Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: June 12, 2007.

#### Donald S. Welsh,

Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. E7–12051 Filed 6–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61

[AZ and NV-EPA-R09-OAR-2006-1014; FRL-8329-9]

Delegation of New Source Performance Standards and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for the States of Arizona and Nevada

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to update the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) tables for delegations to state and local agencies in Region IX of certain New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs). This document addresses general authorities mentioned in the regulations for NSPS and NESHAPs, proposes to update the delegations tables for Arizona and Nevada, and clarifies those authorities that are retained by EPA. We are taking comments on this proposal and intend to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by July 23, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2006-1014, by one of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.

2. E-mail: steckel.andrew@epa.gov. 3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia G. Allen, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4120, allen.cynthia@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The supplementary information is organized in the following order:

What is the purpose of this document?
Who is authorized to delegate these authorities?
What does delegation accomplish?
What authorities are not delegated by EPA?
Does EPA keep some authority?

Administrative Requirements

#### What is the purpose of this document?

Through this document, EPA is proposing to accomplish the following objectives:

- (1) Update the delegations tables in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40 (40 CFR), Parts 60 and 61 to provide an accurate listing of the delegated standards for Arizona and Nevada; and
- (2) Clarify those authorities that are retained by EPA and not granted to state or local agencies as part of delegation.

These actions are described below.

Today's action proposes to update the delegation tables in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61, to allow easier access by the public to the status of delegations in Arizona and Nevada jurisdictions. The updated delegation tables would include the delegations approved in response to recent requests, as well as those previously granted. The proposed tables are shown at the end of this document.

Recent requests for delegation that will be incorporated into the updated CFR tables are identified below. Each individual submittal identifies the specific NSPS and NESHAPs for which delegation was requested. All of these requests have already been approved by letter and simply need to be included in the CFR tables.

Agency	Date of request	Date of EPA approval by letter
Nevada Division of Environmental Protection	December 27, 2004; June 22, 2005; August 17, 2005; April 4, 2006; and October 26, 2006.	
Maricopa County Air Quality Department	April 21, 2006	May 18, 2006, and June 14, 2006.

# Who is authorized to delegate these authorities?

Sections 111(c)(1) and 112(l) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990, authorize the Administrator to delegate his or her authority for implementing and enforcing standards in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61.

#### What does delegation accomplish?

Delegation grants a State or local agency the primary authority to

implement and enforce Federal standards. All required notifications and reports should be sent to the delegated State or local agency, as appropriate, with a copy to EPA Region IX.

Acceptance of delegation constitutes agreement by the State or local agency to follow 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61, and EPA's test methods and continuous monitoring procedures.

# What authorities are not delegated by EPA?

In general, EPA does not delegate to State or local agencies the authority to make decisions that are likely to be nationally significant, or alter the stringency of the underlying standards. For a more detailed description of the authorities in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61 that are retained by EPA, please see the proposed rule published on January 14, 2002 (67 FR 1676).

As additional assurance of national consistency, State and local agencies must send to EPA Region IX Air Division's Enforcement Office Chief a copy of any written decisions made pursuant to the following delegated authorities:

- Applicability determinations that State a source is not subject to a rule or requirement;
- Approvals or determinations of construction, reconstruction, or modification;
- Minor or intermediate site-specific changes to test methods or monitoring requirements; or
- Site-specific changes or waivers of performance testing requirements.

For decisions that require EPA review and approval (for example, major changes to monitoring requirements), EPA intends to make determinations in a timely manner.

In some cases, the standards themselves specify that specific provisions cannot be delegated. State and local agencies should review each individual standard for this information.

### Does EPA keep some authority?

EPA retains independent authority to enforce the standards and regulations of 40 CFR parts 60 and 61.

#### **Administrative Requirements**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This proposed action merely proposes to delegate authority to implement existing Federal requirements to state and local agencies and imposes no additional requirements. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by existing federal law, it does not contain any unfunded

mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

This proposed rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relation between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it would merely approve a State rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing delegation requests, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a delegation request for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a request for delegation, to use VCS in place of a submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by Section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this proposed rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting

errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of this action in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 61

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Sections 111 and 112 of the CAA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7411 and 7412).

Dated: June 7, 2007.

