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this Notice as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, click on the "read comments" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Keyword" box insert "USCG-2011-0494" and click "Search." Click the "Open Docket Folder" in the "Actions" column. You may also visit the DMF in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the DOT West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### **Privacy Act**

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received in dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act statement regarding Coast Guard public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the **Federal Register** (73 FR 3316).

#### **Information Collection Request**

*Title:* Oil Record Book for Ships.

*OMB Control Number:* 1625-0009.

*Summary:* The Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships (APPS) and the International Convention for Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by the 1978 Protocol relating thereto (MARPOL 73/78), requires that information about oil cargo or fuel operations be entered into an Oil Record Book (CG-4602A). The requirement is contained in 33 CFR 151.25.

*Need:* This information is used to verify sightings of actual violations of the APPS to determine the level of compliance with MARPOL 73/78 and as a means of reinforcing the discharge provisions.

*Forms:* CG-4602A.

*Respondents:* Operators of vessels.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Burden Estimate:* The estimated burden has increased from 19,425 hours to 20,221 hours a year.

Dated: June 9, 2011.

**C.A. Mathieu,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Assistant Commandant for Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2011-14924 Filed 6-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

### **Transportation Security Administration**

#### **Intent to Request Renewal and Amendment From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: Critical Facility Information of the Top 100 Most Critical Pipelines**

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 60-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) invites public comment on one currently approved Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652-0050, abstracted below that we will submit to

OMB for renewal and amendment in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The 9/11 Act required TSA to develop and implement a plan to inspect critical pipeline systems.

**DATES:** Send your comments by August 15, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be e-mailed to [TSAPRA@dhs.gov](mailto:TSAPRA@dhs.gov) or delivered to the TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA-11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joanna Johnson at the above address, or by telephone (571) 227-3651.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Information Collection Requirement**

OMB Control Number 1652-0050; *Critical Facility Information of the Top 100 Most Critical Pipelines*: Section 1557(b) of the Implementing the Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, specifically tasked TSA to develop and implement a plan for inspecting critical facilities of the 100 most critical pipeline systems. See Public Law 110-53, 121 Stat. 266, 475 (Aug. 3, 2007). Operators determined their critical facilities based on guidance and criteria set forth in the Department of Transportation's (DOT)

September 5, 2002, "Pipeline Security Information Circular" and June 2002 "Pipeline Security Contingency Planning Guidance." With OMB approval (OMB Control Number 1652-0050), TSA reached out to the operators of the top 125 critical pipeline systems and requested they submit a listing of their critical facilities to TSA. This critical facility information was submitted to TSA between November 2008 and August 2009. In April 2011, TSA updated the "Pipeline Security Guidelines" in consultation with stakeholders and DOT. TSA is now seeking to renew its OMB approval to request critical facility information from the top 125 pipeline operators. TSA anticipates that each operator will report, on average, a total of 5 critical facilities on their system, for a total of approximately 600 critical facilities across the top 125 operators.

Once updated critical facility information is obtained, TSA intends to visit critical pipeline facilities and collect site-specific information from pipeline operators on facility security policies, procedures, and physical security measures. Information obtained on the visits will be collected on a Critical Facility Security Review (CFSR) Form. The CFSR will differ from TSA's Corporate Security Review (CSR) in that a CSR looks at corporate or company-wide security management plans and practices while the CFSR will look at individual pipeline facility security measures and procedures. TSA is seeking OMB approval to utilize the CFSR document during critical facility reviews in order to collect facility security information. Information collected from the reviews would be analyzed and used to determine strengths and weaknesses at the nation's critical pipeline facilities, areas to target for risk reduction strategies, pipeline industry implementation of the voluntary guidelines, and the need for regulations in accordance with Section 1557(d) of the Implementing the Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007. TSA anticipates visiting 120 critical facilities each year.

TSA is also seeking OMB approval to follow up with pipeline operators on their implementation of security improvements and recommendations made during facility visits. During critical facility visits, TSA documents and provides recommendations to improve the security posture of the facility. TSA intends to follow up with pipeline operators via email on their status toward implementation of the recommendations made during the critical facility visits. The follow up will

be conducted between approximately 12 and 24 months after the facility visit.

TSA will use the information collected to determine to what extent the pipeline industry is implementing the 2011 guidance document and security improvement recommendations made during critical facility visits. The information provided by owners or operators for each information collection is Sensitive Security Information (SSI), and it will be protected in accordance with procedures meeting the transmission, handling and storage requirements of SSI set forth in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.

The burden related to the renewal of the collection for updated critical facility information from the top 125 pipeline system operators will require 4 hours per operator ( $125 \times 4$ ), for a burden of 500 hours in the first year only.

The annual burden for the approval of the information collection related to the Critical Facility Review Form is estimated to be 480 hours. A maximum of 120 facility reviews will be conducted each year with each review taking approximately 4 hours ( $120 \times 4$ ).

The annual burden for the approval of the information collection related to the follow up on the recommendations made to facility operators is estimated to be 600 hours. It will take approximately 5 hours for each operator to submit a response to TSA regarding its implementation of security recommendations made during critical facility visits. If a maximum of 120 critical facilities are reviewed each year, and TSA follows up with each facility operator between approximately 12 and 24 months following the visit, the total annual burden is 600 ( $120 \times 5$ ) hours. An additional burden of 1,750 hours is estimated in the first year only for information collection on the follow up of the recommendations made during the 350 facility visits conducted in accordance with the requirement in the 9/11 Act ( $350 \times 5 = 1,750$ ). The estimated number of respondents will be 125 for the renewal of the critical facility data and 600 for the critical facility review form and recommendations. The total estimated burdens are 2,730 hours in the first year and 1,080 hours annually in subsequent years.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on June 13, 2011.

**Joanna Johnson,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2011-14996 Filed 6-15-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-05-P**