national importance of fostering technological innovation based upon solid science, resulting in commercially successful products and services.

On March 2, 2007, the Technology Administration published a notice of solicitation for nominees for the 2007 National Medal of Technology. The original deadline for nominees was May 31, 2007. Due to server problems encountered during the submission period, which resulted in the inability for some nomination packages to be submitted before the deadline, the Technology Administration is extending the deadline from May 31, 2007, to July 18, 2007. Nomination packages submitted and received between May 31, 2007 and June 26, 2007 are deemed to be timely. All other program requirements and information published in the original solicitation remain unchanged.

Eligibility and Criteria: Information on eligibility and nomination criteria is provided on the Nominations Guidelines Form at http://www.technology.gov/medal. Applicants who do not have internet access should contact Connie Chang, Research Director, Technology Administration at the e-mail address or telephone number above to request this information.

Dated: June 15, 2007.

### Robert C. Cresanti,

Under Secretary for Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc. E7-12327 Filed 6-25-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-18-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Office of the Secretary

# **Advisory Committee Meetings**

**AGENCY:** Defense Science Board. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board 2007 Summer Study on Challenges to Military Operations in Support of National Interests will meet in closed session on August 6–16, 2007; at the Beckman Center, Irvine, CA.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting, the Board will review previous and ongoing studies regarding stressing wars; identify defining parameters for challenges to military

operations; assess capability gaps; and identify possible solutions.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2) and 41 CFR 102-3.155, the Department of Defense has determined that these Defense Science Board Summer Study meeting will be closed to the public. Specifically, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), with the coordination of the DoD Office of General Counsel, has determined in writing that all sessions of these meetings will be closed to the public because they will be concerned throughout with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1).

Interested persons may submit a written statement for consideration by the Defense Science Board, Individuals submitting a written statement must submit their statement to the Designated Federal Official at the address detailed below, at any point, however, if a written statement is not received at least 10 calendar days prior to the meeting, which is the subject of this notice, then it may not be provided to or considered by the Defense Science Board. The Designated Federal Official will review all timely submissions with the Defense Science Board Chairperson, and ensure they are provided to members of the Defense Science Board before the meeting that is the subject of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Debra Rose, Executive Officer, Defense Science Board, 3140 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C553, Washington, DC 20301–3140, via e-mail at debra.rose@osd.mil, or via phone at (703) 571–0084.

Dated: June 20, 2007.

# C.R. Choate.

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 07–3111 Filed 6–25–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# Office of the Secretary

[DoD-2007-OS-0066]

# National Information Assurance Program

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense; National Security Agency. **ACTION:** Notice of new fees.

**SUMMARY:** Section 933 of Pub. L. 109–364, the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007, provides that the Director, National Security Agency, may collect charges for

evaluating, certifying, or validating information assurance products under the National Information Assurance Program (NIAP) or successor program. Table A sets forth the Fee-For-Service rates that will be assessed to NIAP accredited commercial Common Criteria Testing Labs (CCTLs) for "validation" services performed by NIAP validator personnel on information technology (IT) security products being evaluated by the NIAP CCTLs pursuant to the Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme (CCEVS).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 27, 2007. Do not submit comments directly to the point of contact or mail your comments to any address other than what is shown below. Doing so will delay the posting of the submission.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and or RIN number and title, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–1160.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this Federal Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <a href="http://regulations.gov">http://regulations.gov</a> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Audrey M. Dale, 410–854–4458.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NSA and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) formed the NIAP in order to promote information security in various ways, including the evaluation of IT security products. Commercial IT security product vendors initiate the NIAP evaluation process through submission of their IT security product to a nationally accredited commercial CCTL for evaluation against the internationally recognized Common Criteria (CC) Standard for Information Technology Security Evaluation (ISO Standard 15408). NIAP evaluation is voluntary for IT security products that are acquired by United States Government (USG) civil agencies and non-USG entities, but as per National Security Telecommunications & Information Systems Security Policy (NSTISSP) No. 11, mandatory for IT

security products purchased for use on systems that process national security information. Additionally, per DoD Instruction 8500.2 the DoD mandates the use of CC or NIAP evaluated IT security products on all DoD networks.

Evaluations are conducted by NIAP accredited commercial CCTLs, with oversight provided by NIAP validator personnel who are NSA government employees, Federally Funded Research & Development Center (FFRDCs) personnel or contractors. Prior to the enactment of Sec 933, NSA paid for all validation costs. Sec 933 shifts the costs for this validation oversight from NSA to the commercial CCTLs (who may, in turn, will pass these fees onto the product vendors seeking NIAP evaluation of their IT security products). This change will ensure that NIAP can keep pace with the commercial demand for IT security product evaluations and will not be constrained by NSA's program budget for validation services.

