locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:
Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68
FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at http://ia.ita.doc.gov.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3508 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and

each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of June 2011. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of June 2011, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community. Dated: May 23, 2011.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–13553 Filed 5–31–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

#### **Background**

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

#### **Upcoming Sunset Reviews for July 2011**

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in July 2011 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews.

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Light-Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe & Tube from Taiwan (A–583–803) (3rd Review)  Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India (A–533–808) (3rd Review)	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391. David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136. David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Welded Carbon Steel Pipe & Tube from Turkey (C-489-502) (3rd Review)	David Goldberger, (202) 482-4136.
Suspended Investigations	
Uranium from Russia (A-821-802) (3rd Review)	Sally Gannon, (202) 482-0162.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of

Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3— Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required

of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: May 23, 2011.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–13558 Filed 5–31–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-423-809]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective Date: June 1, 2011.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Tran or Mary Kolberg, at (202) 482–1503 or (202) 482–1785, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **Background**

On May 3, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice announcing the opportunity to request an administrative review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 75

FR 23236 (May 3, 2010). On May 28, 2010, we received a request for revocation of this order from the Government of Belgium ("GOB") via administrative review. The request was filed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(e)(2). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department published a notice initiating an administrative review of the CVD order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium covering the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010).

#### **Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(l), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On May 2, 2011, the GOB withdrew its request for the 2009 administrative review and for revocation of the CVD order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium, past the 90-day deadline. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary may extend the 90-day time limit if it is reasonable to do so.

The Department determines it is reasonable to extend the 90-day deadline in this case. On May 5, 2011, the Department revoked this order effective July 18, 2010, in the second five-year (sunset) review of this order.1 We revoked the order because we found all subsidy programs had been terminated and, thus, there was no likelihood of continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies. Although an administrative review of the 2009 period could be conducted for assessment purposes, a revocation proceeding is not warranted because any revocation of the order as the result of such a proceeding would occur with the publication of the final results, which would be after the July 18, 2010, effective date of the revocation pursuant to the sunset review.<sup>2</sup> In addition, as noted above, the GOB was the only party to request this review and included a request for revocation. Therefore, because the GOB sought revocation as part of its administrative

review request, the order has already been revoked, and the Department has not dedicated extensive resources to this review, the Department finds that it is reasonable to rescind this administrative review even though the request was received after the 90-day period for withdrawals.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess countervailing duties at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry, for entries during the period January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review. In addition, pursuant to an injunction issued in ArcelorMittal Stainless Belgium N.V. v. United States, CIT No. 08-00434, on January 16, 2009, modified on August 16, 2010, the Department must continue to suspend liquidation of certain entries pending a conclusive court decision in that action.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protection order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(l) and 777(i)(l) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 25, 2011.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2011–13574 Filed 5–31–11; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

# Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of the Countervailing Duty Order, 76 FR 25666 (May 5, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Department revoked this order effective July 18, 2010 as this was the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the most recent notice of continuation of this order in the first sunset review. *See id.*