

individual concerned and should be signed.

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Marine Corps rules for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; Marine Corps Order P5211.2; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from: Marine Corps Systems Command, PM GCSS-MC, 118300 Quantico Gateway Drive, Gateway Bldg 1, Triangle, VA 22172-5103.

#### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

From the individual.

#### EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

[FR Doc. E9-25706 Filed 10-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 25, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attention:* Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395-5806 or send e-mail to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of

Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: October 20, 2009.

**Angela C. Arrington,**

*Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.*

### National Institute for Literacy

*Type of Review:* New.

*Title:* Understanding Effective K-3 Reading Programs Based on Scientific Reading Research.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:*

*Responses:* 87.

*Burden Hours:* 1,037.

**Abstract:** The National Institute for Literacy (NIFL) is authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act, Public Law 107-110, Part B, Subpart 1, Section 1207 to “\* \* \* identify and disseminate information about schools, local educational agencies, and State educational agencies that have effectively developed and implemented classroom reading programs that meet the requirements of this subpart (Reading First) \* \* \*” To carry out this authorized activity, the NIFL is first conducting a set of case studies, identifying Schools with Effective Reading Programs, to be implemented by a research team from Education Development Center, Inc. (EDC). The NIFL then will publish findings from the case studies as a report and print and distribute it widely among educators and administrators working with children in kindergarten through third grades as well as reading researchers. The NIFL needs to collect the information proposed in this package to be able to describe in reasonable detail the school- and classroom-based reading strategies employed by schools with high-performing students. The NIFL understands its statutory charge to mean providing information that explains what the schools did and how they did

it rather than general information from sources such as school Web sites. While the findings from case studies should not be construed as guidance to schools seeking to improve their K-3 students' reading outcomes, the information from this study may bring to light detail that contributes to deeper understanding of effective reading instruction and informs future research on K-3 reading instruction.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the “Browse Pending Collections” link and by clicking on link number 4001. When you access the information collection, click on “Download Attachments” to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. E9-25609 Filed 10-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP10-2-000]

### Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc.; Notice of Application

October 19, 2009.

Take notice that on October 9, 2009, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. (Southern Star), 4700 Highway 56, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP10-2-000, an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, requesting authorization to (1) install and operate a natural gas compressor in Montgomery County, Kansas, in order to increase the working gas capacity and maximum daily withdrawal rate of the Elk City Storage Field and (2) amend the current operational plan and other operational changes for the storage field, all as more fully set forth in the application which

is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Additionally, Southern Star requests a determination that the project qualifies for market based rates pursuant to section 4(f) of the NGA. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (866) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to David N. Roberts, Manager, Regulatory Affairs, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc., 4700 Highway 56, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, or by calling (270) 852-4654 (telephone) or (270) 852-5010 (fax).

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding, or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC., 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in

the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper; see, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

*Comment Date:* November 9, 2009.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9-25622 Filed 10-23-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13562-000]

#### Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation; Notice of Competing Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments and Motions To Intervene

October 19, 2009.

On July 31, 2009, the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (MDNRC) filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act, proposing to study the feasibility of the Ruby River Power Project, which would be located at the existing Ruby River Dam on the Ruby River, in Madison County, Montana. The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed project would use the existing 111-foot-high, 846-foot-long earthen dam with spillway, and the existing 635-foot-long, 90-inch horseshoe-shaped tunnel conduit. MDNRC proposes to construct and install: (1) A new 60-inch-diameter, 635-foot-long steel liner in the existing dam outlet conduit; (2) a new 60-inch-diameter, 100-foot-long steel penstock extending to a bifurcation; (3) a new 60-inch-diameter, 50-foot-long steel penstock extending from the bifurcation to the powerhouse; (4) a new 60-inch-diameter, 50-foot-long steel conduit extending from the bifurcation to an energy dissipation structure for excess flows and bypass during turbine outages; (5) a new powerhouse containing two generating units with a combined installed capacity of 1,800 kilowatts, discharging flows into the Ruby River; (6) a new substation next to the powerhouse; (7) a new 25-kilovolt, 6,000-foot-long transmission line; (8) a new switchyard at the interconnection point with the local grid; (9) a new approximately 650-foot-long access road and bridge across the river channel; (10) a new 50-foot by 60-foot parking area; and (11) appurtenant facilities. The proposed project would have an average annual generation of 7.6 gigawatt-hours.

*Applicant Contact:* Kevin Smith, State Water Projects Bureau, Montana Department of Natural Resources and