

RURAL TELEPHONE BANK HISTORICAL COST OF MONEY RATE—FINANCING ACCOUNT—Continued

Fiscal year	(a) Cost of money (%)	(b) Advances (\$)	(c) (a)×(b) (\$)	(c)/Total Advances (%)
FY 2000 .....	6.05	31,401,867	1,899,813	0.349
FY 2001 .....	5.17	55,405,896	2,864,485	0.527
FY 2002 .....	6.05	60,232,919	3,644,092	0.670
FY 2003 .....	5.67	55,835,695	3,165,884	0.582
FY 2004 .....	5.36	67,074,751	3,595,207	0.661
Total advances .....		543,884,534		
Cost of money .....				5.96

[FR Doc. 06-6970 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Rural Utilities Service**

**Georgia Transmission Corporation; Notice of Intent To Hold a Public Scoping Meeting and Prepare an Environmental Assessment**

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.  
**ACTION:** Notice of intent to hold a public scoping meeting and prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA).

**SUMMARY:** Rural Utilities Service (RUS), an agency which administers the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development Utilities Programs. RUS intends to hold a public scoping meeting and prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) in connection with possible impacts related to a project proposed by Georgia Transmission Corporation (GTC), with headquarters in Tucker, Georgia.

The proposal consists of the construction of approximately 7 miles of 230 kilovolt (kV) transmission line from the proposed East Walton 500/230 kV Substation to the proposed Bethabara Substation. The 230 kilovolt transmission line proposal would be located in Walton and Oconee Counties, Georgia. The proposed East Walton 500/230 kV Substation is located in Walton County and the proposed Bethabara Substation in Oconee County. This proposal is a connected action to the East Walton-Rockville 500 kV Transmission Line, the East Walton-Jack's 230 kV Transmission Line that was presented at the scoping meetings held on Monday, April 17, 2006, at Carver Middle School in Monroe, Georgia and Tuesday, April 18, 2006, at the Madison Morgan Cultural Center in Madison, Georgia. GTC is requesting RUS provide financing for the proposal.

**DATES:** RUS will conduct one scoping meeting in an open house format,

seeking the input of the public and other interested parties. The meeting will be held from 5 p.m. until 7 p.m., August 22, 2006, in Fellowship Hall of the Bethabara Baptist Church, 4651 Monroe Highway (US 78), Statham, Georgia 30666.

An Electric Alternative Evaluation and Macro Corridor Study Report, prepared by Georgia Transmission Corporation, will be presented at the public scoping meeting. The Report will be available for public review at RUS' address provided in this notice, at RUS' Web site: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>, at Georgia Transmission Corporation, 2100 East Exchange Place, Tucker, Georgia 30084 and at the following locations:  
Walton County Library, 217 West Spring Street, Monroe, Georgia 30655; 770 267-4630.  
Oconee County Library, 1080 Experiment Station Road, Watkinsville, Georgia 30677; 706 769-3950.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Strength, Environmental Protection Specialist, USDA Rural Development, Utilities Programs, Engineering and Environmental Staff, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Stop 1571, Washington, DC 20250-1571, telephone (202) 720-0468. Mrs. Strength's E-mail address is [stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Georgia Transmission Corporation proposes to construct a 230 kilovolt transmission line from the proposed East Walton 500/230 kV Substation to the proposed Bethabara Substation. It would require a right-of-way of 100 feet. Guyed and unguied concrete or steel poles ranging in height from 80- to 120-feet would support the East Walton-Bethabara 230 kV conductors. It is anticipated that the transmission line would be in service in 2011.

Government agencies, private organizations, and the public are invited to participate in the planning and

analysis of the proposal. Representatives from RUS and Georgia Transmission Corporation will be available at the scoping meeting to discuss RUS' environmental review process, describe the project, the purpose and need for the proposal, macro corridors under consideration, and to discuss the scope of environmental issues to be considered, answer questions, and accept comments. Comments regarding the proposal may be submitted (orally or in writing) at the public scoping meeting or in writing for receipt no later than September 22, 2006, to RUS at the address provided in this notice.

Georgia Transmission Corporation will prepare an environmental analysis to be submitted to RUS for review from information provided in the alternative evaluation and site selection study and input that may be provided by government agencies, private organizations and the public. RUS will use the environmental analysis to determine the significance of the impacts of the proposal and may adopt it as its Environmental Assessment for the proposal. RUS' Environmental Assessment will be available for review and comment for 30 days.

Should RUS determine, based on the Environmental Assessment that the impacts of the construction and operation of the transmission line would not have a significant environmental impact, it will prepare a finding of no significant impact. Public notification of a finding of no significant impact will be published in the **Federal Register** and in newspapers with a circulation in the project area.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposal will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with environmental review requirements as prescribed by RUS' environmental policies and procedures.

Dated: August 7, 2006.

**Mark S. Plank,**

*Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff, USDA/Rural Development/Utilities Programs.*

[FR Doc. E6-13411 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-846]

#### **Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 16, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin C. Begnal or Tom Killiam, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1442 or (202) 482-5222, respectively.

#### **Background**

On May 8, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China for the period April 1, 2004, through March 31, 2005. *See Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the 2004/2005 Administrative Review and Preliminary Notice of Intent To Rescind the 2004/2005 New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 26736 (May 8, 2006) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results of this administrative review are currently due by September 5, 2006.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and section 351.213(h)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department shall issue final results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the notice of preliminary results is published in the **Federal Register**. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the specified time period, section

751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend this deadline to 180 days.

The Department has determined that completion of the final results within the originally anticipated time limit, September 5, 2006, is impracticable. The Department requires additional time to analyze the parties' responses to the supplemental questionnaires issued on June 22, 2006, as well as to address the concerns of the interested parties as raised in their June 19, 2006 briefs, June 27, 2006 rebuttal briefs, July 17, 2006 comments on bentonite and coal powder usage, and July 24, 2006, rebuttal comments on this issue. Consequently, it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified under the Act. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of these final results by 45 days to October 20, 2006, in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Additionally, on April 29, 2005, Shanxi Zhongding Auto Parts Co., Ltd. agreed to waive the time limits of its new shipper review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), and to have its review conducted concurrently with the 2004/2005 administrative review of this order for the period April 1, 2004, through March 31, 2005. Therefore, the final results of this new shipper review will also be extended by 45 days to October 20, 2006.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 10, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-13474 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-570-827)

#### **Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 16, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Smith or Gemal Brangman, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 1, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 70 FR 72109 (December 1, 2005). On December 30, 2005, the petitioners<sup>1</sup> requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for Tianjin Custom Wood Processing Co., Ltd. ("TCW").<sup>2</sup> On January 3, 2006, Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Corp. ("SFTC") requested an administrative review of its sales. On February 1, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the PRC with respect to these companies. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 5241 (February 1, 2006) ("Initiation Notice").

On February 14, 2006, SFTC withdrew its request for review.

In response to the Department's February 8, 2006, quantity and value questionnaire, TCW stated on February 22, 2006, that it had no exports, sales or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

On July 24, 2006, the Department placed on the record a list of manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise for which the Department initiated administrative reviews, and for which U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") suspended liquidation of subject entries during the period of review. *See* the July 24, 2006, memorandum from Brian Smith to the file entitled, "2004-2005 Administrative Review of Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: CBP List of Exporters" ("July 24, 2006, Memorandum").

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners are Sanford L.P., Musgrave Pencil Company, RoseMoon Inc., and General Pencil Company.

<sup>2</sup> The petitioners also requested a review for China First Pencil Company, Ltd., Shanghai Three Star Stationery Industry Corp. and its affiliates Shanghai First Writing Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai Great Wall Pencil Co., Ltd., and China First Pencil Fang Zheng Co.