#### Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. The Nez Perce National Forest is seeing comments and issues you may have regarding this project. Comments are most useful if they are specific.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues nd concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the

National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection. (Authority:

40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.)

Dated: May 10, 2007.

#### Jane L. Cottrell,

Nez Perce National Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 07-2419 Filed 5-16-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Foreign–Trade Zones Board [Docket T–3–2007]

Foreign-Trade Zone 7 - - Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, Application for Temporary/Interim Manufacturing Authority, Merck Sharpe & Dohme Quimica De Puerto Rico, Inc., (Pharmaceutical Products), Caguas, Puerto Rico

An application has been submitted to the Executive Secretary of the Foreign—Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company (PRIDCO), grantee of FTZ 7, requesting temporary/interim manufacturing (T/IM) authority within FTZ 7 at the MOVA Pharmaceutical Corporation (MOVA) facility in Caguas, Puerto Rico, on behalf of Merck Sharp & Dohme Quimica De Puerto Rico, Inc. (MSDQ). The application was filed on May 10, 2007.

The MOVA facility (650 employees, 250,000 sq. ft.) is located at State Road 1, Km 34.8, within the Villa Blanca Industrial Park in Caguas (Site 1, Parcel 2). T/IM procedures would be used for pharmaceutical manufacturing involving two products, MK–431A and sitagliptin (HTSUS 3004.90 and 2933.59) on behalf of MSDQ for the U.S. market and export. Foreign components that would be used in the manufacturing process (up to 25% of total content) include sitagliptin, metformin hydrochloride, enamine amide and butyl josphos (duty rates of 3.7 to 6.5%). MSDQ has also submitted a request for permanent FTZ manufacturing authority (which will be docketed with the Board separately).

FTZ procedures would exempt MSDQ from Customs duty payments on foreign components used in production for export to non–NAFTA countries. For domestic and NAFTA markets, MSDQ could select the duty rate that applies to

the finished product (duty–free to 6.5%) for the components used in production when the finished products are entered for U.S. consumption from the zone. The application indicates that the company would also realize duty deferral and certain logistical/supply chain savings.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the following address: Office of the Executive Secretary, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The closing period for receipt of comments is June 18, 2007.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign–Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed above. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at: christopher\_kemp@ita.doc.gov, or (202) 482–0862.

Dated: May 10, 2007.

#### Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-9539 Filed 5-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-201-830]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jolanta Lawska or John Conniff, AD/
CVD Operations, Office 3, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–8362 or (202) 482–
1009, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On November 27, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Mexico, covering the period October 1, 2005, to September 30, 2006. See Initiation of Antidumping and

Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part (71 FR 68535). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than July 3, 2007.

# **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period specified, the administering authority may extend the 245-day period to issue its preliminary results by up to 120 days.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable for the following reasons. There have been significant changes in the ownership and operating structure of Hylsa from the previous review period. To conduct the sales and cost analyses of Hylsa requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to Hylsa's modified sales practices, manufacturing costs and corporate relationships. Given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review to 365 days. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than October 31, 2007. The final results continue to be due 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: May 11, 2007.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-9540 Filed 5-16-07: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration (A–549–817)

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hotrolled carbon steel flat products from Thailand produced and/or exported by Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Co., Ltd. ("NSM") and G Steel Public Company Limited ("G Steel"). The period of review ("POR") is November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain clerical error corrections for these final results which change the margin. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section.

## **EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 17, 2007.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen Bailey or Angelica Mendoza, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0193 and (202) 482–3019, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On November 8, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot–rolled carbon steel flat products ("hot–rolled steel") from Thailand. See Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission in Part, 71 FR 65458 (November 8, 2006) (Preliminary Results).

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. We received case briefs from respondent NSM, United States Steel Corporation ("petitioner"), and a domestic interested party, Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), on January 8, 2007. We received rebuttal briefs from NSM, petitioner and Nucor on January 16, 2007. No public hearing was held.

Additionally, on November 8, and November 13, 2006, the Department

issued supplemental questionnaires: one regarding possible affiliation between NSM and Siam Cement Group ("Siam") and one requesting certain additional cost information. NSM provided responses to these supplemental questionnaires on November 17, and November 21, 2006, respectively.

Because the Department determined that it was not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time period, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Thailand: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 72 FR 9515 (March 2, 2007).

#### **Partial Rescission**

In our *Preliminary Results*, we announced our preliminary decision to rescind the review with respect to G Steel because this company had no entries of hot–rolled steel from Thailand during the POR. *See Preliminary Results*. We have received no new information contradicting this decision. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review with respect to G Steel.

#### Scope of the Antidumping Duty Review

The products covered by this antidumping duty review are certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers), regardless of thickness, and in straight lengths, of a thickness of less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. Universal mill plate (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm, but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a thickness of not less than 4.0 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) of a thickness not less than 4.0 mm is not included within the scope of this review.

Specifically included within the scope of this review are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial–free (IF)) steels, high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels, and the substrate for motor lamination steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels with micro–alloying levels of elements such as titanium or niobium