

**Brief description of amendment:** The amendment revises Technical Specification (TS) 3.7.1, "Service Water (SW) System and Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)," as follows: revises the existing Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) statement to require four operable SW pumps to be in operation when SW subsystem supply header water temperature is  $\leq 82^{\circ}\text{F}$ ; adds a requirement that five operable SW pumps be in operation when SW subsystem supply header water temperature is  $>82^{\circ}\text{F}$  and  $\leq 84^{\circ}\text{F}$ ; deletes Condition G and the associated Required Actions and Completion Times; revises Surveillance Requirement 3.7.1.3 to increase the maximum allowed SW subsystem supply header water temperature from  $82^{\circ}\text{F}$  to  $84^{\circ}\text{F}$ ; and modifies the requirements for increasing the surveillance frequency as the temperature approaches the limit.

**Date of issuance:** September 4, 2007.

**Effective date:** As of the date of issuance to be implemented within 90 days.

**Amendment No.:** 119.

**Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-69:** Amendment revises the License and Technical Specifications.

**Date of initial notice in Federal Register:** March 13, 2007 (72 FR 11390).

The supplemental letters dated April 27, 2007, May 22, 2007, and July 23, 2007, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated September 4, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No

*Virginia Electric and Power Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281, Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2, Surry County, Virginia*

**Date of application for amendments:** November 16, 2006, as supplemented on March 29 and July 31, 2007.

**Brief Description of amendments:** These amendments added a reference in Technical Specification (TS) Section 6.2.C, "Core Operating Limits Report (COLR)," to permit the use of the Westinghouse Best-Estimate Large Break Loss-of-Coolant Accident (BE-LBLOCA) analysis methodology using the Automated Statistical Treatment of Uncertainty Method (ASTRUM) for the analysis of LBLOCA.

**Date of issuance:** September 6, 2007.

**Effective date:** As of date of issuance and shall be implemented at the completion of Unit 1 fall 2007 refueling outage.

**Amendment Nos.:** 254 and 253.

**Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37:** Amendments changed the licenses and the technical specifications.

**Date of initial notice in Federal Register:** December 5, 2006 (71 FR 70564). The supplements dated March 29 and July 31, 2007, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated September 6, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

*Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation, Docket No. 50-482, Wolf Creek Generating Station, Coffey County, Kansas*

**Date of amendment request:** March 14, 2007.

**Brief description of amendment:** The amendment revised Surveillance Requirements 3.7.2.1 and 3.7.3.1 for the main steam isolation valves and main feedwater isolation valves, respectively, to replace the isolation times by the phrase "within limits." The valve closure times will be stated in the TS Bases, which is controlled by TS 5.5.14, "Technical Specification (TS) Bases Control Program." This amendment is consistent with the NRC-approved Technical Specification Task Force Traveler 491, Revision 2, "Removal of Main Steam and Main Feedwater Isolation Times."

There are other proposed changes to the TSs in the application dated March 14, 2007, that are not being addressed in this amendment. These will be addressed in future letters to the licensee.

**Date of issuance:** August 28, 2007.

**Effective date:** Effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days of the date of issuance.

**Amendment No.:** 174.

**Facility Operating License No. NPF-42.** The amendment revised the Operating License and Technical Specifications.

**Date of initial notice in Federal Register:** June 19, 2007 (72 FR 33785). The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated August 28, 2007.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of September, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Catherine Haney,**

*Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E7-18634 Filed 9-24-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: OPM Form 1300, Presidential Management Fellows Program Nomination Form

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a revised information collection. The OPM Form 1300 is used by accredited colleges and universities to nominate eligible graduate students to the Presidential Management Fellows (PMF) Program.

As a result of Executive Order 13318 and OPM regulations on the PMF Program issued on May 19, 2005 (**Federal Register**, Vol. 70, No. 96, Page 28775), effective June 20, 2005, eligible graduate students interested in applying to the PMF Program must be nominated by their accredited graduate school's Dean, Chairperson, or Academic Program Director (otherwise referred to as the Nomination Official).

No comments were received during the 60-day comment period posted on October 5, 2006 (**Federal Register**, Vol. 71, No. 193, Page 58888).

Approximately 3,000 Nomination Forms are projected to be completed annually. We estimate it takes approximately 30 minutes to complete the form. The annual burden is 1,500 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, FAX (202) 418-3251, or via e-mail to [MaryBeth.Smith-Toomey@opm.gov](mailto:MaryBeth.Smith-Toomey@opm.gov). Please include a mailing address with your request.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments to—Rob Timmins, Business Operations Manager, Presidential Management Fellows Program, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 1425, Washington, DC 20415 and Brenda Aguilar, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Howard Weizmann,**

*Deputy Director.*

[FR Doc. E7-18871 Filed 9-24-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-38-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### In the Matter of Plasticon International Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

September 21, 2007.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Plasticon International Inc. ("Plasticon") because Plasticon is delinquent on most of its required filings since November 1997.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed company.

*Therefore, it is ordered,* pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the above-listed company is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on September 21, 2007, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on October 4, 2007.

By the Commission.

**J. Lynn Taylor,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 07-4731 Filed 9-21-07; 1:52 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-56457; File No. SR-Amex-2007-54]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange, LLC; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval to Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to Amendments to Exchange Training and Examination Requirements

September 18, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) <sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on June 1, 2007, the American Stock Exchange, LLC. ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. On September 5, 2007, Amex filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1 thereto, from interested persons and approve the proposed rule change on an accelerated basis.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules governing training and examination requirements.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at Amex, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and <http://www.amex.com>.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Amendment No. 1 supersedes and replaces the original rule filing in its entirety.

#### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

##### 1. Purpose

Amex proposes certain clarifying changes to its rules governing its training and examination requirements. These changes are in connection with implementation of a mandatory annual training program for all floor members that addresses compliance with the federal securities laws and Amex rules to help prevent and deter unlawful trading by floor members, as well as to otherwise simplify and enhance the Exchange's existing training programs.<sup>4</sup>

The Exchange's proposal would require all floor members to participate in a mandatory annual regulatory training program, which would include participation in any Exchange testing programs in connection with the mandatory training program. The Exchange anticipates that the mandatory training program would be organized and grouped by floor member function and therefore foresees holding separate sessions for specialists, floor brokers, and registered traders.

The Exchange also proposes to enhance the new member qualification program. The new member qualification program currently in place requires all prospective new members to participate in a comprehensive training program and successfully complete a corresponding examination at the conclusion of the training. Members seeking to qualify as specialists must successfully complete a separate examination as well. The new program would retain the new member training program and examination as they currently exist, but would replace the specialist examination with an in-depth training program. The Exchange represents that it has found that new specialist applicants, in preparing for the specialist examination, focus primarily on the requirements necessary to pass the examination. The Exchange believes that a more effective method of training prospective specialists would involve training specifically related to specialists' particular activities and requirements. To this end, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the specialist

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to an undertaking agreed to in connection with the recent settlement of a Commission administrative proceeding (the "Order"), Amex agreed to enhance its existing regulatory training programs for floor members and certain staff members that addresses compliance with the federal securities laws and Exchange rules to help prevent and deter unlawful trading by floor members. See Exchange Act Release No. 55507; Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-12594 (March 22, 2007).