Number SR-CBOE-001 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2009-001. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, on business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m., located at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2009-001 and should be submitted on or before March 12, 2009.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 7

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–3423 Filed 2–18–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-59379; File No. SR-CBOE-2009-002]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Broker-Dealer Transaction Fees for AIM Executions

February 10, 2009.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on January 30, 2009, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by CBOE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") proposes to amend its Fees Schedule regarding broker-dealer transaction fees for Automated Improvement Mechanism ("AIM") executions. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website (http://www.cboe.org/legal), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On December 1, 2008, the Exchange reduced the transaction fee for nonmember market-maker orders ("N" origin code orders) executed on AIM from \$.45 per contract to \$.20 per contract.¹ In order to encourage more use of AIM, the Exchange proposes to reduce all broker-dealer transaction fees for orders executed on AIM from \$.45 per contract to \$.20 per contract. Broker-dealer transaction fees apply to broker-dealer orders (orders with "B" origin code), non-member market-maker orders (orders with "N" origin code) and orders from specialists in the underlying security (orders with "Y" origin code).²

The fee discount would apply to B, N and Y origin code orders initially entered into AIM as the contra party to an Agency Order. The Exchange proposes to implement this fee change on February 2, 2009.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ³, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) ⁴ of the Act in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among CBOE members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that reducing brokerdealer transaction fees for AIM executions should encourage more use of AIM.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)

^{7 17} CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59068 (December 8, 2008), 73 FR 76428 (December 16, 2008). AIM is an electronic auction system that exposes certain orders electronically in an auction to provide such orders with the opportunity to receive an execution at an improved price. AIM is governed by CBOE Rule 6.74A. The fee discount applies to non-member market-maker orders initially entered into AIM as the contra party to an Agency Order.

² See CBOE Fees Schedule, Footnote 16.

^{3 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{4 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

of the Act ⁵ and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 ⁶ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2009-002 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Elizabeth M. Murphy, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2009-002. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of CBOE. All comments received will be posted

without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE–2009–002 and should be submitted on or before March 12, 2009.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–3424 Filed 2–18–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-59388; File No. SR-DTC-2009-02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Implement a Maturity Presentment Pend Function To Replace the Maturity Presentment Contingency System

February 11, 2009.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b—4 thereunder notice is hereby given that on January 13, 2009, The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by DTC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change seeks to implement a Maturity Presentment Pend function ("IPA MP Pend Function") that will replace the Maturity Presentment Contingency System.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, DTC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. DTC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.³

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

Current MMI Maturity Payment Procedure

Currently, as part of DTC's Money Market Instrument ("MMI") program maturity payment procedures, DTC sweeps maturing MMI positions from investors' custodians accounts and generates Maturity Presentments ("MPs") 4 to the designated Issuing Agent or Paying Agent's (collectively, "IPA") accounts. DTC debits the IPA's account by the amount of the maturity proceeds for settlement that day and credits the same amount to the investor's custodian account for payment that day. Because MPs are processed against an IPA's DTC account, IPAs may refuse to pay for a specific issuer's MP in the event that the issuer defaults on its obligation to the IPA. DTC allows IPAs to enter refusal to pay notifications through the Participant Terminal System ("PTS") until 3 p.m. Eastern Time on the date of maturity.5

Under extraordinary circumstances or in times of unusual market stress, DTC may use the Maturity Presentment Contingency System ("MPCS") on the days following a disaster and after consultation with the Commission to allow IPA banks to review and manually release MPs. IPAs are able to release MPs for processing on a CUSIP or issuer acronym level basis. At the close of settlement, MPs that have not been released are rolled into the next business day's processing queue for representation along with that day's scheduled obligations. This process continues until all maturities are funded and the IPA releases the MP, the IPA notifies DTC of its refusal to pay, or the MPCS contingency procedure is terminated.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{6 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

^{7 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}\,\rm The$ Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by DTC.

⁴ References to MPs also cover other payment obligations of MMI issuers such as periodic payments and periodic interest payments.

⁵ If the IPA refuses to pay, then DTC follows its Defaulting Issuer procedures, which include devaluing the collateral value of all of the defaulting issuer's MMI to zero, reversing all of the issuer's issuances and maturities processed that day, notifying DTC participants of the default, and blocking all further issuances by the issuer from entering DTC. If an IPA then contacts DTC to reverse the refusal to pay instruction, DTC undoes all the actions it took under its Defaulting Issuer procedures.