Proposed Rules

Federal Register Vol. 70, No. 176 Tuesday, September 13, 2005

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[REG-156518-04]

RIN 1545-BE10

Section 411(d)(6) Protected Benefits; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to a notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing that was published in the **Federal Register** on Friday, August 12, 2005 (70 FR 47155) relating to the anti-cutback rules under section 411(d)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pamela R. Kinard at (202) 622–6060 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing (REG– 156518–04) that is the subject of this correction is under section 411(d)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, REG–156518–04 contains an error that may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of public hearing (REG-156518-04), which was the subject of FR Doc 05-15960, is corrected as follows:

On page 47155, column 2, in the preamble, under the caption **ADDRESSES**, line 17, the language, "156581–04). The public hearing will be" is corrected to

read ''156518–04). The public hearing will be.''.

Cynthia Grigsby,

Acting Chief, Publications and Regulations, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration). [FR Doc. 05–17959 Filed 9–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

37 CFR Chapter III

[Docket No. 2005-4 CRB CD 2003]

Distribution of the 2003 Cable Royalty Funds

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Interim Chief Copyright Royalty Judge, on behalf of the Copyright Royalty Board, is requesting comments on the existence of controversies to the distribution of the 2003 cable royalty fund.

DATES: Written comments should be received no later than October 13, 2005. ADDRESSES: If hand delivered by a private party, an original and five copies of comments must be brought to Room LM-401 of the James Madison Memorial Building, Monday through Friday, between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m., and the envelope must be addressed as follows: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-401, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If delivered by a commercial courier (excluding overnight delivery services such as Federal Express, United Parcel Service and similar overnight delivery services), an original and five copies of comments must be delivered to the Congressional Courier Acceptance Site located at 2nd and D Streets, NE., Monday through Friday, between 8:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., and the envelope must be addressed as follows: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-403, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If sent by mail (including overnight delivery using United States Postal Service Express Mail), an original and five copies of comments must be addressed to:

Copyright Royalty Board, P.O. Box 70977, Southwest Station, Washington, DC 20024–0977. Comments may not be delivered by means of overnight delivery services such as Federal Express, United Parcel Service, *etc.*, due to delays in processing receipt of such deliveries.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William J. Roberts, Jr., Senior Attorney, or Abioye E. Oyewole, CRB Program Specialist. Telephone (202) 707–8380. Telefax: (202) 252–3423.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each year cable systems submit royalties to the Copyright Office under the section 111 statutory license for the retransmission to their subscribers of over-the-air television and radio broadcast signals. These royalties are, in turn, distributed in one of two ways to copyright owners whose works were included in a retransmission and who timely filed a claim for royalties. The copyright owners may either negotiate the terms of a settlement as to the division of the royalty funds, or the Copyright Royalty Board (the "Board") may conduct a proceeding to determine the distribution of the royalties that remain in controversy. See 17 U.S.C. Chapter 8.

By motion received on August 31, 2005, representatives of the Phase I claimant categories (the "Phase I Parties")¹ have asked the Board to authorize a partial distribution of 50% of the 2003 cable royalty funds prior to October 31, 2005. In addition, they request that the Board publish a notice in the **Federal Register** requesting comments as to the extent of controversies, either at Phase I or Phase II, that exist over the distribution of the 2003 cable royalties. This **Federal Register** notice responds to these requests.

Accordingly, the Board seeks comments on whether any controversy exists that would preclude the distribution of 50% of the 2003 cable royalty funds to the Phase I Parties.

The Board also seeks comment on the existence and extent of any controversies to the 2003 cable royalty funds, either at Phase I or Phase II, with

¹ The "Phase I Parties" are the Program Suppliers, the Joint Sports Claimants, the Public Television Claimants, the National Association of Broadcasters, the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, Broadcast Music, Inc., SESAC, Inc., the Canadian Claimants, the Devotional Claimants and National Public Radio.

respect to the 50% of those funds that would remain if the partial distribution is granted. In Phase I of a cable royalty distribution, royalties are distributed to certain categories of broadcast programming that have been retransmitted by cable systems. The categories have traditionally been movies and syndicated television series, sports programming, commercial and noncommercial broadcaster-owned programming, religious programming, music and Canadian programming. In Phase II of a cable royalty distribution, royalties are distributed to claimants within each of the Phase I categories. Any party submitting comments on the existence of a Phase II controversy must identify the category or categories in which there is a dispute, and the extent of the controversy or controversies.

The Board must be advised of the existence and extent of all Phase I and Phase II controversies by the end of the comment period. It will not consider any controversies that come to its attention after the close of that period.

Dated: September 8, 2005.

Bruce G. Forrest,

Interim Chief Copyright Royalty Judge. [FR Doc. 05–18128 Filed 9–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1410–72–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA-319-0488b; FRL-7966-3]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

ACTION. FTOPOSed Tute.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from facilities storing and processing organic liquids such as crude oil and petroleum by-products. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by October 13, 2005. **ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Andy

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, or e-mail to *steckel.andrew@epa.gov*, or submit comments at *http:// www.regulations.gov*.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions, EPA's technical support document (TSD), and public comments at our Region IX office during normal business hours by appointment.

You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions by appointment at the following locations:

- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814; and
- San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 East Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at *http:// www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltxt.htm.* Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerald S. Wamsley, EPA Region IX, at either (415) 947–4111, or wamsley.jerry@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses SJVUAPCD Rule 4623—Storage of Organic Liquids. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. However, if we receive adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: August 19, 2005.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 05–18018 Filed 9–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R07-OAR-2005-IA-0005; FRL-7967-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Iowa for the purpose of establishing guidelines to identify stationary sources of air pollution potentially subject to Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) emission control requirements. Owners and operators of stationary sources meeting the eligibility criteria will be required to submit source identification and emission unit description information to the state by September 1, 2005. BART-eligibility information is to be submitted on Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) form 542–8125 that lists facility information and emission unit identification and description. Annual emission totals in tons-per-year (potential) for PM_{10} , NO_X , SO_2 and VOCs are also required.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by October 13, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/ courier; please follow the detailed instructions in the Addresses section of the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heather Hamilton at (913) 551–7039, or by e-mail at *hamilton.heather@epa.gov*. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of the Federal Register, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct