

exchange⁷ and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act⁸ and the rules and regulations thereunder. Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that providing ROTs with a limited ability to send orders in connection with a bona fide hedge or liquidating position in a formerly assigned options class is a reasonable response by the Exchange to the need for ROTs to reduce the position risk that occurs when an options class is relocated.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (SR-Amex-2005-096), as amended, is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-12175 Filed 7-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54192; File No. SR-CBOE-2006-27]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Relating to Strike Price Intervals for VIX Options

July 21, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 15, 2006, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or

"Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. On July 19, 2006, the CBOE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The Commission is publishing this notice and order to solicit comments on the proposal from interested persons and to approve the proposed rule change, as amended, on an accelerated basis.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes rules that would permit the Exchange to list and trade VIX options in \$1 strike price intervals within certain parameters. The text of the proposed rule change, as amended, is below. Proposed new language is in *italics*.

* * * * *

Rule 24.9 Terms of Index Option Contracts

No change.

* * * Interpretations and Policies:

.01 The procedures for adding and deleting strike prices for index options are provided in Rule 5.5 and Interpretations and Policies related thereto, as otherwise generally provided by Rule 24.9, and include the following: (a)-(d) No change.

(e)(i) *Notwithstanding paragraph (a), the interval between strike prices for options on the CBOE Volatility Index (VIX) will be no less than \$2.50; provided, that subject to the following conditions, the interval between strike prices for VIX will be no less than \$1.00:*

(A) *The Exchange may open for trading series at \$1.00 or greater strike price intervals for each expiration on up to 5 VIX option series above and 5 VIX option series below the current index level;*

(B) *The Exchange may open for trading additional series at \$1.00 or greater strike price intervals for each expiration as the current index level of VIX moves from the exercise price of those VIX options series that already have been opened for trading on the Exchange so as to maintain at least 5 VIX option series above and 5 VIX option series below the current index level;*

(C) *The Exchange may not open for trading series with \$1.00 intervals within \$0.50 of an existing \$2.50 strike price with the same expiration month; and*

(D) *The interval between strike prices for VIX LEAPs will be no less than \$2.50.*

(ii) *For the purposes of adding strike prices on options on VIX at \$1.00 or greater strike price intervals, as well as at \$2.50 or greater strike price intervals, the "current index level" shall mean the implied forward level based on VIX futures prices.*

.02-.14 No change.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item III below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to permit the Exchange to list and trade options on the CBOE Volatility Index ("VIX") in \$1 strike price intervals within certain parameters described below.⁴ VIX is calculated using real-time quotes of out-of-the-money and at-the-money nearby and second nearby index puts and calls on the S&P 500 Index ("SPX"). Generally, VIX provides investors with up-to-the-minute market estimates of expected volatility of the S&P 500 Index.

VIX is quoted in absolute numbers that represent the volatility of the S&P 500 Index in percentage points per annum. For example, an index level of 12.66 (the closing value of the VIX on March 7, 2006) represents an annualized volatility of 12.66% in the S&P 500 Index. The VIX level fluctuates quite differently than individual equity securities or indexes of individual equity securities. Specifically, the

⁷ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 replaced and superseded the original rule filing in its entirety.

⁴ The Commission has also granted approval for CBOE to list options on the increased-value version of VIX ("Increased-Value VIX") (see Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49698 (May 13, 2004), 69 FR 29152 (May 20, 2004) (Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Relating to Options on Certain CBOE Volatility Indexes)). This proposed rule change does not apply to options on Increased-Value VIX.

Exchange states that indices such as VIX that track volatility are “mean-reverting,” which is a statistical way of saying that there is a strong tendency for the volatility index to move toward its long-term historical average level. In other words, at historically low volatility index levels, there is a higher probability that the next big move will be up rather than down. Conversely, at historically high volatility index levels, the next big move is more likely to be down rather than up.