### Deborah Jordan,

Director, Air Division, Region IX.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, title 40, chapter I, of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

### PART 60—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 60 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

### Subpart A—General Provisions

2. Section 60.4 is amended by revising paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(4) to read as follows:

### § 60.4 Address.

\* \* (d) \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*(1) Arizona. The following table

(1) Arizona. The following table identifies delegations as of May 18, 2006:

## DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR ARIZONA

	Air Pollution Control Agency			
Subpart	Arizona DEQ	Maricopa County	Pima County	Pinal County
A General Provisions D Fossil-Fuel Fired Steam Generators Constructed After August 17, 1971 Da Electric Utility Steam Generating Units Constructed After September 18, 1978 Db Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units Dc Small Industrial Steam Generating Units E Incinerators	X X X X X	X X X X	X X X X	X X X X
Ea Municipal Waste Combustors Constructed After December 20, 1989 and On or Before September 20, 1994	Χ	X	x	X

# DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR ARIZONA—Continued

	Air Pollution Control Agency			
Subpart	Arizona DEQ	Maricopa County	Pima County	Pinal County
Eb Municipal Waste Combustors Constructed After September 20, 1994 Ec Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators for Which Construction is Com-	Χ	х	Х	
menced After June 20, 1996		X	X	
F Portland Cement Plants	X X	X X	X X	X X
G Nitric Acid Plants  H Sulfuric Acid Plant	X	X	X	X
I Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities	x	x	x	x
J Petroleum Refineries	x	X	x	X
K Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or	^	^	^	
Modification Commenced After June 11, 1973, and Prior to May 19, 1978	Х	X	X	Х
Ka Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for Which Construction, Reconstruction,				
or Modification Commenced After May 18, 1978, and Prior to July 23, 1984	Х	Х	Х	Х
July 23, 1984	X	x	Х	х
L Secondary Lead Smelters	x	x	x	X
M Secondary Brass and Bronze Production Plants	x	X	X	X
N Primary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Furnaces for Which Construction	^	,	^	^
is Commenced After June 11, 1973	X	X	Х	X
Na Secondary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Steelmaking Facilities for				
Which Construction is Commenced After January 20, 1983	X	X	X	X
O Sewage Treatment Plants	X	X	X	X
P Primary Copper Smelters	X	X	X	X
Q Primary Zinc Smelters	X	X	X	X
R Primary Lead Smelters	X	X	X	X
S Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants	X	X	X	X
T Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Wet Process Phosphoric Acid Plants	X	X	X	X
U Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Superphosphoric Acid Plants	X X	X X	X X	X X
W Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Triple Superphosphate Plants	x	x	x	x
X Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Granular Triple Superphosphate Storage Facilities	X	x	X	X
Y Coal Preparation Plants	X	X	X	X
Z Ferroalloy Production Facilities	X	X	X	X
AA Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces Constructed After October 21, 1974 and On or Before August 17, 1983	Х	х	Х	Х
AAa Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces and Argon-Oxygen Decarburization Vessels	V	V	V	V
Constructed After August 7, 1983	X X	X X	X X	X X
BB Kraft pulp Mills  CC Glass Manufacturing Plants	x	x	x	x
DD Grain Elevators	X	x	X	X
EE Surface Coating of Metal Furniture	X	X	X	X
GG Stationary Gas Turbines	X	X	X	X
HH Lime Manufacturing Plants	Χ	X	X	X
KK Lead-Acid Battery Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X	X
LL Metallic Mineral Processing Plants	X	X	X	X
MM Automobile and Light Duty Trucks Surface Coating Operations	X	X	X	X
NN Phosphate Rock Plants	X	X	X	X
PP Ammonium Sulfate Manufacture	X X	X X	X X	X X
RR Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations	x	x	x	x
SS Industrial Surface Coating: Large Appliances	x	X	X	x
TT Metal Coil Surface Coating	X	X	X	X
UU Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture	X	X	X	X
VV Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing In-				
dustry	X	X	X	X
WW Beverage Can Surface Coating Industry	X	X	X	X
XX Bulk Gasoline Terminals	X	X	X	X
AAA New Residential Wool Heaters  BBB Rubber Tire Manufacturing Industry	X X	X X	X X	X X
BBB Rubber Tire Manufacturing Industry  CCC (Reserved)		^	^	^
DDD Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Emissions from the Polymer Manufac-			•••••	
turing Industry	Х	x	Х	Х
EEE (Reserved)				
FFF Flexible Vinyl and Urethane Coating and Printing	X	X	X	X
GGG Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries	Х	X	X	X
HHH Synthetic Fiber Production Facilities	X	X	X	X
III Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions From the Synthetic Organic Chem-	V		V	V
ical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI) Air Oxidation Unit Processes	X	X	X	X

# DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR ARIZONA—Continued

		Air Pollution C	ontrol Agency	
Subpart	Arizona DEQ	Maricopa County	Pima County	Pinal County
JJJ Petroleum Dry Cleaners	х	х	х	Х
KKK Equipment Leaks of VOC From Onshore Natural Gas Processing Plants	X	X	X	X
LLL Onshore Natural Gas Processing: SO2 Emissions	X	X	X	X
MMM (Reserved)				
NNN Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions From Synthetic Organic Chem-				
ical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI) Distillation Operations	X	X	X	X
OOO Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants	X	X	X	X
PPP Wool Fiberglass Insulation Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X	X
QQQ VOC Emissions From Petroleum Refinery Wastewater Systems	X	X	X	X
RRR Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Synthetic Organic Chemical Man-				
ufacturing Industry (SOCMI) Reactor Processes		X	X	
SSS Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities	X	X	X	X
TTT Industrial Surface Coating: Surface Coating of Plastic Parts for Business Ma-				
chines	X	X	X	X
UUU Calciners and Dryers in Mineral Industries	X	X	X	
VVV Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities	X	X	X	X
WWW Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	X	X	X	
AAAA Small Municipal Waste Combustion Units for Which Construction is Com-				
menced After August 30, 1999 or for Which Modification or Reconstruction is Com-				
mended After June 6, 2001	X	X		
CCCC Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units for Which Con-				
struction Is Commenced After November 30, 1999 or for Which Modification or Re-				
construction Is Commenced on or After June 1, 2001	X	X		
EEEE Other Solid Waste Incineration Units for Which Construction is Commenced				
After December 9, 2004, or for Which Modification or Reconstruction is Com-				
menced on or After June 16, 2006				
KKKK Stationary Combustion Turbines				
GGGG (Reserved)				

(4) Nevada. The following table identifies delegations as of January 12, 2007:

# DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR NEVADA

	Air po	llution control a	gency
Subpart	Nevada DEP	Clark County	Washoe County
A General Provisions	Х	Х	Х
D Fossil-Fuel Fired Steam Generators Constructed After August 17, 1971	X	X	X
Da Electric Utility Steam Generating Units Constructed After September 18, 1978	X		
Db Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units	X		
Dc Small Industrial Steam Generating Units	X		
E Incinerators	X	X	X
Ea Municipal Waste Combustors Constructed After December 20, 1989 and On or Before Sep-	X		
tember 20, 1994	X		
Eb Municipal Waste Combustors Constructed After September 20, 1994	Χ		
June 20, 1996	Χ		
F Portland Cement Plants	X	X	X
G Nitric Acid Plants	X		×
H Sulfuric Acid Plants	X		l $\hat{x}$
Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities	X	X	X
J Petroleum Refineries	X		X
K Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification			
Commenced After June 11, 1973, and Prior to May 19, 1978	X	X	X
Ka Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification			
Commenced After May 18, 1978, and Prior to July 23, 1984	X	X	X
Kb Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels (Including Petroleum Liquid Storage Vessels) for Which			
Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After July 23, 1984	X		
L Secondary Lead Smelters	X	X	X
M Secondary Brass and Bronze Production Plants	X		X
N Primary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Furnaces for Which Construction is Commenced			
After June 11, 1973	X		X

# DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR NEVADA—Continued

	Air pollution control ag		.gency
Subpart	Nevada DEP	Clark County	Washoe County
Na Secondary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Steelmaking Facilities for Which Construc-			
tion is Commenced After January 20, 1983	X		
O Sewage Treatment Plants	X	X	X
P Primary Copper Smelters	X	X	X
Q Primary Zinc Smelters	X X	X	X X
S Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants	x	^	l â
T Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Wet Process Phosphoric Acid Plants	X		X
U Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Superphosphoric Acid Plants	X		X
V Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Diammonium Phosphate Plants	X		X
W Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Triple Superphosphate Plants	X		X
X Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Granular Triple Superphosphate Storage Facilities	X		X
Y Coal Preparation Plants	X	X	X
Z Ferroalloy Production Facilities	X		X
AA Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces Constructed After October 21, 1974 and On or Before Au-	Χ		X
gust 17, 1983	^		^
After August 7, 1983	X		
BB Kraft pulp Mills	X		X
CC Glass Manufacturing Plants	Χ		X
DD Grain Elevators	Χ	X	X
EE Surface Coating of Metal Furniture	X	X	X
FF (Reserved)			
GG Stationary Gas Turbines	X	X	X
HH Lime Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X
KK Lead-Acid Battery Manufacturing Plants	X	X	X
LL Metallic Mineral Processing Plants	X	X	X
MM Automobile and Light Duty Trucks Surface Coating Operations	X X	X	X X
PP Ammonium Sulfate Manufacture	x	^	l â
QQ Graphic Arts Industry: Publication Rotogravure Printing	x	X	x
RR Pressure Sensitive Tape and Label Surface Coating Operations	X		X
SS Industrial Surface Coating: Large Appliances	X	X	X
TT Metal Coil Surface Coating	X	X	X
UU Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture	Χ	X	X
VV Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Industry	Χ	X	X
WW Beverage Can Surface Coating Industry	X		X
XX Bulk Gasoline Terminals	Х		X
AAA New Residential Wool Heaters			
BBB Rubber Tire Manufacturing Industry	Х		
CCC (Reserved)	······································		
DDD Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) Emissions from the Polymer Manufacturing Industry	Х		
FFF Flexible Vinyl and Urethane Coating and Printing	X		X
GGG Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries	x		X
HHH Synthetic Fiber Production Facilities	X		X
III Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions From the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufac-	,		
turing Industry (SOCMI) Air Oxidation Unit Processes	Χ		
JJJ Petroleum Dry Cleaners	Χ	X	X
KKK Equipment Leaks of VOC From Onshore Natural Gas Processing Plants	X		
LLL Onshore Natural Gas Processing: SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions	X		
MMM (Reserved)			
NNN Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions From Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufac-			
turing Industry (SOCMI) Distillation Operations	X		
OOO Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants	X		X
PPP Wool Fiberglass Insulation Manufacturing Plants	X X		X
QQQ VOC Emissions From Petroleum Refinery Wastewater Systems	^		
dustry (SOCMI) Reactor Processes	Χ		
SSS Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities	x		
TTT Industrial Surface Coating: Surface Coating of Plastic Parts for Business Machines	x		
UUU Calciners and Dryers in Mineral Industries	x		
VVV Polymeric Coating of Supporting Substrates Facilities	x		
WWW Municipal Solid Waste Landfills	X		
AAAA Small Municipal Waste Combustion Units for Which Construction is Commenced After Au-	-		
gust 30, 1999 or for Which Modification or Reconstruction is Commended After June 6, 2001	X		
CCCC Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units for Which Construction Is Com-			
menced After November 30, 1999 or for Which Modification or Reconstruction Is Commenced on			
or After June 1, 2001	Χ	l	l

## DELEGATION STATUS FOR NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR NEVADA—Continued

Subpart	Air pollution control agency		
	Nevada DEP	Clark County	Washoe County
EEEE Other Solid Waste Incineration Units for Which Construction is Commenced After December	.,		
9, 2004, or for Which Modification or Reconstruction is Commenced on or After June 16, 2006	X		
KKKK Stationary Combustion Turbines	X		
GGGG (Reserved)			

# PART 61—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 61 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## **Subpart A—General Provisions**

2. Section 61.04 is amended by revising paragraphs (c)(9)(i) and (c)(9)(iv) to read as follows:

#### §61.04 Address.

\*

\* (c) \* \* \*

(9) \* \* \*

(i) Arizona. The following table identifies delegations as of June 14,

## DELEGATION STATUS FOR NATIONAL EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS FOR ARIZONA

		Air Pollution C	ontrol Agency	
Subpart	Arizona DEQ	Maricopa County	Pima County	Pinal County
A General Provisions  B Radon Emissions From Underground Uranium	X	X	Х	Х
C Beryllium	X	X	X	X
D Beryllium Rocket Motor Firing	X	X	X	X
E Mercury	X	X	X	X
F Vinyl Chloride	X	X	X	X
G (Reserved)				
H Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Fa-				
cilities				
I Radionuclide Emissions From Federal Facilities Other Than Nuclear Regulatory				
Commission Licensees and Not Covered by Subpart H				
J Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources) of Benzene		X	X	X
K Radionuclide Emissions From Elemental Phosphorus Plants				
L Benzene Emissions from Coke By-Product Recovery Plants	X	X	X	X
M Asbestos	X	X	X	X
N Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Glass Manufacturing Plants	X	X		X
O Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Primary Copper Smelters	X	X		X
P Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Arsenic Trioxide and Metallic Arsenic Produc-	×	×		
tion Facilities	, ,,	'`		
Q Radon Emissions From Department of Energy Facilities				
R Radon Emissions From Phosphogypsum Stacks				
T Radon Emissions From the Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings				
U (Reserved)				
V Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources)	X	X	X	X
W Radon Emissions From Operating Mill Tailings		_ ^	_ ^	_ ^
X (Reserved)				
Y Benzene Emissions From Benzene Storage Vessels		X	X	X
Z-AA (Reserved)				
BB Benzene Emissions From Benzene Transfer Operations		X	X	X
CC-EE (Reserved)				
FF Benzene Waste Operations	X	X	X	X

