approximately 130 monitors, which will result in the addition of 66 respondents.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another Federal Register notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Dated: October 5, 2009.

Ronald Fraass,

Director, National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory (NAREL). [FR Doc. E9–26836 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8799-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009, (74 FR 34754).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090192, ERP No. D–FHW– L40238–ID, Idaho 16, I–84 to Idaho 44 Environmental Study, Proposed Action is to Increase the Transportation Capacity, Funding, Ada and Canyon Counties, ID. Summary: EPA expressed

environmental concerns about impacts to air quality, wetlands, floodplains, groundwater and riparian habitat complexes and requested information on public transit, designated nonmotorized infrastructure, and demand management to reduce greenhouse gases. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20090231, ERP No. D-BIA-

K60042–CA, Point Molate Mixed-Use Tribal Destination Resort and Casino, Proposed Project is to Strengthen the Tribal Government and Improve the Social Economic Status, Guidiville Band of Pomo Indian of the Guidiville Rancheria (Tribe), City of Richmond, Contra Costa County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the cleanup of onsite contamination and requested additional information regarding enforcement of cleanup orders after the land is taken into Tribal trust. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090244, ERP No. D–BLM– K65375–CA, Santa Ana River Wash Land Use Plan Amendment and Land Exchange Project, Proposes to Exchange Land Located within Upper Santa Ana River Wash, for District-Owned Lands in San Bernardino County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to wetlands and endangered species. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20090298, EBP No. D-COE-

K39121–CA, Natomas Levee Improvement Program Phase 4a Landside Improvement Project, Issuing of 408 Permission and 404 Permit, California Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the California Central Valley Flood Protection Board, Sutter and Sacramento Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air quality conformity and requested that residual flood risk be incorporated into land use planning. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20090318, ERP No. D–USA–

L15000–WA, Fort Lewis Army Growth and Force Structures Realignment, Implementation, Fort Lewis and Yakima Training Center, Kittitas, Pierce, Thurston and Yakima Counties, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality, biological resources, noise and human health impacts. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20090308, ERP No. DS–USN–

E65055–FL, Renewal of Authorization to Use Pinecastle Range, New Information that was not Available in the 2002 FEIS, Continued Use of the Range for a 20 Year Period, Special Use Permit Issuance, Ocala National Forest, Marion and Lake Counties, FL.

Summary: Based on full implementation of the existing and proposed mitigation measures, EPA has no objection to the proposed action. Rating LO. EIS No. 20090310, ERP No. DS-AFS-L65455-ID, Small-Scale Suction Dredging in Lolo Creek and Moose Creek Project, Updated Information to Analysis Three Alternatives, Clearwater National Forest, North Fork Ranger District, Clearwater and Idaho Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality and cumulative impacts, and requested information about the data collected and level of uncertainty regarding conclusions related to water quality. EPA also requested a cumulative effects analysis that considers a temporal scale consistent with the life of this approval and continual dredging over time in the stream channel. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20090328, ERP No. F–FRC– E03019–00, Phase VIII Expansion Project, Proposed to Construct, Own, Operate, and Maintain New Interstate National Gas Pipeline, Compressor, and Ancillary Facilities in Alabama and Florida.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about noise aquatic habitat, water resource, and wetland impacts, and requested mitigation for these impacts.

Dated: November 3, 2009.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Deputy Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–26825 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8598-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.*

Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 10/26/2009 Through 10/30/2009

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 20090371, Draft EIS, NPS, KY, Cumberland Gap National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Middlesboro, KY, Comment Period Ends: 01/04/2010, Contact: David Libman, 404–507– 5701.
- EIS No. 20090372, Draft EIS, FRBSF, WA, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Propose to sell the Property at 1015 Second Avenue that is

Eligible for Listing on the National Register of Historic Places, located in Seattle, WA, Comment Period Ends: 12/21/2009, Contact: Robert Kellar, 415–974–2655.

- EIS No. 20090373, Draft Supplement, BLM, NV, On Line Project, (Previously Known as Ely Energy Center) Proposed 236-mile long 500 kV Electric Transmission Line from a new substation near Ely, Nevada approximately 236 miles south to the existing Harry Allen substation near Las Vegas, Clark, Lincoln, Nye and White Pine Counties, NV, Comment Period Ends: 12/21/2009, Contact: Michael Dwyer, 702–821–7102.
- EIS No. 20090374, Draft EIS, DOE, MS, Kemper County Integrated Gasification Combined-Cycle (IGCC) Project, Construction and Operation of Advanced Power Generation Plant, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Kemper County, MS, Comment Period Ends: 12/21/2009, Contact: Richard A. Hargis, Jr., 412–386–6065.
- EIS No. 20090375, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Deadlog Vegetation Management Project, To Implement Treatments that would Reduce the Risk of High Intensity, Stand Replacement Wildlife and the Risk of Heavy Tree Mortality from Insects and Disease, Deschutes National Forest Lands, Deschutes County, OR, Wait Period Ends: 12/07/ 2009, Contact: Beth Peer, 541–383– 4769.
- EIS No. 20090376, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Piute Fire Restoration Project, Proposes to Salvage Dead and Dying Trees, Treat Excess Fuels, and Plant Trees, Kern River Ranger District, Sequoia National Forest, Kern County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 12/21/ 2009, Contact: Barbara Johnston, 559– 784–1500 Ext 1220.
- EIS No. 20090377, Draft EIS, BOP, 00, Criminal Alien Requirement 9 Project, Proposal to Contract with one or more Private Contractors to House up to 2,500 Federal, Low-Security, Adult Male, Non-U.S. Citizen, Criminal Aliens at Contractor Owned and Operated Correctional Facilities, Located in Baldwin, MI and/or Lake City, FL, Comment Period Ends: 12/ 21/2009, Contact: Issac Gaston, 202– 514–6470.
- EIS No. 20090378, Draft EIS, COE, MN, NorthMet Project, Proposes to Construct and Operate an Open Pit Mine and Processing Facility, Located in Hoyt Lakes—Babbitt Area of St. Louis County, MN, Comment Period Ends: 02/02/2010, Contact: Jon K. Ahlness, 651–290–5381.

Dated: November 3, 2009. **Ken Mittelholtz,** Deputy Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. E9–26826 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8978-3]

Good Neighbor Environmental Board; Request for Nominations to the Good Neighbor Environmental Board

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of request for nominations.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is inviting nominations of qualified candidates to be considered for appointment to fill vacancies on the Good Neighbor Environmental Board. EPA values and welcomes diversity. In an effort to obtain nominations of diverse candidates, EPA encourages nominations of women and men of all racial and ethnic groups. Vacancies are expected to be filled by early spring 2010. Additional sources may be utilized in the solicitation of nominees. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Good Neighbor Environmental Board was created by the Enterprise for the Americas Initiative Act of 1992. Under Executive Order 12916, implementation authority is delegated to the Administrator of the EPA. The Board is responsible for providing advice to the President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues and needs within the States contiguous to Mexico. The statute calls for the Board to have representatives from U.S. government agencies; the States of Arizona, California, New Mexico and Texas; local government; Tribes; and a variety of non-governmental officials including the private sector; academic officials; environmental group representatives; health groups; ranching and grazing interests; and other relevant sectors. U.S. government agency representatives are nominated by the heads of their agencies. Non-Federal members are appointed by the Administrator of the EPA. The Board meets three times annually, twice at various locations along the U.S.-Mexico Border and once in Washington, DC. The average workload for members is approximately 10 to 15 hours per month. Members serve on the Board in a voluntary capacity. However, EPA provides reimbursement for travel expenses associated with official

government business. The following criteria will be used to evaluate nominees:

• Resident of a U.S.-Mexico border State, ideally within the border region itself.

• Representative of a sector or group that helps to shape border-region environmental policy or representatives of a group affected by border region environmental policy.

• Extensive professional knowledge of the unique environmental and infrastructure issues that are found in the region, including the bi-national dimension of these issues.

• Senior-level experience that fills a current need on the Board.

• Demonstrated ability to work in a consensus building process with diverse constituencies.

• Ability to volunteer approximately 10 to 15 hours per month to the Board's activities, including participation on meeting planning committees and preparation of text for annual reports and Comment Letters.

Nominations must include a résumé describing the professional and educational qualifications of the nominee, as well as the nominee's current business address, e-mail address, and daytime telephone number. Interested candidates may selfnominate.

ADDRESSES: Submit nominations to: Dolores Wesson, Designated Federal Officer, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1601–M), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dolores Wesson, Designated Federal Officer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1601–M), Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564–1351; fax (202) 564–8129; e-mail wesson.dolores@epa.gov.

Dated: October 23, 2009.

Dolores Wesson,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. E9–26839 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0802; FRL-8798-4]

Notice of Receipt of a Pesticide Petition Filed for Residues of a Pesticide Chemical in or on Various Commodities; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).