Thus, as exemplified by VIX, the Exchange states volatility indexes tend to move within set ranges, and even when a level moves outside that range, the tendency towards mean-reversion often results in the volatility index returning to a level within the range. In the case of VIX, the historical average of VIX since 1990 is 19.4 and has closed at levels from a low of 9.3 to a high of 45.7. Since January 1, 2004, VIX has fluctuated in a narrow range between a level of 10.2 and a level of 21.6. Furthermore, VIX closed under 25 for 82% of the days on which the level was calculated since 1990 (3,360 days out of a total of 4,078 days) and has closed under 30 for 93% of the days on which the level was calculated since 1990 (3,791 days out of a total of 4,078 days).

Under current CBOE rules, the Exchange may only list strikes on VIX options with intervals no less than \$2.50. Therefore, the Exchange currently lists strikes on puts and calls on VIX

options at 10, 12.50, 15, 17.50, 20, 22.5 and 25. However, because of the generally limited range in which VIX has fluctuated, the Exchange believes that investors will be better served if the Exchange is able to list \$1 strike price intervals in VIX option series. To address this, the Exchange is proposing to list series at \$1 or greater strike price intervals for each expiration on up to 5 VIX option series above and 5 VIX option series below the current index level. Additional series at \$1.00 or greater strike price intervals could be listed for each expiration as the current index level of VIX moves from the exercise price of the VIX options series that already have been opened for trading on the Exchange in order to maintain at least 5 VIX option series above and 5 VIX option series below the current index level. For purposes of adding strike prices at \$1.00 or greater strike price intervals, as well as at \$2.50 or greater strike price intervals, the “current index level” would be defined as the “implied forward level” of VIX for each expiration.⁵ The Exchange intends to determine implied forward levels of VIX through the use of VIX futures prices. Its reasons for using this approach are explained below.

By way of background, the Exchange states that option prices reflect the market’s expectation of the price of the underlying at expiration, which is referred to as the “forward” level. For stock indexes such as the SPX and the

S&P 100 (“OEX”), the best estimate of the forward level is the current, or “spot,” price adjusted for the “carry,” which is the financing cost of owning the component stocks in the index less the dividends paid by those stocks. For VIX, the Exchange states that a better estimate than the standard “cash and carry” model for calculating the forward levels of VIX at each expiration is reflected in the prices of the options that will be used to calculate VIX on that expiration day. For example, September SPX options will be used to calculate VIX on the August VIX expiration date. Likewise, November VIX options are tied to the implied volatility of December SPX options, and so on.

The Exchange states that one important property of implied volatility is that it exhibits a “term structure.” In other words, the VIX volatility of options expiring on different dates can trade at different levels and can move independently. Another property related to the term structure is that implied volatility tends to trend toward the market’s expectation of a long-term “average” value. As a result, the Exchange states that a large spike in one-month implied volatility might not affect implied volatility of longer-dated options very much at all. For example, the following table illustrates the recent behavior of forward VIX levels relative to dramatic change in the current VIX price.

VIX expiration month	Based on SPX options expiring in . . .	VIX forward prices (5/5/06)	VIX forward prices (5/19/06)	Change
Spot VIX	11.62	17.18	+5.56
June 2006	July 2006	12.55	14.86	+2.31
August 2006	September 2006	13.66	14.67	+1.01
November 2006	December 2006	14.59	15.10	+0.51
February 2007	March 2007	15.27	15.46	+0.19
May 2007	June 2007	15.75	15.93	+0.18
May 2008	June 2008	17.13	17.36	+0.36

On May 5, 2006, “spot” VIX closed at 11.62. Forward VIX levels at different points along the term structure ranged from 12.55 (June 2006) to 17.13 (May 2008). Two weeks later, spot VIX closed at 17.18—a gain of more than 5.5 points from the May 5th spot VIX. However, June forward VIX levels increased by only 2.31 points, August forward VIX rose by 1.01 points, and November rose by 0.51 point. The increase in forward levels for contracts expiring 9 months

and longer was only approximately 0.2 points.