Fee Schedule: TABLE A delineates the NIAP Validation Oversight Fee Schedule which will be assessed to CCTLs for validation services provided in support of their NIAP evaluations. Fees are predicated on a per hourly basis by validator skill type and are a function of the Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) along with the type and complexity of the product technology. The CC standard used for NIAP evaluations is broken down into increasingly more rigorous Evaluation

Assurance Levels (EALs) beginning at EAL 1 and moving up to the highest possible assurance at EAL 7.

The two primary factors used in developing the Validation Fee Schedules were the EALs of the evaluations and the complexity (simple, moderately complex, and complex) of the product being evaluated. Higher EALs require more rigorous and thus more costly evaluations. More complex products typically take more time to analyze resulting in longer and more costly evaluations. The complexity factor takes into account size of the product in terms of lines of code but must also reflect the fact that new technologies will require additional analysis. Simple products would include basic routers, switches or file encryptors. Products of moderate complexity would include simple firewalls or general application software. Complex products would include standard operating systems and new/unique IA products or technologies.

While validation oversight occurs throughout the course of an evaluation, the majority of this oversight is focused on Validation Oversight Reviews (VORs). These reviews take place at critical points during the evaluation. Evaluations require Initial, Test and Final VORs. The VOR process typically consists of three phases: the preparation phase where validators review documents pertaining to that specific

VOR, the actual VOR meeting (attended by the validators and lab personnel), and the Issue Resolution and Wrap-Up phase. During this final phase all relevant issues are addressed by the CCTL then the VOR report is finalized. At EAL 3s and above, witnessing of testing by validator personnel may also be required.

An additional factor that will affect the validation oversight costs is the length of the evaluation since monthly validation fees will be applied to cover validator coordination and guidance costs throughout the course of the evaluation.

The final section of the fee schedule depicts costs for assurance maintenance which is the process vendors use to maintain the currency of their product evaluations. Vendors submit rationale for why changes to their product did not impact their evaluated product's security. The vendor proposals are reviewed by a NIAP senior validator who determines if their rationale is sound and makes a recommendation to NIAP management who then renders a verdict on the vendor assurance maintenance proposal.

Dated June 19, 2007.

## L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, DoD.

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

\$43,500

250

\$31,920

182

Note: EAL 2 TOTALS do not include monthly fees since those depend on the length of the projected evaluation

**EAL2 TOTALS** 

Complex Product

**Moderately Complex Product** 

**EAL3 Validation Costs** 

Simple Product

# HOURLY RATES

Mid-Level Validator Technical Coordination (mix of mid-level & Senior)

Senior / Expert Validator

\$150 \$180 \$210

# PROPOSED FEE-FOR-SERVICE SCHEDULE

			EAL2 Validati Simple Product	EAL2 Validation Costs Simple Product	Ž	odera	ately Com	Moderately Complex Product		Ŭ	Complex Product	oduct
Activity	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	N	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs
Initial VOR												
Preparation	10	9	16	\$2,760	14	∞	22	\$3,780	26	14	40	\$6,840
VOR Meeting	4	4	~	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	9	9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	∞	4	12	\$2,040	12	9	18	\$3,060	91	8	24	\$4,080
Cost for Initial VOR			36	\$6,240	L		50	\$8,640		Н	9/	\$13,080
Testing VOR												
Preparation	16	12	28	\$4,920	20	16	36	\$6,360	24	20	44	\$7,800
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	9	9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	∞	∞	16	\$2,880	12	<b>∞</b>	20	\$3,480	91	8	24	\$4,080
Cost for Testing VOR			52	\$9,240	12		99	\$11,640			08	\$14,040
Witness Testing												
Travel Cost				80				\$0				80
Witness Testing by Validator			0	\$0			0	80			0	\$0
Final VOR												
Preparation	10	∞	18	\$3,180	91	12	28	\$4,920	26	16	42	\$7,260
VOR Meeting	4	4	8	\$1,440	5	5	10	\$1,800	9	9	12	\$2,160
Issues Resolution & Wrap-Up	10	10	20	\$3,600	16	12	28	\$4,920	24	16	40	\$6,960
Cost for Final VOR			46	<u>\$8,220</u>			99	\$11,640		<u> </u>	94	\$16,380
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			1	\$180			2	\$360			3	\$540
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A

