

form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: In accordance with the regulations at 40 CFR 79, Subparts A, B, C, and D, Registration of Fuels and Fuel Additives, manufacturers (including importers) of motor-vehicle gasoline, motor-vehicle diesel fuel, and additives for those fuels, are required to have these products registered by the EPA prior to their introduction into commerce. Registration involves providing a chemical description of the fuel or additive, and certain technical, marketing, and health-effects information. The development of health-effects data, as required by 40 CFR 79, Subpart F, is covered by a separate information collection. Manufacturers are also required to submit periodic reports (annually for additives, quarterly and annually for fuels) on production volume and related information. The information is used to identify products whose evaporative or combustion emissions may pose an unreasonable risk to public health, thus meriting further investigation and potential regulation. The information is also used to ensure that gasoline additives comply with EPA requirements for protecting catalytic converters and other automotive emission controls. The data have been used to construct a comprehensive data base on fuel and additive composition. The Mine Safety and Health Administration of the Department of Labor restricts the use of diesel additives in underground coal mines to those registered by EPA. Most of the information is confidential.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average two hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 1050.

Frequency of response: On occasion, quarterly, annually.

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 8.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 17,150.

Estimated total annual costs: \$1.4 million. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$1.37 million and an estimated cost of \$0.04 million for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

There is an increase of 2,340 hours in the total estimated annual respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This increase reflects EPA's updating of burden estimates. The increase is due to an increase in the number of fuel manufacturers producing new fuels, particularly biodiesel.

What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Dated: November 27, 2006.

Margo Tsirigotis Oge,

Director, Office of Transportation and Air Quality.

[FR Doc. E6-20353 Filed 11-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6681-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental

Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060204, ERP No. D-AFS-L65512-OR, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatments, Forest Plan Amendments #16, Mt. Hood National Forest and Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, Clackamas, Hood River, Multnomah and Wasco Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the mix of options presented in the DEIS for controlling invasive, and suggested there be more consideration of IPM, biological controls and the use of prescribed fire and careful consideration when using herbicides.

Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20060218, ERP No. D-FHW-C40167-NY, Williamsville Toll Barrier Improvement Project, Improvements from New York Thruway, Interstate 90 between Interchange 48A and 50, Funding, Erie and Genesee Counties, NY.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about wetlands and water quality impacts, and recommends an expanded cumulative effects analysis.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060239, ERP No. D-NPS-L65515-WA, Olympic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson and Mason Counties, WA.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, but requested that future monitoring include more quantitative measures of water quality.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060286, ERP No. D-BLM-L65518-ID, Eastside Township Fuels and Vegetation Project, Address the Forest Health, Fuels, Safety, and Watershed Issues, Elk City, Idaho County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality impacts, and requested that the assessment of cumulative impacts include other watershed restoration activities.

Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20060345, ERP No. D-AFS-L65521-WA, Buckhorn Access Project, To Utilize the Marias Creek

Route to Construct and Reconstruct Roads, Funding, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forests, Tonasket Ranger District, Okanogan County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water resource impacts, and requested that information about water resources be updated. EPA is also expressed concern about monitoring and mitigation issues. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060347, ERP No. D-BLM-L70014-ID, Cottonwood Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Latah, Clearwater, Nez Perce, Lewis, Idaho and Adams Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality/source water protection impacts and monitoring/maintaining old growth forest habitat. EPA supports the higher level of resource protection and improvement activities described in Alternative C.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060372, ERP No. D-COE-F67004-MN, East Reserve Project, Construct and Operate an Open Pit Taconite Mine between the Towns of Biwabik and McKinley, St. Louis County, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to potential environmental impacts and requested additional analysis regarding project alternatives, wetland mitigation, water quality impacts, cumulative effects, impacts to Tribal rights, and impacts from the potential presence of asbestos and asbestiform material.

Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20060383, ERP No. D-NPS-E61078-00, South Florida and Caribbean Parks Exotic Plant Management Plan, Manage and Control Exotic Plants in Nine Parks, Five in South Florida Parks: Big Cypress National Preserve, Biscayne National Park, Canaveral National Seashore, Dry Tortugas National Park, Everglades National Park and Four in Caribbean Parks: Buck Island Reef National Monument, Christiansted National Historic Site, Salt River Bay National Historic Park and Ecological Preserve and Virgin Islands National Park, Florida and Caribbean.

Summary: EPA does not object to the Preferred Alternative© as the most effective solution for controlling invasive plant species, and recommended monitoring to determine if pesticide residues are accumulating in selected indicator species.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060399, ERP No. D-USA-D11040-VA, Fort Lee, Virginia and Fort A. P. Hill, Virginia Project, Implementation of Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC)

Recommendations and Other Army Actions, Prince George County, Petersburg, Virginia Hopewell, Virginia; Caroline County, Essex County, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about wetlands, natural habitats, wildlife, noise, and water resources impacts at Fort Lee.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060416, ERP No. D-NOA-E91017-00, Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Total Allowable Catch and Reduce Bycatch in the Gulf of Mexico Directed and Shrimp Trawl Fisheries, To Evaluate Alternatives, Gulf of Mexico.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Rating LO.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20060341, ERP No. F-AFS-L65483-ID, Three Basins Timber Sale Project, Proposal to Treat 760 Acres of Mature Forest, Implementation, Caribou-Targhee National Forest, Montpelier Ranger District, Bearlake and Caribou Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20060417, ERP No. F-COE-C40162-NJ, NJ-92 Project, New Jersey Turnpike Authority, Transportation Improvement from East-West Highway Link connecting U.S. Route 1 in South Brunswick Township with the New Jersey Turnpike at Interchange 8A in Monroe Township, Middlesex County, NJ.

Summary: EPA recommended that the Corps consider several issues in its upcoming permitting evaluation and decision document, *i.e.*, issues regarding the alternatives screening process, impacts to surface water, wetlands mitigation and air quality regional emissions analysis.

EIS No. 20060421, ERP No. F-AFS-L65487-OR, Blue Mountain Land Exchange—Oregon Project, Proposed Exchange of Federal and Non-Federal Lands, Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests, Baker, Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's concerns; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

Dawn R. Roberts,

Management Analyst, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6-20350 Filed 11-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6681-6]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 11/20/2006 Through 11/24/2006 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Emergency Comments:

EIS No. 20060486, Draft EIS, NPS, MD, White-Tailed Deer Management Plan, Implementation, Catocin Mountain Park, Frederick and Washington Counties, MD, **Comment Period Ends:** 01/29/2007, **Contact:** Donna Swauger, 301-416-0135.

EIS No. 20060487, Final EIS, AFS, CA, South Yuba Canal Maintenance Project, Hazardous Trees Removal, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Nevada County, CA, **Wait Period Ends:** 01/17/2007, **Contact:** Dennis W. Stevens, 530-478-6253.

EIS No. 20060488, Final EIS, BLM, WY, Atlantic Rim Natural Gas Field Development Project, Proposed Natural Gas Development to 2000 Wells, 1800 to Coal Beds and 200 to Other Formations, Carbon County, WY, **Wait Period Ends:** 01/02/2007, **Contact:** David Simons, 307-328-4328.

EIS No. 20060489, Final EIS, COE, 00, Lock and Dam 3 Mississippi River Navigation Safety and Embankments, To Reduce Related Navigation Safety and Embankment Problems, Upper Mississippi River, Goodhue County, MN and Pierce County, WI, **Wait Period Ends:** 01/02/2007, **Contact:** Daniel Wilcox, 651-290-5276.

EIS No. 20060490, Draft EIS, OSM, 00, Black Mesa Project, Revisions to the Life-of-Mine Operation and Reclamation for the Kayenta and Black Mesa Surface-Coal Mining Operations, Right-of-Way Grant, Mohave, Navajo, Coconino and Yavapai Counties, AZ and Clark County, NV, **Comment Period Ends:** 01/22/2007, **Contact:** Peter A. Rutledge, 303-844-1400 Ext. 1440.

EIS No. 20060491, Second Final EIS (Tiering), FHW, WA, WA-167