The Exchange notes that many traders use VIX futures prices as a proxy for forward VIX levels. The CBOE Futures Exchange, LLC (CFE) lists VIX futures corresponding to each VIX options expiration month. CBOE believes that using these prices is an accurate and transparent method for determining the “current index level” used to center the limited range in which \$1 or greater

strikes in VIX options will be listed and the broader range in which \$2.50 or greater strikes in VIX options will be listed. Thus, the Exchange will use the corresponding VIX futures prices as a method for determining the “current index level” for listing series with both \$1 and \$2.50 strikes in VIX options.

Additionally, the Exchange is proposing that it would not list series with \$1 intervals within \$0.50 of an existing \$2.50 strike price with the same

⁵ With respect to \$2.50 or greater strikes, the \$2.50 or greater strike price intervals will be reasonably related to the current index value of VIX at or about the time such series are first opened for trading. The term “reasonably related to the current index value

of the underlying index” means that the exercise price is within 30% of the current index value. The Exchange may also open additional \$2.50 or greater strike price series that are more than 30% away from the current index value, provided that

demonstrated customer interest exists for such series, as expressed by institutional, corporate, or individual customers or their brokers. See Interpretations and Policies .01(d) and .04 of Rule 24.9.

expiration month (e.g., if there is an existing 12.50 strike, the Exchange would not list a 12 or 13 strike). Finally, the interval between strike prices for VIX long-term option series ("LEAPs®") will continue to be no less than \$2.50.

The Exchange states that the \$1 strike price intervals will more closely bracket the level of VIX when it remains locked within a static range, as currently exists and will enable investors to assume more dynamic volatility index option positions that reflect greater possibilities of settling in-the-money.

Finally, CBOE has analyzed its capacity and represents that it believes the Exchange and the Options Price Reporting Authority ("OPRA") have the necessary systems capacity to handle the additional traffic associated with the listing and trading of \$1 strike VIX options as proposed herein.

2. Statutory Basis

CBOE believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act⁶ and the rules and regulations under the Act applicable to a national securities exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁷ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁸ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2006-27 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2006-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2006-27 and should be submitted on or before August 21, 2006.

IV. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of the Proposed Rule Change

After careful consideration, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁹ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent

with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁰ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission believes that this proposal, as amended, is a reasonable means of providing investors with greater flexibility to establish investment positions that can be better tailored to meet their objectives. Specifically, the Commission believes that the implementation of \$1 strike price intervals for VIX options is designed to better serve investors in that product in that it will provide more dynamic strike levels that better reflect movements in the VIX. As explained by CBOE, the VIX level fluctuates much differently than individual equity securities or indexes of individual equity securities and has generally remained in a relatively narrow range since its inception. Because of these unique characteristics of the VIX, the Commission believes that the implementation of \$1 strike price intervals in the VIX option product, within the parameters detailed in CBOE's proposal, is appropriate. The Commission notes that CBOE's proposed use of VIX futures as a proxy for the "implied forward level" of VIX used to calculate the "current index value" for purposes of adding strike price intervals is a methodology reasonably designed to reflex the unique properties of the VIX. The Commission further notes that CBOE has represented that the Exchange and OPRA have the necessary systems capacity to absorb the additional options traffic caused by the introduction of VIX \$1 strikes.

The Exchange has requested accelerated approval of the proposed rule change. The Commission finds good cause, consistent with Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹¹ for approving this proposed rule change before the thirtieth day after the publication of notice thereof in the **Federal Register**. The Commission believes that allowing the Exchange to list and trade options on the VIX in \$1 strike price intervals immediately will provide investors with new means of managing their risk exposures and carrying out their investment objectives, and that any potential concerns about VIX \$1 strikes are mitigated by the parameters detailed in the proposal.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ In approving this rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹² that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2006-27), as amended, is approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-12155 Filed 7-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54191; File No. SR-CHX-2006-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval to a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Thereto Relating to the Transfer of Securities Among Co-Specialists Within a Specialist Firm

July 21, 2006.

