

including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* June 1, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

2. *Date:* June 2, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

3. *Date:* June 3, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

4. *Date:* June 4, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

5. *Date:* June 12, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

6. *Date:* June 15, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

7. *Date:* June 16, 2009.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 402.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Digital Humanities Start-Up Grants, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities, at the April 8, 2009 deadline.

Michael P. McDonald,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-11493 Filed 5-15-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request clearance for this collection. In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than three years.

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information of respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by July 17, 2009, to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by e-mail to splimpton@nsf.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to splimpton@nsf.gov.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title of Collection:* Implementation Evaluation of the ADVANCE Program.

OMB Control No.: 3145-NEW.

Expiration Date of Approval: Not applicable.

Abstract

The ADVANCE Program was established by the National Science Foundation in 2001 to address the underrepresentation and inadequate advancement of women on STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) faculties at postsecondary institutions. The evaluation being conducted by the Urban Institute focuses on the implementation of ADVANCE projects at institutions throughout the nation. The three major funding components—institutional transformation, leadership, and partnership awards—as well as all cohorts funded that completed their funding cycles will be included. The study will rely on a thorough review of project documents, telephone interviews with all grantees, and detailed case studies at selected sites. The goal of the evaluation will be to identify models of implementation and, depending on outcomes by model, conduct case studies at selected institutions to understand how ADVANCE models operate and may be effective in differing settings.

Respondents: Faculty and staff at institutions of higher education awarded an ADVANCE grant from NSF.

Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 200 (total).

Burden on the Public: 200 hours.

Dated: May 13, 2009.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. E9-11541 Filed 5-15-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0122]

NUREG/CR-XXXX, Criteria for Development of Evacuation Time Estimate Studies, Draft Report for Comment

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Announcement of issuance for public comment, availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued for public comment a document entitled: NUREG/CR-XXXX, "Criteria for Development of Evacuation Time Estimate Studies." The Evacuation Time Estimate (ETE) is a calculation of the time to evacuate the plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone (EPZ), an area with a radius of about 10 miles around a nuclear power plant (NPP). The ETE is primarily used to inform protective action decisions during NPP radiological emergencies and may be used to assist in the development of traffic management plans to support an evacuation. This document is an acceptable template for use by NPP licensees to meet NRC requirements for the development of ETE studies. It also provides guidance for the update and review of ETEs. The format and criteria provided in this document will support consistent application of ETE methodology and will facilitate consistent NRC review of ETE studies.

DATES: Comments must be filed no later than 75 days from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: NUREG/CR-XXXX, "Criteria for Development of Evacuation Time Estimate Studies," is available for inspection and copying for a fee at the NRC Public Document Room, Public File Area O1-F21, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS Accession number for this NUREG/CR is: ML090560622. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Comments will be made available to the public in their entirety; personal information, such as your name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, etc., will not be removed from your submission. You may submit

comments by any one of the following methods: *Federal e-Rulemaking Portal*: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>; search on Docket ID: NRC-2008-0122. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher 301-492-3668; e-mail carol.gallagher@nrc.gov. Mail comments to: Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frank J. (Jeff) Laughlin, Division of Preparedness and Response, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Telephone: 301-415-1113, or e-mail at jeff.laughlin@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NUREG/CR-XXXX, "Criteria for Development of Evacuation Time Estimate Studies," supersedes previous NRC guidance concerning the development of ETE studies. This document provides guidance for addressing new emergency planning (EP) requirements for nuclear power plants based on proposed changes to EP regulations in Title 10, "Energy," Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR Part 50), specifically Section 50.47, "Emergency Plans," and Appendix E, "Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Production and Utilization Facilities" (reference RIN 3150-A110).

NRC is issuing guidance for the development of ETEs that requires licensees to analyze several scenarios including all directions and distances within the EPZ, across time of day, day of week, adverse and normal weather conditions and peak population special events. NRC is seeking comment from offsite response organizations as to the type of scenarios to be developed to adequately support offsite protective action decision-making and evacuation planning efforts.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of May 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Christopher G. Miller,

Deputy Director for Emergency Preparedness, Division of Preparedness and Response, Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response.

[FR Doc. E9-11037 Filed 5-15-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0122]

Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance and Availability of Draft Regulatory Guide, DG-1237.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven F. LaVie, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: (301) 415-1081 or e-mail to Steven.LaVie@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment a draft regulatory guide in the agency's "Regulatory Guide" series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft regulatory guide (DG), titled, "Guidance on Making Changes to Emergency Response Plans for Nuclear Power Reactors," is temporarily identified by its task number, DG-1237, which should be mentioned in all related correspondence. This is a new guide.

The NRC staff's objectives for Title 10, section 50.54(q), of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 50.54(q)) are to ensure that licensees (1) Follow and maintain the effectiveness of their approved emergency plans, (2) evaluate proposed changes to these plans for their impact on the effectiveness of the plans, and (3) obtain prior NRC approval for changes that would reduce the effectiveness of the plans. These actions are essential if these plans are to continue to provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency. The NRC is proposing changes to 10 CFR 50.54(q) based on lessons learned from operating experience and at the direction of the Commission. The purpose of this draft guide is to provide guidance on the implementation of proposed revision of 10 CFR 50.54(q) with respect to making changes to emergency response plans. The