

MICHIGAN**Oakland County,**

McCoy, Kittie C., House, 1455 Benstein Rd.,
Commerce, 08001105, LISTED, 3/25/09

NEW YORK**Allegany County,**

Centerville Town Hall, Fairview Rd.,
Centerville, 09000154, LISTED, 3/25/09

NEW YORK**Livingston County,**

Engleside, 9086 McNair Rd., Dansville
vicinity, 09000156, LISTED, 3/23/09

NEW YORK**Orange County,**

Echo Lawn Estate, River Rd. at Stone Gate
Dr., Balmville vicinity, 09000157, LISTED,
3/23/09

NEW YORK**Orange County,**

Milliken-Smith Farm, 279 Bailey Rd.,
Montgomery vicinity, 09000158, LISTED,
3/25/09

NORTH CAROLINA**Cleveland County,**

Double Shoals Cotton Mill, 199 Old Mill Rd.,
Double Shoals vicinity, 08000775, LISTED,
3/24/09

OHIO**Belmont County,**

Friends Boarding School and Ohio Yearly
Meetinghouse Historic District, 61830
Sandy Ridge Rd., Barnesville vicinity,
09000168, LISTED, 3/25/09

VIRGINIA**Charlottesville Independent City,**

Oakhurst-Gildersleeve Neighborhood
Historic District, Oakhurst Circle,
Gildersleeve Wood, Valley Rd., Valley
Circle, and part of Maywood Ln., and
Jefferson Park Ave., Charlottesville,
09000161, LISTED,
3/25/09

VIRGINIA**Pittsylvania County,**

Yeatts, John and Nancy, House, VA 795,
Chatham vicinity, 09000173, LISTED,
3/25/09

VIRGINIA**Rockingham County,**

Bogota, 5375 Lynnwood Rd., Port Republic
vicinity, 09000162, LISTED, 3/24/09

WISCONSIN**Vilas County,**

Jabodon, 1460 Everett Rd., Washington,
09000164, LISTED, 3/25/09

[FR Doc. E9-10802 Filed 5-8-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-676]

**In the Matter of: Certain Lighting
Control Devices Including Dimmer
Switches and Parts Thereof; Notice of
Investigation**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation
pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 7, 2009, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Lutron Electronics Co., Inc. of Coopersburg, Pennsylvania. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain lighting control devices including dimmer switches and parts thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,637,930 and 5,248,919 and U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,061,804. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin Levi, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International

Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2781.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2009).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 4, 2009, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:

(a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain lighting control devices including dimmer switches or parts thereof that infringe one or more of claims 36, 38-41, 47, 53, 54, 56, 58, 60, 65, 67-70, 76, 82, 83, 85, 87, 89, 94, 96-99, 105, 111, 112, 114, 116, 118, 178, 180, 189, and 197 of U.S. Patent No. 5,637,930 or claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-13, 15-20, 23, 25-32, 35, 36, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 5,248,919, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337; and

(b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain lighting control devices including dimmer switches or parts thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,061,804, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337; and

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Lutron Electronics Co., Inc., 7200 Suter Road, Coopersburg, PA 18036.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Universal Smart Electric Corp., 17795 Sky Park Circle, Suite H, Irvine, CA 92614.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Benjamin Levi, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 5, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9-10928 Filed 5-8-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-407 and 731-TA-902, 904, 905 (Review) (Remand)]

Hot-Rolled Steel Products From Kazakhstan, Romania, and South Africa

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of remand proceedings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its five-year review determinations in the countervailing duty and antidumping Investigation Nos. 701-TA-407 and 731-TA-902, 904, 905 concerning hot-rolled steel products from Kazakhstan, Romania, and South

Africa. For further information concerning the conduct of this proceeding and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: May 4, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-205-3193, or Robin L. Turner, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-205-3103, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record of the underlying reviews (Investigation Nos. 701-TA-404-408 and 731-TA-898-902 and 904-908 (Review)) may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket ("EDIS") at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—In October 2007, the Commission determined that revocation of the countervailing duty order on hot-rolled steel products from South Africa, and that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on hot-rolled steel products from Kazakhstan, Romania, and South Africa, would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission's determinations were appealed to the Court of International Trade ("CIT" or "Court"). On March 9, 2009, the Court issued a decision remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings. *Nucor Corp. v. United States*, Slip Op. 09-16 (Ct. Int'l Trade, March 9, 2009). In its opinion, the Court affirmed the Commission's cumulation analysis, but found that the Commission's conclusions concerning likely subject import volume, likely price effects, and likely impact were unsupported by substantial evidence. The Court instructed the Commission on remand to address six issues. In particular, it instructed the Commission to: (1) Re-evaluate its finding that the ArcelorMittal companies and/or Mittal USA would limit subject imports from the Mittal Companies; (2) reassess and further explain the basis for its findings

that significant imports in any region of the country are likely to have a disruptive impact on the overall U.S. market; (3) reassess and further explain the behavior of ArcelorMittal and its predecessor, the Ispat organization, with respect to their business practices in exporting to countries in which they maintain production facilities; (4) reassess and further explain certain evidence the Court perceived contrary to the Commission's conclusion on likely subject import volume; (5) reassess likely price effects in accordance with its revised volume determination and; (6) reassess its likely impact analysis in accordance with its revised volume and price effects determinations, and to explain the poor performance of the domestic industry in the latter portion of the period of review.

Participation in the proceeding.—

Only those persons who were interested parties to the original reviews (i.e., persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) and were parties to the appeal may participate as parties in the remand proceeding as of right. Such persons need not re-file their appearance notices or protective order applications to participate in the remand proceeding. The Commission will consider permitting Mittal USA to participate as a party in the remand if it files a notice of appearance with the Commission by May 11. Business proprietary information ("BPI") referred to during the remand proceeding will be governed, as appropriate, by the administrative protective order issued in the original reviews.

Written submissions.—The Commission is reopening the record to obtain additional information pertinent to the issues on which the Court has directed a remand. The Commission seeks additional information regarding the operations of ArcelorMittal, its affiliates including Mittal USA, and its predecessor companies including Ispat and Ispat Inland (collectively "Mittal"). Specifically, the Commission seeks information concerning—

(a) Mittal's policies and practices with respect to,

(b) Mittal's evaluation of the economic incentives of, and

(c) The possible market disruption of, shipping hot-rolled steel products produced by one Mittal company to the market in which another Mittal company is located, including the European Union and the United States. The specific questions are posted on the ITC's Internet site at http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/investigations/