On March 8, 2006, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend its rules to permit the transfer of securities to different co-specialists within a specialist firm. On May 3, 2006, CHX filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ On May 22, 2006, CHX filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁴ The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on June 15, 2006.⁵ On July 3, 2006, CHX filed Amendment No. 3 to

the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission received no comments regarding the proposal, as amended. This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

Under the Exchange's current rules relating to the assignment of securities to specialist firms, the Committee on Specialist Assignment and Evaluation ("CSAE") assigns each security to a specialist firm and this firm is responsible both financially and as a regulatory matter for the trading of the security.⁷ At the same time, however, when a specialist firm applies to trade a security, it must identify the co-specialist that will trade the security and the CSAE will review the co-specialist's trading performance in making its assignment decision.⁸ As an overall matter, the specialist firm and the individual co-specialist are jointly responsible for each assigned security and the decision by either the firm or the individual trader to deregister in a security could result in the posting of the security for re-assignment.⁹

The current Exchange rules generally require that a co-specialist to whom a security was assigned in competition to keep the assigned security for a period of two years.¹⁰ Alternatively, if the specialist unit agrees to have the security posted, a period of at least one year must have elapsed from the date of the original assignment.¹¹ Further, securities assigned without competition may be transferred without a waiting period. However, in all situations, the transfers must be approved by the CSAE.¹²

The Exchange proposes to delete the waiting period requirement prior to approving a request for deregistration and to permit the transfer of securities among co-specialists within a firm, without seeking prior CSAE approval, as long as: (1) The specialist unit immediately notifies the Exchange of such transfer; and (2) when such a transfer is made within six months of an initial assignment of the security to the specialist unit, the specialist unit provides written notification to the

Exchange of the transfer decision and of its reasons for making the change. Accordingly, each intrafirm transfer by the specialist unit effectively would deregister a co-specialist in the securities that the co-specialist no longer trades and register another co-specialist in any newly-assigned securities.

In addition, under the Exchange's existing rules, when the CSAE makes a decision to assign a particular security, the CSAE considers the qualifications of the specialist unit and the co-specialist's demonstrated ability and experience. Because the CSAE bases its decision, in part, on a co-specialist's qualifications, the Exchange proposes to make explicit in its rules that it is important that a specialist firm accurately represent plans for having a particular co-specialist trade a security. Under the proposal, a specialist unit must not designate a co-specialist with relatively strong demonstrated ability and experience when applying for a security and then immediately transfer the security to a co-specialist with less demonstrated ability and experience without good cause for making the change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.¹³ In particular, the Commission believes that the proposal, as amended, is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that the proposed rule change, as amended, is designed to provide specialist firms with greater flexibility to respond to various market conditions that may require prompt transfer of securities among co-specialists within the same firm. With respect to the Exchange's proposal to require that a specialist unit not designate a co-specialist with relatively strong demonstrated ability and experience when applying for a security and then immediately transfer the security to a co-specialist with less demonstrated ability and experience without good cause for making the change, the Commission believes that

¹² *Id.*

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange revised the rule text of the proposed rule change to clarify the application of the proposal to intrafirm transfers and revised the purpose section to discuss the proposed provision requiring the specialist unit to accurately represent its plans in the specialist application regarding designating a particular co-specialist to trade a security.

⁴ In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange revised the rule text of the proposed rule change to clarify the impact of an intrafirm transfer on the deregistration and registration of individual co-specialists within a specialist firm and made non-substantive changes to the proposed rule text. The proposed rule text set forth in Amendment No. 2 superceded and replaced the rule text set forth in the initial filing and Amendment No. 1 in its entirety.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53949 (June 6, 2006), 71 FR 34648.

⁶ In Amendment No. 3, the Exchange makes minor, non-substantive changes to the rule text of the proposed rule change. This is a technical amendment and is not subject to notice and comment.

⁷ See Article XXX, Rule 1, Interpretation and Policy .01, Section II, Introductory paragraphs; and Section I.4.

⁸ See Article XXX, Rule 1, Interpretation and Policy .01, Sections II and III.

⁹ See Article XXX, Rule 1, Interpretation and Policy .01, Section I.4.

¹⁰ See Article XXX, Rule 1, Interpretation and Policy .01, Section I.2.

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.*

¹³ In approving this proposed rule change, as amended, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).