agreements resides with the Bureau's Grants Officer.

V.2. Review Criteria: Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. These criteria are not rank ordered and all carry equal weight in the proposal evaluation:

1. Quality of Program Idea/Plan: Proposals should exhibit originality, substance, precision, and relevance to the Bureau's mission. Detailed agenda and relevant work plan should demonstrate substantive undertakings

and logistical capacity.

2. Ability to Achieve Overall Program Objectives: Objectives should be reasonable, feasible, and flexible. Proposals should clearly demonstrate how the institution will meet the program's objectives and plan.

- 3. Support for Diversity: Proposals should demonstrate substantive support of the Bureau's policy on diversity. Achievable and relevant features should be cited in both program administration (program venue, study tour venue, and program evaluation) and program content (orientation and wrap-up sessions, site visits, program meetings and resource materials).
- 4. Evaluation and Follow-On:
  Proposals should include a plan to
  evaluate the Institute's success, both as
  the activities unfold and at the end of
  the program. A draft survey
  questionnaire or other technique plus
  description of a methodology to use to
  link outcomes to original institute
  objectives is strongly recommended.
  Proposals should provide a plan for
  continued follow-on activity (without
  Bureau support) ensuring that Bureau
  supported programs are not isolated
  events.
- 5. Cost-effectiveness/Cost-sharing:
  The overhead and administrative
  components of the proposal, including
  salaries and honoraria, should be kept
  as low as possible. All other items
  should be necessary and appropriate.
  Proposals should maximize cost-sharing
  through other private sector support as
  well as institutional direct funding
  contributions.
- 6. Institutional Track Record/Ability: Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of successful exchange programs, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by Bureau Grants Staff. The Bureau will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants. Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be fully qualified to achieve the Institute's goals.

### VI. Award Administration Information

VI.1. Award Notices: Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive an Assistance Award Document (AAD) from the Bureau's Grants Office. The AAD and the original grant proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The AAD will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the recipient's responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

VI.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements: Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:

Office of Management and Budget Circular A–122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A–21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions."

OMB Circular A–87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments".

OMB Circular No. A–110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A–102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A–133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web sites for additional information: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants.http://exchanges.state.gov/education/grantsdiv/terms.htm#articleI.

VI.3. Reporting Requirements: You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus one (1) copy of the final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award

Grantees will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. Please refer to Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must

be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

### VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Jennifer Phillips, Branch for the Study of the United States, ECA/A/E/USS, Room 314, U.S. Department of State, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547; tel. (202) 453–8537; fax (202) 453–8533; e-mail, *PhillipsJA@state.gov*.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the title "Study of the U.S. Institutes for Student Leaders" and number ECA/A/E/USS-08-02.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

#### VIII. Other Information

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Dated: June 12, 2007.

# C. Miller Crouch,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State. [FR Doc. E7–12029 Filed 6–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–08–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Highway Administration**

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on a Proposed Highway Project in California

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S. DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(1)(1). These actions relate to a proposed highway project on Hazel Avenue between State Route 50 and Madison Avenue in Sacramento County, State of California. These actions grant approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(1)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before December 18, 2007. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cesar Perez, Senior Project Development Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 650 Capitol Mall, #4– 100, Sacramento, CA 95814, weekdays between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., telephone 916-498–5065,

cesar.perez@fhwa.dot.gov, or John Webb, Supervisory Environmental Planner, California Department of Transportation, 2389 Gateway Oaks Dr., Sacramento, CA 95833, weekdays between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., (916) 274–0588, John\_Webb@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA and other Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing approvals for the following highway project in the State of California. This project would improve safety and provide congestion relief on Hazel Avenue, Sacramento County, California. This would be accomplished by widening Hazel Avenue to 6 lanes with a landscaped center median from U.S. Highway 50 to Madison Avenue. The purpose of the project is to increase safety for all modes of travel. The actions by the Federal agencies and the laws under which such actions were taken are described in the Final Environmental Assessment for the project. The Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was approved on June 7, 2007. The Final Environmental Assessment and other documents in the FHWA administrative record file are available by contacting the FHWA or the California Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above. This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this

notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

- 1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321– 4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].
- 2. *Air:* Clean Air Act 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q).
- 3. Wildlife: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and Section 1536], Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)]. Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].
- 4. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(aa) 11]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)–11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001–3013].
- 5. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d) (1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209]; The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended.
- 6. Hazardous Materials:
  Comprehensive Environmental
  Response, Compensation, and Liability
  Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9601–9675;
  Superfund Amendments and
  Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA);
  Resource Conservation and Recovery
  Act (RCRA).42 U.S.C. 6901–6992(k).
- 7. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990
  Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988
  Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898,
  Federal Actions to Address
  Environmental Justice in Minority
  Populations and Low Income
  Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and enhancement of Cultural Resources;
  E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13175
  Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514
  Protection and Enhancement of
  Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112
  Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(1)(1).

Issued on: June 13, 2007.

#### Maiser Khaled,

Director, Project Development & Environment, Sacramento, California.
[FR Doc. E7–12002 Filed 6–20–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–RY–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Transit Administration**

[Docket No: FTA-2006-25471]

Safety and Security Management for Major Capital Projects: Notice of Final Circular

**AGENCY:** Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Final Circular.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has placed in the docket and on its Web site final guidance in the form of a circular to address safety and security management in capital projects covered under 49 CFR part 633, "Project Management Oversight." FTA requires a Project Management Plan (PMP) for major capital projects as defined in 49 CFR 633. In the final circular, FTA requires recipients with projects covered under 49 CFR 633 to develop a Safety and Security Management Plan (SSMP), as a chapter or plan within the PMP. In this notice, FTA provides a summary of the final circular and addresses comments received in response to the October 11, 2006 Federal Register Notice (71 FR 43280)

As defined in 49 CFR 633.5, the term "major capital project" means a project that "(1) involves the construction of a new fixed guideway or extension of an existing fixed guideway or (2) involves the rehabilitation or modernization of an existing fixed guideway with a total project cost in excess of \$100 million." The Administrator may also designate a major capital project in circumstances where he or she determines that FTA's project management oversight (PMO) program "will benefit specifically the agency or the recipient." Typically, this means "a project that: (i) Generally is expected to have a total project cost in excess of \$100 million or more to construct; (ii) is not exclusively for the routine acquisition, maintenance, or rehabilitation of vehicles or other rolling stock; (iii) involves new technology; (iv) is of a unique nature for the recipient; or (v) involves a recipient whose past experience indicates to the agency the appropriateness of the extension of this program." Major capital projects typically do not include projects