Activity	M S	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs
<u>Initial VOR</u> Preparation	10		18	\$3,180	14	10	24	\$4,200	26	91	42	\$7,260
VOR Meeting	4 5	4 ×	8 0	\$1,440		ν «	10	\$1,800		9 %	12	\$2,160
Cost for Initial VOR	1	+	46				588	- S10,080	4-	1	Z Z	<u>\$14,100</u>
Testing VOR		┝			┞—							
Preparation	0	12	32	\$5,520	(4	_	40	\$6,960		24	52	\$9,240
VOR Meeting	4	4 (	∞ (	\$1,440		ς ·	0 ;	\$1,800		9	71	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up  Cost for Testing VOR	77	$\frac{1}{\infty}$	2 6	\$3,480	<u>-</u>	_!	74	\$4,080 <b>\$12.840</b>	<b>₹</b>	<sub>∞</sub> †	87 <b>1</b> 26	\$4,080 816,080
Witness Testing	-	╁			Ļ					t	Ī	
Travel Cost				Gov't per diem				Gov't per diem		_		Gov't per diem
Witness Testing by Validators	91	16	32	\$5,760	24	24	48	\$8,640	24	24	48	\$8,640
Final VOR		_			L							
Preparation	20	∞	28	\$4,680	26	12	38	\$6,420	32	91	48	\$8,160
VOR Meeting	4	4	∞	\$1,440			10	\$1,800		9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	70	8	28	\$4,680	26	12	38	\$6,420	32	16	48	\$8,160
Cost for Final VOR			64	\$10,800			98	\$14,640		Н	108	\$18,480
Monthly Guidance/Coordination		H	2	\$360			3	\$540	L		4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
EAL3 TOTALS			202	\$35,100			266	\$46,200			330	\$57,300
Note: EAL 3 TOTALS do not include monthly fees since those dep depend on the length of the projected evaluation	e deb d	ebenc	on the	length of the pi	roject	ted ev	aluation					
		E/	AL4 Va	EAL4 Validation Costs								
		Sir	Simple Product	oduct	Σ	oder	ately Con	Moderately Complex Product		ပ <u>၊</u>	Complex Product	
Activity	M S	Sr	Hours	Costs	N S	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	Sr	Hours	Costs
Initial VOR		-		•				•		6	,	000
Preparation	91	12	<b>58</b>	\$4,920	. 4	16	36	\$6,360	. ٧	07	44	\$7,800
VOR Meeting		4	∞	\$1,440			12	\$2,160		9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	19	32	\$5,760	9	16	32	\$5,760	20 	50 20	ी   	\$7,200
Cost for Initial VOR			89	\$12,120			80	\$14,280	_		96	\$17,160
Testing VOR Preparation	20	91	36	\$6,360	26	18	44	\$7,680		28	64	.\$11,280
VOR Meeting		4	8	\$1,440		9	12	\$2,160	9	9	12	\$2,160

Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	8	24	\$4,080	20	10	30	\$5,100	24	16	40	\$6,960
Cost for Testing VOR			89	\$11,880		Н	86	\$14,940		Н	116	\$20,400
Witness Testing Travel Cost Witness Testing by Validators	24	24	48	Gov't per diem \$8,640	32	32	64	Gov't per diem \$11,520	40	40	80	Gov't per diem <b>\$14,400</b>
Final VOR		-									,	
Preparation	24	12	36	\$6,120	32	91	48	\$8,160	40	24	64	\$11,040
VOR Meeting		4	8	\$1,440		9	12	\$2,160		9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	20	10	30	\$5,100	32	16	48	\$8,160	40	24	64	\$11,040
Cost for Final VOR		H	74	\$12,660			108	\$18,480			140	\$24,240
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			2	\$360			3	\$540			4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
EAL 4 TOTALS	7	-	258	\$45,300	7	:+0:1	338	\$59,220			432	\$76,200
NOIC: EAL4 101ALS do not include monuny rees since mose depend on the length of the projected evaluation	adan a	1 00 p	ng nengi	nı oı nıe project	בח בא	ıınarı	II					
	EAL4+	$\neg$	AVA VLA.3/4) Simple Product	VLA.3/4) Validation Costs le Product Mode	on Co	sts dera	telv Cor	Costs Moderately Complex Product		۲	Complex Product	roduct
Activity	W	    ১	Hours	Costs	_	Ş	Hours	Costs	M	)     숙	Hours	Costs
<u>Initial VOR</u> Preparation	91	12	28	\$4.920	20	91	36	\$6,360	24	70	44	\$7,800
VOR Meeting	4	4	∞	\$1,440		9	12	\$2,160		9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	16	32	\$5,760	16	16	32	\$5,760	20	20	40	\$7,200
Cost for Initial VOR		Н	89	\$12,120			80	\$14,280	$\vdash$		96	\$17,160
Testing VOR												
Preparation	50	91	36	\$6,360	-	8 ,	44	\$7,680	(*)	78	64	\$11,280
VOR Meeting	4	4 0	× 5	\$1,440		9 9	12	\$2,160		9 7	77	\$2,160
Issue resolution & Wiap-Op  Cost for Testing VOR	를 <u>-</u>	•	<sup>‡,</sup> 89	\$11,880	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	2	)% 	S14,940	₹ <b>!</b> <del>-</del>  -	<u> </u>	116	- S20,400 - S20,400
Witness Testing		╁				T						
Travel Cost	· /			Gov't per diem				Gov't per diem				Gov't per diem
Witness Testing by Validators	24	24	48	\$8,640	32	32	64	\$11,520	40	40	80	\$14,400
Final VOR								,			,	
Preparation	24	12	36	\$6,120	,	16	48		4	24	64	\$11,040
VOR Meeting	4	4	∞	\$1,440		9	12	\$2,160		9	12	\$2,160
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	20	10	30	\$5,100	32	12	44		40	24	64	\$11,040

Cost for Final VOR	7.	74 \$12,660 104 \$17,640 140 \$24,240	104	\$17,640	140	\$24,240
Monthly Guidance/Coordination		2 \$360	3	\$540	4	\$720
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing		8 \$1,440	8	\$1,440	8	\$1,440

\$77,640 Note: EAL4+ TOTALS do not include travel/per diem costs or monthly fees since those depend on the location of the testing and length of the projected evaluation \$59,820 EAL 4+ (AVA VLA.3/4) TOTALS

		EAL	5 to EA	EALS to EAL7 Validation Costs	osts							
		<b>V</b> .1	Simple Product	roduct	Σ	oder	ately Con	Moderately Complex Product		O	Complex Product	roduct
Activity	M	Ş	Hours	Costs	_	Sr	Hours	Costs	M	S	Hours	Costs
Initial VOR					L							
Preparation	16	24	40	\$7,440	24	32	56	\$10,320	32	40	72	\$13,200
VOR Meeting	4	∞	12		9	12	18	\$3,420			8	\$3,420
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	16	32		20	24	44	\$8,040	` '		64	\$11,520
Cost for Initial VOR			84	\$15,480	L	]	 	\$21,780	▙		154	\$28,140
Testing VOR												
Preparation	20	24	44	\$8,040	24	32	56	\$10,320	24	40	64	\$12,000
VOR Meeting	4	∞	12		9	12	18	\$3,420			8	\$3,420
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	16	24	40	\$7,440	16	24	40	\$7,440	``		64	\$12,000
Cost for Testing VOR	 		96	817,760	L	-	 	\$21,180	<u> </u>	†- 	146	\$27,420
Witness Testing		Γ								Ĺ		
Travel Cost		*******		Gov't per diem				Gov't per diem				Gov't ner diem
Witness Testing by Validators	32	32	64		40	40	80	\$14,400	09	09	120	\$21.600
Final VOR						Γ				T		
Preparation	24	24	48	\$8,640	32	32	64	\$11,520	40	40	80	\$14.400
VOR Meeting	4	∞	12	\$2,280		12	18	\$3,420	9	12	18	\$3,420
Issue Resolution & Wrap-Up	24	16	40		32	32	64	\$11,520	40	40	80	\$14,400
Cost for Final VOR			00 1	\$17,880	<u> </u>	į	146	\$26,460	L	<del> </del>	178	\$32,220
Monthly Guidance/Coordination			9	\$1,080	L		8	\$1,440		T	01	\$1,800
Review/Coord of NSA Penetration Testing Results			8	\$1,440			8	\$1,440		<b>!</b>	8	\$1,440

\$110,820 Note: EAL5-7 TOTALS do not include travel/per diem costs or monthly fees since those depend on the location of the testing and length of the projected evaluation \$85,260 \$64,080 352 EAL 5 and EAL 7 TOTALS

Note: for EAL5s and above, Sr hours include at least 2 Senior Validators

								\$1,680	\$8,400
Costs						through EAL 4)	Average Costs		
Assurance Continuity Validation Costs	Cost / Hour		\$150	\$180	\$210	Assurance Continuity Validation Costs (EAL 2 through EAL 4)	Average Hours	8	40
	Cost Description	Validator Costs	Mid-level Validator	Technical Coordination (mix of mid-level & Senior)	Senior / Expert Validator	Assurance	Major Activity	Assurance Continuity with Minor Changes (1 Senior)	Assurance Continuity with Major Changes (1 Senior)

[FR Doc. 07–3114 Filed 6–25–07; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 5001–06–C

# **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

Office of Science; Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770) requires that public notice of these meetings be announced in the Federal Register.