

meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1)) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B)) In addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel and organizational issues of the BBG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) and (6))

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact Carol Booker at (202) 203-4545.

Dated: October 9, 2007.

Carol Booker,
Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 07-5118 Filed 10-12-07; 12:01 pm]

BILLING CODE 8610-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-428-840, A-580-860, A-570-920, C-570-921)

Notice of Extension of the Deadline for Determining the Adequacy of the Antidumping Duty Petitions: Lightweight Thermal Paper from Germany, the Republic of Korea, and the People's Republic of China; and the Countervailing Duty Petition: Lightweight Thermal Paper from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Minoo Hatten at (202) 482-1690 and Dmitry Vladimirov at (202) 482-0665 (Republic of Korea); Blanche Ziv at (202) 482-4207, Hallie Zink at (202) 482-6907, and Scott Holland at (202) 482-1279 (People's Republic of China), Victoria Cho at (202) 482-5075 and Christopher Hargett at (202) 482-4161 (Germany), AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

EXTENSION OF INITIATION OF INVESTIGATIONS

The Petitions

On September 19, 2007, the Department of Commerce (Department)

received antidumping and countervailing duty petitions filed by Appleton Papers, Inc. (petitioner) on behalf of the domestic industry producing lightweight thermal paper. *See Antidumping Duty Petitions on Lightweight Thermal Paper from Germany, the Republic of Korea, and the People's Republic of China and Countervailing Duty Petition on Lightweight Thermal Paper from the People's Republic of China* (September 19, 2007) (Petitions).

Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that a petition be filed by or on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that the Department's industry support determination be based on whether a minimum percentage of the relevant industry supports the petition. A petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provides that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A), or (ii) if there is a large number of producers, determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method to poll the industry.

Extension of Time

Section 732(c)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act provides that within 20 days of the filing of an antidumping duty petition, the Department will determine, inter alia, whether the petition has been filed by or on behalf of the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product. Section 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act provides that the deadline for the initiation determination, in exceptional circumstances, may be extended by 20 days in any case in which the Department must "poll or otherwise determine support for the petition by the industry." Because it is not clear from the petition whether the industry support criteria have been met, the Department has determined to extend

the time for initiating an investigation in order to poll the domestic industry.

The Department will need additional time to analyze the domestic producers' responses to the Department's request for information. Therefore, it is necessary to extend the deadline determining the adequacy of the petition for a period not to exceed 40 days from the filing of the petition. As a result, the initiation determination will now be due no later than October 29, 2007.

International Trade Commission Notification

The Department will contact the International Trade Commission (ITC) and will make this extension notice available to the ITC.

Dated: October 09, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-20345 Filed 10-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-533-838

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760 and (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of an interested party, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India for the period December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 5005 (February 2, 2007). On August 22, 2007, we extended the due date for the completion of the preliminary results of reviews by 45

days. See *Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 46954 (August 22, 2007). The preliminary results of the review are currently due no later than October 19, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit because we need additional time to obtain and analyze information regarding suspended entries of the subject merchandise during the period of review. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 45 days until December 3, 2007.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: October 10, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-20346 Filed 10-15-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 31, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the new shipper administrative review in the antidumping duty order covering

certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. See *Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review*, 72 FR 41706 (July 31, 2007) (*Preliminary Results*). The merchandise covered by this review is certain forged stainless steel flanges, manufactured by Micro Forge (India) (Micro Forge), as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006. We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for Micro Forge is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 31, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty new shipper administrative review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. See *Preliminary Results*. The review covers Micro Forge, and the POR is February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006. In the *Preliminary Results*, we assigned Micro Forge a margin based on adverse facts available. We invited parties to comment. We received no comments.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from

the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.10.00 and 7307.21.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

Final Results of the Review

We determine the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006:

Manufacturer / Exporter	Weighted Average Margin (percentage)
Micro Forge	210.00

Liquidation

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In this review, the Department is applying an adverse facts available rate of 210.00 percent to Micro Forge's U.S. sales. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to assess the appropriate assessment rate (210 percent) against the entered Customs values for the subject merchandise on each of Micro Forge's entries under the relevant order during the POR.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act): (1) for the company named above, the cash deposit rates will be the rate shown; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most