(iv) Nevada. The following table identifies delegations as of September 21, 2005:

## DELEGATION STATUS FOR NATIONAL EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS FOR NEVADA

		Air Pollution Control Agency		
	Subpart	Nevada DEP	Clark County	Washoe County
Α	General Provisions	Х	Х	

### DELEGATION STATUS FOR NATIONAL EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS FOR NEVADA—Continued

		Air Pollution Control Agency		
Subpart	Nevada DEP	Clark County	Washoe County	
B Radon Emissions From Underground Uranium				
C Beryllium	X	X	X	
D Beryllium Rocket Motor Firing	X	X		
E Mercury	X	X	X	
F Vinyl Chloride	X	X		
G (Reserved)				
H Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities	X			
I Radionuclide Emissions From Federal Facilities Other Than Nuclear Regulatory Commission Li-				
censees and Not Covered by Subpart H	X			
J Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources) of Benzene	X			
K Radionuclide Emissions From Elemental Phosphorus Plants	X			
L Benzene Emissions from Coke By-Product Recovery Plants	X			
M Asbestos		X	X	
N Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Glass Manufacturing Plants	X			
O Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Primary Copper Smelters	X			
P Inorganic Arsenic Emissions From Arsenic Trioxide and Metallic Arsenic Production Facilities	X			
Q Radon Emissions From Department of Energy Facilities				
R Radon Emissions From Phosphogypsum Stacks				
S (Reserved)				
T Radon Emissions From the Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings				
U (Reserved)				
V Equipment Leaks (Fugitive Emission Sources)	X			
W Radon Emissions From Operating Mill Tailings				
X (Reserved)				
Y Benzene Émissions from Benzene Storage Vessels	X			
Z-AA (Reserved)				
BB Benzene Emissions From Benzene Transfer Operations	X			
CC-EE (Reserved)				
FF Benzene Waste Operations	X			
Ti Delizerie vvaste Operations	^			

FR Doc F7 12044 Filed 6 20

[FR Doc. E7–12044 Filed 6–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AU87

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for Five Endangered and Two Threatened Mussels in Four Northeast Gulf of Mexico Drainages

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Revised proposed rule; reopening of comment period, availability of draft economic analysis and revised proposed critical habitat units, and announcement of public hearings.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are reopening the comment period on our proposed designation of critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act) for seven southeastern

U.S. mussels. On June 6, 2006, we published our original proposed rule to designate critical habitat for five endangered mussel species-fat threeridge, shinyrayed pocketbook, Gulf moccasinshell, Ochlockonee moccasinshell, and oval pigtoe—as well as two threatened species—Chipola slabshell and purple bankclimber (in this document, we refer to all seven species collectively as the seven mussels). We propose the following changes to our original proposed rule: (1) We are enlarging two previously proposed critical habitat units, and (2) we are adding one of the mussels to the list of species associated with one of our previously proposed units. We also have corrected inadvertent oversights in our original proposal. The draft economic analysis estimates potential future impacts associated with conservation efforts for the seven mussels in areas proposed for designation to be \$42.7 million to \$67.9 million over the next 20 years (undiscounted). The present value of these impacts is \$33.0 million to \$52.1 million, using a discount rate of three percent (2.21 million to 3.49 million annually), or \$24.7 million to \$38.8 million, using a discount rate of seven percent (2.31 million to 3.63 million annually). All dollar amounts

include those costs coextensive with listing. We now announce public hearings and reopen the comment period to allow all interested parties an opportunity to comment simultaneously on the original proposed rule, the newly available associated draft economic analysis, and the changes to the original proposed rule included in this document. If you previously submitted comments, you need not resubmit them; they are already part of the public record that we will consider in preparing our final rule. With the inclusion of our newly proposed river lengths, our proposed critical habitat area totals 1,908.5 river kilometers (river km) (1,185.9 river miles (river mi)). Aside from the amendments we describe in this document, our original proposed rule of June 6, 2006, stands.

**DATES:** We will accept public comments until August 6, 2007. We will hold three public hearings, on July 9, 10, and 11, 2007, on the proposed critical habitat designation and the draft economic analysis. See "Public Hearings" under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for details.

**ADDRESSES:** If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments and information concerning this proposal by any one of the following methods: