

review. On March 24, 2009, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of low enriched uranium from France for the period February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009 (the seventh period of review). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 12310 (March 24, 2009). In that notice, the Department also initiated administrative reviews covering the periods February 1, 2005 through January 31, 2006 (the fourth period of review), and February 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008 (the sixth period of review).<sup>1</sup> For the reasons discussed below, we are rescinding the administrative reviews.

### Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On May 15, 2009, USEC withdrew its request for the seventh administrative review. At the same time, USEC and Eurodif withdrew their requests for administrative review for the fourth and sixth administrative reviews. USEC and Eurodif withdrew their requests before the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France for the periods discussed. Therefore, in response to the withdrawals, by USEC and Eurodif, of all requests for the three administrative reviews, and pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department rescinds the three administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium from France.

### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, the antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department is currently barred from liquidating entries subject to the antidumping order on low

enriched uranium from France by the injunction in place in *Eurodif S.A. et al v. United States*, Court No. 02–00219. When the Court of International Trade (CIT) issues final judgment and dismisses the case, the injunction will dissolve. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CPB 15 days after notification by the CIT that the case has been dismissed.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protection orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This notice is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 29, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9–13198 Filed 6–4–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–580–836]

### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 24, 2009, in response to a request from interested parties, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of the

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from the Republic of Korea. The period of review is February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. The Department of Commerce is rescinding this review in part.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 5, 2009.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 and (202) 482–4477, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 24, 2009, in response to a request from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 12310, 12312 (March 24, 2009) (*Initiation Notice*). One of the companies included in the *Initiation Notice* was Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM). On April 8, 2009, DSM withdrew its request that we review its sales of subject merchandise from Korea.

### Rescission of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review in part “if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.” We received the letter withdrawing the request for the review of DSM within the 90-day time limit. The Department received no other requests for review of this company. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the review in part with respect to CTL plate from Korea produced and/or exported by DSM. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after publication of this notice.

### Notification to Importer

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a

<sup>1</sup> The Department had previously deferred the initiation of the reviews for the 05/06 and 07/08 periods. See 71 FR 17077 (April 5, 2006) and 73 FR 16837 (March 31, 2008).

certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 1, 2009.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-13191 Filed 6-4-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-807]

#### **Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Results of Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 22, 2009, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand in *Nucor Corporation, Gerdau Ameristeel Corporation, and Commercial Metals Company v. United States*, Court No. 07-00457 (Apr. 14, 2009) (*Nucor I*). See Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, dated January 31, 2009 (found at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands>); and *Nucor Corporation, Gerdau Ameristeel, Inc., and Commercial Metals Company v. United States*, Slip Op. 09-50 (May 22, 2009) (*Nucor II*). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Turkey covering the period of review (POR) of April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. See *Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New*

*Shipper Review and Determination To Revoke in Part*, 72 FR 62630 (Nov. 6, 2007) (*Final Results*).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 5, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-3874.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On November 6, 2007, the Department published its final results in the antidumping duty administrative review of rebar from Turkey covering the POR of April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. See *Final Results*. In the *Final Results*, the Department imputed an amount for depreciation related to an account listed as "melt shop modernization" in the books and records of one respondent, Ekinciler Demir ve Celik Sanayi A.S. and Ekinciler Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, "Ekinciler"), as had been done in prior segments of the proceeding. In *Nucor I*, the CIT determined that the Department's *Final Results* were not supported by substantial evidence on the record, and it remanded the issue of the imputed depreciation calculated for Ekinciler to the Department. Specifically, the CIT directed the Department to redetermine "imputed depreciation for Ekinciler without the amount that currently reflects the foreign exchange losses in the melt shop modernization account."

On April 14, 2009, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to *Nucor I*. The remand redetermination explained that, in accordance with the CIT's instructions, the Department recalculated the cost of production for Ekinciler excluding the depreciation on the foreign exchange losses recorded in Ekinciler's melt shop modernization account. The Department's redetermination resulted in changes to the *Final Results* weighted-average margin for Ekinciler from 1.66 percent to 0.11 percent.

##### **Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision.

The CIT's decision in *Nucor v. II* on May 22, 2009, constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. In the event the CIT's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise during the POR from Ekinciler based on the revised assessment rates calculated by the Department.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: June 1, 2009.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E9-13193 Filed 6-4-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **University of Iowa, Notice of Consolidated Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW, Washington, D.C.

**Docket Number:** 09-017. Applicant: University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 20281, May 1, 2009.

**Comments:** None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: The foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We