

pollution control agencies and EPA regional offices make recommendations to headquarters for the national awards. Programs and projects being recognized are in compliance with applicable water quality requirements and have a satisfactory record with respect to environmental quality. Municipalities and industries are recognized for their demonstrated achievements in the following awards categories:

- (1) Outstanding operations and maintenance practices at wastewater treatment facilities;
- (2) Exemplary biosolids management projects, technology/innovation or development activities, research and public acceptance efforts;
- (3) Outstanding municipal implementation and enforcement of local pretreatment programs;

- (4) Implementing outstanding, innovative, and cost-effective storm water control. The winners of the EPA's 2005 National Clean Water Act Recognition Awards are listed below by category.

**Operations and Maintenance Awards Category**

**First Place:**

- Durham Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility Clean Water Services, Tigard, Oregon .....
- Metro Wastewater Reclamation District, Denver, Colorado .....
- Mount Holly Water Pollution Control Facility, Mount Holly, New Jersey .....
- Northwest Water Reclamation Facility, Kennesaw, Georgia .....
- Brownstown Wastewater Utility, Brownstown, Indiana .....
- Lawrence Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility, Lawrence, Kansas .....
- Fountain Sanitation District, Fountain, Colorado .....
- Newington New Hampshire Wastewater Treatment Facility, Newington, New Hampshire .....
- North Conway Wastewater Treatment Facility, North Conway, Connecticut .....
- South Blue River Waste Water Treatment Facility, Breckenridge Sanitation District, Breckenridge, Colorado.

**Sub-Category:**

- Large Advanced Plant (tie).
- Large Advanced Plant (tie).
- Medium Advanced Plant (tie).
- Medium Advanced Plant (tie).
- Small Advanced Plant.
- Large Secondary Plant.
- Medium Secondary Plant.
- Small Secondary Plant.
- Large Non-discharging Plant.
- Small Non-discharging Plant.

**Second Place:**

- Blue Lake Wastewater Treatment Plant, Metropolitan Council Environmental Services, Shakopee, Minnesota.
- Wheaton Sanitary District, Wheaton, Illinois .....
- Village of Lima Wastewater Treatment Plant, Lima, New York .....
- Town of Canton Water Pollution Control Facility, Canton, Connecticut .....

**Sub-Category:**

- Large Advanced Plant.
- Medium Advanced Plant.
- Small Advanced Plant.
- Small Secondary Plant.

**Biosolids Management Awards Category**

**First Place:**

- District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, Biosolids Management Program, Washington, DC .....
- Lawrence Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility, .....

**Sub-Category:**

- Large Operating Projects.
- Small Operating Projects Lawrence, Kansas
- Research Activities.

Dr. Ken Barbarick and Dr. Jim Ippolito, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado .....

**Second Place:**

- West Jackson County Land Application Facility, Pascagoula, Mississippi .....

**Sub-Category:**

- Small Operating Projects.

**Honorable Mention:**

- Butler County Department of Environmental Services, Hamilton, Ohio .....
- Tri-Cities Regional Wastewater Authority and Veolia Water North America, Dayton, Ohio .....

**Sub-Category:**

- Large Operating Projects.
- Small Operating Projects.

**Pretreatment Awards Category**

**First Place:**

- Central Contra Costa Sanitary District, Martinez, California .....

**Sub-Category:**

- Greater than 20 Significant Industrial Users (SIUs).

City of Wilsonville Water Pollution Control Facility, Wilsonville, Oregon .....

**Second Place:**

- Broward County Water and Wastewater Services Utility Compliance and Monitoring Industrial Pretreatment Program, Pompano Beach, Florida.

**Sub-Category:**

- Greater than 20 SIUs.

Upper Merion Municipal Utility Authority, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania .....

6-20 SIUs.

**Stormwater Management Awards Category**

**First Place:**

- Northrop Grumman/Newport News/Continental Maritime of San Diego, Inc., San Diego, California .....

**Sub-Category:**

Industrial.

Dated: October 5, 2005.

**James A. Hanlon,**

*Director, Office of Wastewater Management.*  
[FR Doc. 05-20815 Filed 10-17-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION**

**Farm Credit Administration Board; Regular Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Farm Credit Administration.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), that the November 10, 2005 regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board) has been rescheduled. The regular meeting of the Board will be

held Tuesday, November 8, 2004 starting at 9 a.m. An agenda for this meeting will be published at a later date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jeanette C. Brinkley, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883-4009, TTY (703) 883-4056.

**ADDRESSES:** Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090.

Dated: October 14, 2005.

**Jeanette C. Brinkley,**

*Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.*

[FR Doc. 05-20957 Filed 10-14-05; 3:59 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6705-01-P**

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### Statement of Policy Regarding the National Historic Preservation Act

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

**ACTION:** Proposed Statement of Policy.

**SUMMARY:** The FDIC is proposing to revise its Statement of Policy on the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA). The revised Statement of Policy reflects the FDIC's experience and practices in applying the current NHPA Statement of Policy and statutory changes to the NHPA and its implementing regulations. The revised Statement of Policy is relevant to applications for deposit insurance for de novo institutions, applications for the establishment of domestic branches, and applications for the relocation of domestic branches or main offices.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 19, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- Agency Web site: <http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/federal/propose.html>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: [comments@fdic.gov](mailto:comments@fdic.gov).
- Mail: Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary, Attention: Comments/Legal ESS, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429.
- Hand Delivered/Courier: The guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.
- Public Inspection: Comments may be inspected and photocopied in the FDIC Public Information Center, Room 100, 801 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on business days.

- Internet Posting: Comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/laws/federal/propose.html>, including any personal information provided.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kevin W. Hodson, Section Chief, Risk Management and Applications Section, Division of Supervision and Consumer Protection (202) 898-6919, or Susan van den Toorn, Counsel, Legal Division (202) 898-8707; Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Washington, DC 20429.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FDIC has determined that its Statement of Policy on the NHPA (SOP) should be revised. See: 1 FDIC Law, Regulations, Related Acts 5175. The proposed SOP, in updating and clarifying the NHPA requirements relevant to applicants and the FDIC, will provide for more efficient processing and timely resolution of matters pertaining to the NHPA. The proposed SOP incorporates the role of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers in the review process to take into account the responsibilities of the FDIC pursuant to a number of statutes relating to Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations. The proposed Statement of Policy continues to provide for public involvement in the FDIC's NHPA compliance activities through the comment periods provided for relevant applications in 12 CFR part 303.

The NHPA sets forth a national policy to promote the preservation of historic resources. It requires, in part, that all agencies of the Federal Government consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Advisory Council) has adopted regulations that implement this requirement. 36 CFR part 800. The FDIC considers applications for deposit insurance for de novo institutions, applications to establish a domestic branch, and applications to relocate a domestic branch or main office (collectively, "Covered Applications") to be undertakings for the purposes of section 106 of the NHPA. Because the NHPA has been amended and the Advisory Council has revised its regulations during the interim period, the FDIC is taking this opportunity to revise its SOP to conform to those amendments and revisions.

### Request for Public Comment as Part of EGRPRA

Consistent with the spirit of section 2222 of the Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996 (EGRPRA, 12 U.S.C. 3311), the FDIC requests public comment to

identify any areas of the proposed SOP that are outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome.

The Board of Directors of the FDIC hereby proposes the revised Statement of Policy on the National Historic Preservation Act, as set forth below.

### National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 Procedures Relating to Filings Made With the FDIC

This Statement of Policy (SOP) addresses the FDIC's compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, *16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.* (NHPA), with respect to certain applications submitted to the FDIC in accordance with governing regulations at *12 CFR part 303*. This SOP is relevant to applications for deposit insurance for de novo institutions, applications for the establishment of domestic branches, and applications for the relocation of domestic branches or main offices (collectively, "Covered Applications").

Prior to an Applicant taking an action with respect to a property or site relevant to a Covered Application, the FDIC must consider the potential effects of the proposal on the property or site. Relevant sites include any property of historical, architectural, archeological, or cultural significance, including land and structures; such sites may be either included in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) or eligible for inclusion. Further, properties relevant to a Covered Application include those properties owned or to be owned by the institution, as well as any property that is or will be leased from a third party. Applicants are cautioned that no action should be taken with respect to a property or site relevant to a Covered Application prior to obtaining consent from or entering into an alternative resolution with the FDIC and, as applicable, the appropriate State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO/THPO) and the Advisory Council. Such actions include:

- Demolition of existing buildings or any change to the physical structure or use of the property, or of physical features within the property's setting;
- Excavation of the land, construction of any new structures, or the introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;
- Neglect of a property that causes its deterioration; or
- The transfer, lease, or sale of a property, or any portion of the property by the applicant without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term