

5.0 Environmental Consideration

The amendment[s] change[s] a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20 or surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding published [DATE] ([] FR []).

Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) There is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations; and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

7.0 References

1. License Amendment Request dated [DATE], [Title of Amendment Request], ADAMS Accession No. [MLXXXXXXXXX].
2. **Federal Register** Notice of Availability for TSTF Traveler-448 Revision 3, "Control Room Habitability," dated January 17, 2007 (72 FR 2022).
3. **Federal Register** Notice of Availability for TSTF Traveler-508, Revision 1, "Revise Control Room Habitability Actions to Address Lessons Learned from TSTF-448 Implementation," dated [DATE] ([] FR []).

Proposed Model No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination for Plant-Specific Adoption of TSTF Traveler-508, Revision 1, "Revise Control Room Habitability Actions To Address Lessons Learned From TSTF-448 Implementation"

Description of Amendment Request: [Plant name] requests adoption of an approved change to the standard technical specifications (STS), as amended by Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Standard Technical Specification Change Traveler-448, Revision 3, "Control Room Habitability" and TSTF Traveler-508, Revision 1, "Revise Control Room Habitability Actions to Address Lessons Learned from TSTF-448 Implementation." TSTF Traveler-508, Revision 1, revised the STS, as previously amended by TSTF Traveler-448, Revision 3, to address inconsistencies with TSTF Traveler-448, Revision 3. The licensee's proposed changes are consistent with NRC-approved TSTF Traveler-508, Revision 1.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.91(a), the [LICENSEE] analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration is presented below:

Criterion 1: Does the Proposed Change Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed changes do not adversely affect accident initiators or precursors nor alter the design assumptions, conditions, or configuration of the facility. The proposed changes do not alter or prevent the ability of structures, systems, and components (SSCs) to perform their intended function to mitigate the consequences of an initiating event within the assumed acceptance limits. This is a revision to the TSs for the control room ventilation system, which is a mitigation system designed to minimize unfiltered air leakage into the control room envelope (CRE) and to filter the CRE atmosphere to protect the CRE occupants following accidents previously analyzed. An important part of the system is the CRE boundary. Under the proposed change, the movement of irradiated fuel and operations with the potential to drain the reactor vessel may be resumed following confirmation that the CRE occupants will be protected in the event of a DBA. This ensures that the consequences of an accident previously evaluation are not significantly increased. The CRE ventilation system is not an initiator or precursor to any accident previously evaluated. Therefore, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not increased. The consequences of an accident during the proposed Actions are not significantly increased as the Actions require verification that the CRE occupants

are protected by the required mitigating actions.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Criterion 2: Does the Proposed Change Create the Possibility of a New or Different Kind of Accident from any Previously Evaluated?

Response: No.

This revision will not impact the accident analysis. The changes will not alter the requirements of the CRE ventilation system or its function during accident conditions. No new or different accidents result from performing the new surveillance or following the new program. The changes do not involve a physical alteration of the plant (*i.e.*, no new or different type of equipment will be installed) or a significant change in the methods governing normal plant operation. The changes do not alter assumptions made in the safety analysis. The proposed changes are consistent with the safety analysis assumptions and current plant operating practice.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Criterion 3: Does the Proposed Change Involve a Significant Reduction in the Margin of Safety?

Response: No.

The proposed changes do not alter the manner in which safety limits, limiting safety system settings or limiting conditions for operation are determined. The safety analysis acceptance criteria are not affected by these changes. The proposed changes will not result in plant operation in a configuration outside the design basis. Compensatory measures are required to be established in order to maintain plant operation in a configuration that is within the design basis. The proposed changes do not adversely affect systems that respond to safely shutdown the plant and to maintain the plant in a safe shutdown condition.

Therefore, the proposed amendment would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the NRC staff's review of the licensee's analysis, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed amendment presents no significant hazards consideration under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c) and, accordingly, a finding of "no significant hazards consideration" is justified.

[FR Doc. E9-24773 Filed 10-14-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

[[OMB Control No. 3206-0138; Form RI 30-9)]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection, “Reinstatement of Disability Annuity Previously Terminated Because of Restoration to Earning Capacity” (OMB Control No. 3206–0138; Form RI 30–9), informs former disability annuitants of their right to request restoration under title 5, U.S.C. Sections 8337 and 8455. It also specifies the conditions to be met and the documentation required for a person to request reinstatement.

We estimate 200 forms are completed annually. The form takes approximately 60 minutes to respond, including a medical examination. The annual estimated burden is 200 hours. Burden may vary depending on the time required for a medical examination.

For copies of this proposal, contact Cyrus S. Benson on (202) 606–4808, FAX (202) 606–0910 or via E-mail to Cyrus.Benson@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

James K. Freiert, Deputy Assistant Director, Retirement Services Program, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3305, Washington, DC 20415–3500; and

OMB Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

For information regarding administrative coordination contact: Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Publications Team, RIS Support Services/Support Group, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 4H28, Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. E9–24849 Filed 10–14–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

[OMB Control No. 3206–0042; RI 25–15]

Submission for OMB Review; Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, May 22, 1995 and 5 CFR 1320), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection, “Notice of Change in Student’s Status” (OMB Control No. 3206–0042; Form RI 25–15), is used to collect sufficient information from adult children of deceased Federal employees or annuitants to assure that the child continues to be eligible for payments from OPM.

We estimate 2,500 certifications are processed annually. Each form takes approximately 20 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 835 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Cyrus S. Benson by telephone (202) 606–4808, FAX (202) 606–0910 or by e-mail to Cyrus.Benson@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

James K. Freiert, Deputy Assistant Director, Retirement Services Program, Center for Retirement and Insurance Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3305, Washington, DC 20415–3500; and

OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

For information regarding administrative coordination contact:

Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Publications Team, RIS Support Services/Support Group, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 4H28, Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. E9–24850 Filed 10–14–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325–38–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**Sunshine Act****Notification of Item Added to the Agenda, U.S. Railroad Retirement Board**

On October 9, 2009, by recorded vote the Board has voted to add the following item to its agenda for the October 14, 2009:

(2) Employer Status Determination (Decision on Reconsideration)—Trinity Railway Express—Train Dispatching—Herzog Transit Services, Inc.

The person to contact for more information is Beatrice Ezerski, Secretary to the Board, Phone No. 312–751–4920.

Dated: October 9, 2009.

Beatrice Ezerski,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. E9–24904 Filed 10–13–09; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7905–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**Telegraph Hill Partners SBIC, L.P., License No. 09/79–0453; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest**

Notice is hereby given that Telegraph Hill Partners SBIC, L.P., 360 Post Street, Suite 601, San Francisco, CA 94108, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financials which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Telegraph Hill Partners SBIC, L.P. proposes to provide equity security financing to AltheaDx, Inc., 3550 Dunhill Street, San Diego, CA 92121. The financing is contemplated for working capital and general corporate purposes.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because Telegraph Hill Partners II, L.P., THP II Affiliates Fund, L.P., and THP Affiliates Fund, L.P., all Associates of Telegraph Hill Partners