Public Law 105-220 (20 U.S.C. 9252). The Board consists of ten individuals appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Board advises and makes recommendations to the Interagency Group that administers the Institute. The Interagency Group is composed of the Secretaries of Education, Labor, and Health and Human Services. The Interagency Group considers the Board's recommendations in planning the goals of the Institute and in implementing any programs to achieve those goals. Specifically, the Board performs the following functions: (a) Makes recommendations concerning the appointment of the Director and the staff of the Institute; (b) provides independent advice on operation of the Institute; and (c) receives reports from the Interagency Group and the Institute's Director.

The National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board will meet May 19–20, 2005. On May 19, 2005, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and May 20, 2005, from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. The Board will meet in open session to discuss the Institute's program priorities; strengthening interagency coordination, and status of on-going work; and other Board business as necessary. On May 20, 2005, from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m., the Board meeting will meet in closed session in order to discuss personnel issues. This discussion relates to the internal personnel rules and practices of the Institute and is likely to disclose information of personal nature where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personnel privacy. The discussion must therefore be held in closed session under exemptions 2 and 6 of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) and (6). A summary of the activities at the closed session and related matters that are informative to the public and consistent with the policy of 5 U.S.C. 552b will be available to the public within 14 days of the meeting.

Records are kept of all Advisory Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the National Institute for Literacy, 1775 I Street, NW., Suite 730, Washington, DC 20006, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Dated: April 26, 2005.

Sandra L. Baxter,

Interim Director.

[FR Doc. 05–8972 Filed 5–4–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6055-01-P

# NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences (ACSBE) (#1171).

*Date & Time:* June 2, 2005—8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.; June 3, 2005—8:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m. *Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1235, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

*Contact Person*: Mr. Tyrone Jordan, Office of the Assistant Director, Directorate for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 905, Arlington, VA 22230, 703–292–8741.

*Summary Minutes:* May be obtained from contact person listed above.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation on major goals and policies pertaining to Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences Directorate programs and activities.

*Agenda:* Discussion on issues, role and future direction of the Directorate for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences.

Dated: May 3, 2005.

#### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 05–9094 Filed 5–3–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). **ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 33–Specific Domestic Licenses of Broad Scope for Byproduct Material.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0015.

3. *How often the collection is required:* There is a one-time submittal of information to receive a license. Once a specific license has been issued, there is a 10-year resubmittal of the information for renewal of the license.

4. Who is required or asked to report: All applicants requesting a license of broad scope for byproduct material and all current licensees requesting renewal of a broad scope license.

5. The estimated number of annual respondents: 1.

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 1.

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 33 contains mandatory requirements for the issuance of a broad scope license authorizing the use of byproduct material. The subparts cover specific requirements for obtaining a license of broad scope. These requirements include equipment, facilities, personnel, and procedures adequate to protect health and minimize danger to life or property.

Submit, by July 5, 2005, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/ doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–5 F53, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail to INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of April 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 05–8946 Filed 5–4–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-382]

## Entergy Operations, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 38, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy or the licensee), for operation of the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 (Waterford 3) located in Saint Charles Parish, Louisiana.

The proposed amendment would remove the license condition on instrument uncertainty, that was imposed on the Waterford 3 license with the issuance of License Amendment 199 for the extended power uprate (EPU) on April 15, 2005.

The amendment request was submitted on an exigent basis because the need for a license amendment to remove the license condition was not recognized by Entergy or the NRC staff until just prior to the issuance of the EPU, and the licensee requests approval of the proposed amendment by May 27, 2005, to support power ascension from the Spring 2005 refueling outage.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its

analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change is administrative in nature and does not result in a change to any structure, system, or component (SSC). The accident mitigation features of the plant for previously evaluated accidents are not affected by the proposed change. The proposed change has no impact on the safety analysis because the application of an explicit offset to the Technical Specification parameters for instrument uncertainty provides additional assurance that the plant will operate within the operating envelop[e] previously analyzed. The completion of the license condition will allow Waterford 3 to operate at the power level of 3716 MWt [megawatts-thermal] which has previously been evaluated and approved by the NRC staff as documented in Amendment 199 to the Waterford 3 Operating License.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change is administrative in nature and does not change the design function or operation of any SSC. The proposed change introduces no new mode of operation. The proposed change does not affect the functional capability of safetyrelated equipment. The completion of the license condition will allow Waterford 3 to operate at the power level of 3716 MWt which has previously been evaluated and approved by the NRC staff as documented in Amendment 199 to the Waterford 3 Operating License.

Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety? *Response:* No.

The proposed change is administrative in nature and does not result in a change to any structure, system, or component (SSC). The accident mitigation features of the plant for previously evaluated accidents are not affected by the proposed change. The proposed change has no impact on the safety analysis because the application of an explicit offset to the Technical Specification parameters for instrument uncertainty provides additional assurance that the plant will operate within the operating envelop[e] previously analyzed. Existing Technical Specification operability and surveillance requirements are not reduced by the proposed change. The completion of the license condition will allow Waterford 3 to operate at the power level of 3716 MWt which has previously been evaluated and

approved by the NRC staff as documented in Amendment 199 to the Waterford 3 Operating License.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 14-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal **Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Marvland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be