

provisions is 1,628,793,037 square meters equivalent. Of this amount, 814,396,518 square meters equivalent is available to apparel articles imported under the special rule for lesser-developed countries. Apparel articles entered in excess of these quantities will be subject to otherwise applicable tariffs.

These quantities are calculated using the aggregate square meter equivalents of all apparel articles imported into the United States, derived from the set of Harmonized System lines listed in the Annex to the World Trade Organization Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC), and the conversion factors for units of measure into square meter equivalents used by the United States in implementing the ATC.

**Kimberly Glas,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. E9-23118 Filed 9-23-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Patent and Trademark Office

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

*Title:* Invention Promoters/Promotion Firms Complaints.

*Form Number(s):* PTO/SB/2048.

*Agency Approval Number:* 0651-0044.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Burden:* 38 hours annually.

*Number of Respondents:* 100 responses per year.

*Avg. Hours per Response:* The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately 15 minutes (0.25 hours) to gather the necessary information, prepare the form, and submit a complaint to the USPTO and approximately 30 minutes (0.5 hours) for an invention promoter or promotion firm to prepare and submit a response to a complaint.

*Needs and Uses:* The Inventors' Rights Act of 1999 requires the USPTO to provide a forum for the publication of complaints concerning invention promoters and responses from the invention promoters to these

complaints. An individual may submit a complaint to the USPTO, which will then forward the complaint to the identified invention promoter for response. The complaints and responses are published on the USPTO Web site. The public uses this information collection to submit a complaint to the USPTO regarding an invention promoter or to respond to a complaint. The USPTO uses this information to comply with its statutory duty to publish the complaint along with any response from the invention promoter. The USPTO does not investigate these complaints or participate in any legal proceedings against invention promoters or promotion firms.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, businesses or other for-profits, and not-for-profit institutions.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Nicholas A. Fraser, e-mail:

*Nicholas\_A\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.*

Once submitted, the request will be publicly available in electronic format through the Information Collection Review page at <http://www.reginfo.gov>.

Paper copies can be obtained by:

- *E-mail:* [Susan.Fawcett@uspto.gov](mailto:Susan.Fawcett@uspto.gov). Include "0651-0044 Invention Promoters Complaints copy request" in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* 571-273-0112, marked to the attention of Susan K. Fawcett.

- *Mail:* Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before October 26, 2009 to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB Desk Officer, via e-mail at [Nicholas\\_A\\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov), or by fax to 202-395-5167, marked to the attention of Nicholas A. Fraser.

Dated: September 17, 2009.

**Susan K. Fawcett,**

*Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group.*

[FR Doc. E9-23033 Filed 9-23-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration (A-421-811)

#### Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands; Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 24, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick Edwards, Brian Davis, or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8029, (202) 482-7924, or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from the Netherlands on August 26, 2008. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 73 FR 50308 (August 26, 2008).<sup>1</sup> On May 26, 2009, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering purified CMC from the Netherlands. *See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 24823 (May 26, 2009) (*Preliminary Results*). In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited parties to comment. In response, CP Kelco submitted a case brief and a request for a public hearing on June 26, 2009. *See Case Brief from Arent Fox LLP (counsel for respondent) titled "Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands; Case Brief of CP Kelco B.V.,"* dated June 26, 2009 (Case Brief). Petitioner submitted comments on June 30, 2009. *See Letter from Haynes & Boone, LLP (counsel for petitioner), titled "Comment by Petitioner Aqualon Company in Lieu of*

<sup>1</sup> On October 9, and October 10, 2008, respectively, Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals B.V. (Akzo Nobel) and the Aqualon Company, a division of Hercules, Incorporated (petitioner), withdrew their requests for review of Akzo Nobel's sales of merchandise covered by the order. Therefore, the Department rescinded the review with respect to Akzo Nobel. *See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands; Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 66841 (November 12, 2008).

Reply Brief,” dated June 30, 2009. CP Kelco subsequently contacted officials at the Department and withdrew its request for a public hearing. See CP Kelco’s “Withdrawal of Hearing Request,” dated July 2, 2009. In lieu of a public hearing, counsel for respondent requested a meeting with Department officials. See the Memorandum to the File, titled “Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands: Meeting with Counsel for Respondent,” dated July 15, 2009. The current deadline for the final results of this review is September 23, 2009.

#### Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 120 day time period for the final results to 180 days.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the statutory time limit because the Department requires additional time to fully evaluate the comments put forth by CP Kelco, particularly the extensive comments concerning the nature of reported factoring expenses. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review until no later than October 7, 2009, which is 134 days after the date on which the preliminary results of review were published.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 18, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-23115 Filed 9-23-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-836]

#### **Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind Administrative Review in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 24, 2009, in response to a request from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The review covers four manufacturers/exporters. The period of review is February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal value by certain companies subject to this review. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments in this review are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue and a brief summary of the argument.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 24, 2009.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760 and (202) 482-4477, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On February 10, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on CTL plate from Korea. See *Notice of Amendment of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan and the Republic of Korea*, 65 FR 6585 (February 10, 2000). On February 4, 2009, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CTL plate from Korea. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity*

*To Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 6013 (February 4, 2009). On February 27, 2009, pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM), requested that the Department review its sales of subject merchandise from Korea and Nucor Corporation, the domestic interested party in this review, requested that the Department review the sales of subject merchandise from Korea produced or exported by Daewoo International Corporation (Daewoo), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung), Hyundai Mipo Dockyard Co., Ltd. (Hyundai Mipo), and JeongWoo Industrial Machine Co., Ltd. (JeongWoo), during the period of review. On March 24, 2009, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CTL plate from Korea produced and/or exported by DSM, Daewoo, Hyosung, Hyundai Mipo, and JeongWoo for the period of review. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 12310, 12312 (March 24, 2009).

On April 1, 2009, for purposes of selecting respondents in this review, we released the data we obtained from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) on March 16, 2009, for this review to interested parties which have access to business-proprietary information under the Administrative Protective Order. See the April 1, 2009, memorandum to the File entitled “Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: CBP Data” (CBP Data Memo). On April 8, 2009, DSM withdrew its request that the Department review its sales of subject merchandise. On May 7, 2009, we issued a quantity-and-value questionnaire to Daewoo, Hyosung, Hyundai Mipo, and JeongWoo. See the May 12, 2009, memorandum to the File entitled “Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Release of Quantity-and-Value Questionnaire” (Q&V Release Memo). On June 5, 2009, we rescinded the review in part with respect to CTL plate from Korea produced and/or exported by DSM. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 27015 (June 5, 2009).

##### **Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal