

this notice of final results of the administrative review for all shipments of certain pasta from Italy entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except where the margin is *de minimis* or zero we will instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 11.26 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta from Italy*, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO are sanctionable violations.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 2, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

List of Comments:

Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A. (Barilla)

Comment 1: Double Counting of the Cost of Semolina Purchases
Comment 2: Treatment of Subject Merchandise Produced by Other Italian Manufacturers
Comment 3: Overstatement of Constructed Export Price (CEP) Profit
Comment 4: CEP Offset
Comment 5: Use of Facts Available for Financial Discount
Comment 6: Reclassification of Rebate Payments as Selling Expense
Comment 7: Margin Calculation Methodology
Comment 8: Application of Case Discount

Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. and Fusco S.r.l. (collectively Indalco)

Comment 9: Liquidation Instructions
Comment 10: Margin Calculation Methodology
Comment 11: Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expenses
Comment 12: DIFMER Adjustment

Pasta Lensi S.r.l. (Lensi)

Comment 13: Credit and purchase order adjustments to the Gross Unit Price in the Net U.S. Price Calculation
Comment 14: Credit Adjustment to Gross Unit Price in Calculating Normal Value
Comment 15: Commission Offset for CEP Sales
Comment 16: CEP Offset
Comment 17: Imputed Credit Expenses
Comment 18: Wheat Classifications
Comment 19: CEP Profit
Comment 20: Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order for Lensi

PAM S.p.A. (PAM)

Comment 21: Collapsing PAM's wheat types 1 and 2

Pasta Riscossa F. Illi Mastromauro, S.r.l. (Riscossa)

Comment 22: Use of a Constant Factor for Inland Freight Expense
Comment 23: Correction of the Home Market Warranties field
Comment 24: Inclusion of Purchased Pasta in Comparison Market Program

Comment 25: Adjustment of Semolina Costs

Comment 26: Revision of Riscossa's Reported Interest Rate

Pastificio Carmine Russo S.p.A./ Pastificio Di Nola S.p.A. (Russo)

Comment 27: U.S. Price Calculation
[FR Doc. E5-534 Filed 2-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-489-805)

Certain Pasta from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. This review covers two exporters/producers of subject merchandise, Filiz Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Filiz) and Tat Konserve A.S. (Tat), successor-in-interest to Pastavilla Makarnacilik San. V. Tic. A.S., (Pastavilla). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. For our final results, we have found that during the POR, Tat and Filiz sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). The final results are listed in the "Final Results of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyman Armstrong or Eric Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3601 or (202) 482-6071, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pasta from Turkey. *See Certain Pasta from Turkey: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 47876 (August 6, 2004)

(*Preliminary Results*). The review covers two manufacturers/exporters. The POR is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. We only received timely case briefs from Tat and petitioners¹ on September 7, 2004. We received rebuttal briefs from Tat, Filiz, and petitioners on September 13, 2004.² On September 17, 2004, Filiz submitted an untimely case brief, and requested that the Department consider it for these final results. On September 22, 2004, the Department returned Filiz's case brief as untimely filed new factual information pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(b)(2). See Letter to the File Re: Removal of Filiz Case Brief, dated September 22, 2004.

On November 4, 2004, the Department published the notice of extension of final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of pasta from Turkey, extending the date for these final results to February 2, 2005. See *Certain Pasta From Turkey: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 64275 (November 4, 2005).

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white.

On May 24, 1999, we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing or labeled up to (and including) five pounds four ounces is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders. See Memorandum from John Brinkmann, Program Manager, to Richard Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Concerning Final Scope Ruling, dated May 24, 1999, in the case file in the Central Records Unit, main Commerce building, room B-099 (the CRU).

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)*. Although the *HTSUS* subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal brief by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. We calculated the export price and NV using the same methodology described in the *Preliminary Results*, except as follows:

- The Department has corrected a clerical error in order to collapse wheat codes 1 and 2 consistently throughout the program for Filiz and Tat.
- The countervailing duty expense (CVDU) reported by Tat was deleted from the margin calculation program in order to avoid double-counting of this expense.
- The Department has corrected an error in applying the affiliated party test for Tat.

These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Tat	36.65
Filiz	17.73

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific duty assessment rates by aggregating the dumping margins for the examined U.S. sales for each importer and dividing the amount by the total entered value of the sales for that importer. In situations in which the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of the administrative review for all shipments of certain pasta from Turkey entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above, except where the margin is *de minimis* or zero we will instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 51.49 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta from Turkey*, 61 FR 38545 (July 24, 1996). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties

¹ Petitioners are New World Pasta Company, Dakota Growers Pasta Company, Borden Foods Corporation and American Italian Pasta Company.

² On September 13, 2004, Filiz filed a rebuttal brief stating that it would not address the issues raised by petitioners in their September 7, 2004 filing because Filiz had already addressed the issues in its case brief. However, Filiz had failed to file the referenced case brief with the Department.

and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO are sanctionable violations.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 2, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX I

List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

I. List of Comments:

Tat Konserve A.S. (Tat)

Comment 1: Whether the Department Should Reject Tat's February 24, 2004, Submission

Comment 2: Calculation Error in Affiliated Party Arm's-Length Test

Comment 3: Whether the Department Should Continue to Collapse Tat's Wheat Codes

Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Correct Tat's Cost Test to Account for Different Levels of Trade
Comment 5: Whether the Department Double-Counted Tat's Countervailing Duties

Comment 6: Modification of Imputed Credit Calculations

Filiz Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Filiz)

Comment 7: The Department Should Continue to Collapse Wheat Codes 1 and 2 But Correct for a Clerical Error

II. Background

III. Wheat Codes

IV. Discussion of Interested Party Comments

[FR Doc. E5-538 Filed 2-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-847]

Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of persulfates from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). This review covers one exporter of the subject merchandise, Shanghai AJ Import & Export Corporation (Ai Jian). The period of review is July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. See the section entitled "Changes Since the Preliminary Results" listed below. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tisha Loeper-Viti or Erol Yesin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-7425 and (202) 482-4037, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 6, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of the 2002-2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC. See *Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 47887 (August 6, 2004) (*Preliminary Results*). In these results the Department relied on the financial statement of a single Indian producer of identical merchandise to calculate surrogate financial ratios for Ai Jian. On October 29, 2004, we recalculated our preliminary results using the financial statements of two Indian producers of comparable merchandise to calculate surrogate financial ratios. For details, see Memorandum on Recalculation of Preliminary Results of Review from Jeffrey A. May, Deputy Assistant Secretary, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration,

dated October 29, 2004. We invited interested parties to comment on both the preliminary and recalculated preliminary results of review. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of Review

The products covered by this order are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively, $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, and $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$. Potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.10 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Sodium persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheading 2833.40.20. Ammonium and other persulfates are classifiable under HTSUS subheadings 2833.40.50 and 2833.40.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Separate Rates

Ai Jian has requested a separate, company-specific antidumping duty rate. In our preliminary results, we found that Ai Jian had met the criteria for the application of a separate antidumping duty rate. See *Preliminary Results*, 69 FR at 47888. We have not received any other information since the preliminary results which would warrant reconsideration of our separate-rates determination with respect to this company. Therefore, we have assigned an individual dumping margin to Ai Jian for this administrative review.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (Decision Memo) from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated February 2, 2005, which is adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit in Room B-099 of the main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>.