

products (shipped/received) shipping, receiving, customer service.” The petition also states that “production, shipping/receiving, customer service, is being done at a facility in UK.” In an attachment to the petition, the petitioners stated that “(since 2006) the company has had to shift production \* \* \* the (grinding) department suffered from cheaper imports \* \* \* has shut down permanently . \* \* ”

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that a shift of production by the subject firm to Canada in 2006 did not contribute importantly to workers’ separations because, during the period of the investigation, the subject firm did not produce an article. Rather, the subject firm supplied storage services for other subsidiaries of AMG (the parent company) and those storage services were shifted to an affiliate domestic facility. Further, the subject firm did not, during the relevant period, increase imports of services like or directly competitive with the storage services supplied by the workers. In addition, the subject firm did not supply services to a firm that both employed a worker group that employed a worker group eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and used the services supplied by the subject firm in the production of an article or the supply of the service that was the basis for the TAA certification.

In the request for reconsideration, a former worker of the subject firm reiterated that the subject firm shifted operations to various facilities throughout the United States, as well as Canada, Brazil, England, and Mexico.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that, during the relevant period, workers at the subject firm were engaged in activities related to the supply of storage and shipment services, which consist of receiving finished products from related companies and shipping these products to customers. Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation also confirmed that, during the relevant period, the workers’ firm neither shifted to a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with the services supplied by the subject workers, nor acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the subject workers.

## Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of

Shieldalloy Metallurgical Corporation, a subsidiary of AMG, Newfield, New Jersey.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 16th day of February 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-5931 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,729]

#### **International Paper Company, Pineville Mill Industrial Packaging Group; Pineville, LA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration**

On October 15, 2010, the Department of Labor (Department) issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of International Paper Company, Pineville Mill, Industrial Packaging Group, Pineville, Louisiana (subject facility). The Department’s Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 2010 (75 FR 66795). The subject workers produce containerboard/paperboard (uncoated freesheet containerboard).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that neither International Paper Company (subject firm) nor any of its customers imported articles like or directly competitive with uncoated freesheet containerboard produced at the subject facility, and that the subject firm neither shifted production to a foreign country nor acquired from another country articles like or directly competitive with the uncoated freesheet containerboard produced at the subject facility. The initial investigation also revealed that the workers are not eligible to apply for TAA as adversely-impacted secondary workers because the subject facility did not produce a

component part that was used by a firm that both employed a worker group that is currently eligible to apply for TAA and directly incorporated the containerboard in the production of the article that was the basis for the TAA certification.

In the request for reconsideration, a subject firm official provided new information regarding the article produced at the subject facility, possible customer imports, and the possibility that workers are adversely-impacted secondary workers.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department contacted the subject firm to confirm and clarify previously-submitted information. The Department also reviewed previous International Paper Company certifications to determine whether the subject workers are adversely-impacted secondary workers.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that the workers at the subject facility were engaged in employment related to the production of containerboard/paperboard.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation also confirmed that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not import either articles like or directly competitive with containerboard/paperboard, or articles directly incorporating foreign-produced component parts which are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating component parts produced by the subject facility.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation also confirmed that the subject facility supplies directly to box production plants and that a customer survey is not necessary because the majority of the customers of the subject facility are other subject firm facilities.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation also confirmed that the subject facility did not produce and supply a component part that was used by a firm (including an affiliated facility of the subject firm) that both employed a worker group that is currently eligible to apply for TAA and directly incorporated the containerboard/paperboard in the production of that article that was the basis for the TAA certification. Although four subject firm facilities employed workers eligible to apply for TAA, none can be the basis for a secondary impact certification in the case at hand.

## Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of International Paper Company, Pineville Mill, Industrial Packaging Group, Pineville, Louisiana.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 15th day of February, 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-5929 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Labor Statistics

#### Proposed Collection, Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the "Current Population Survey (CPS) Volunteer Supplement." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section of this notice on or before *May 16, 2011*.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Carol Rowan, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212. Written comments also may be transmitted by fax to 202-691-5111 (this is not a toll free number).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carol Rowan, BLS Clearance Officer, 202-691-7628 (this is not a toll free number). (See **ADDRESSES** section.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

The September 2011 CPS Volunteer Supplement will be conducted at the request of the Corporation for National and Community Service. The Volunteer Supplement will provide information on the total number of individuals in the U.S. involved in unpaid volunteer activities, the frequency or intensity with which individuals volunteer, the types of organizations for which they volunteer, the activities in which volunteers participate, and the prevalence of volunteering more than 120 miles from home or volunteering abroad. It will also provide information on civic engagement and charitable donations.

Because the Volunteer Supplement is part of the CPS, the same detailed demographic information collected in the CPS will be available about respondents to the supplement. Thus, comparisons of volunteer activities will be possible across respondent characteristics, including sex, race, age, and educational attainment. It is intended that the supplement will be conducted annually, if resources permit, in order to gauge changes in volunteerism.

### II. Current Action

Office of Management and Budget clearance is being sought for the CPS Volunteer Supplement. The September 2011 instrument is unchanged since the previously approved collection.

### III. Desired Focus of Comments

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or

other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* CPS Volunteer Supplement.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0176.

*Affected Public:* Individuals.

*Total Responses:* 63,000.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Total Responses:* 106,000

*Average Time per Response:* 3 minutes.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 5,300 hours.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of March, 2011.

**Kimberley Hill,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. 2011-6008 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (11-022)]

### NASA Advisory Council; Science Committee; Astrophysics Subcommittee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) announces a meeting of the Astrophysics Subcommittee of the NASA Advisory Council (NAC). This Subcommittee reports to the Science Committee of the NAC. The Meeting will be held for the purpose of soliciting from the scientific community and other persons scientific and technical information relevant to program planning.

**DATES:** Thursday, April 7, 2011, 2 p.m. to 4 p.m., Local Time.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will take place telephonically and by WebEx. Any interested person may call the USA toll free conference call number 888-469-