including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.

*Type of Review:* Revision of currently approved collection.

*OMB Number:* 1215–0131.

Title: OFCCP Complaint Form.

Form Number: CC-4.

Frequency: On occasion.

Type of Response: Reporting.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 848.

Number of Annual Responses: 848.

Average Response Time: 77 minutes (1.28 hours).

Annual Burden Hours: 1,085.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (Operating/ maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

*Description:* Any employee or applicant for employment with a Government contractor may file a complaint with DOL alleging discrimination by completing the Complaint Form CC-4, Complaint of Discrimination in Employment under Federal Government Contracts. DOL investigates the complaint but retains the discretion whether to pursue prosecution. If a complaint filed under Executive Order 11246, as amended, involves discrimination against only one person, DOL will normally refer it to the U.S. Equal Employment **Opportunity Commission.** Complaints that involve groups of people or indicate patterns of discrimination are generally investigated by DOL. The implementing regulations which specify the content of this information collection are found at 41 CFR 60-741.61(c).

#### Darrin A. King,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 04–23916 Filed 10–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–CM–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,720]

#### The Boeing Aircraft Company Integrated Defense Systems Wichita, KS; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 4, 2004, in response to a petition filed by representatives of the International Association of Machinist & Aerospace Workers, AFL–CIO on behalf of workers at The Boeing Aircraft Company, Wichita, Kansas.

The Department issued a negative determination (TA–W–55,144) applicable to the petitioning group of workers on July 30, 2004. It was the intent of the current petitioners to file for reconsideration of that determination, and such a request has been accepted. Consequently, this investigation would duplicate efforts and has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 5th day of October, 2004.

#### **Richard Church**,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E4–2851 Filed 10–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

#### [TA-W-55,562]

#### Engineering Service Inc., Troy, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 7, 2004, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Engineering Service, Inc., Troy, Michigan.

The Department issued a negative determination (TA–W–55,549) applicable to the petitioning group of workers on September 28, 2004. Further, investigation revealed that the petition filed on behalf of workers of Engineering Service, Inc., Troy, Michigan, TA–W–55,562 is a duplicate. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated. Signed in Washington, DC, this 6th day of October, 2004.

## Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E4–2846 Filed 10–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,625]

## Gateway Country Store, Whitehall Mall, Whitehall, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 20, 2003, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Gateway Country Store, Whitehall Mall, Whitehall, Pennsylvania. Workers at the subject firm were engaged in the sales and service of Gateway products.

The Department of Labor issued a negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers on August 5, 2004 (TA–W–55,333). No new information or change in circumstances is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 4th day of October, 2004.

#### **Richard Church**,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E4–2848 Filed 10–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-55,735]

#### Invista S.A.R.L. Kinston, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 5, 2003, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Invista, S.A.R.L., Kinston, North Carolina. Workers at the subject firm produced light denier dacron industrial sewing thread.

The Department of Labor issued a negative determination applicable to the petitioning group of workers on September 29, 2004 (TA–W–55,516). No new information or change in circumstances is evident which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous determination. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 7th day of October, 2004.

## **Richard Church**,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E4–2852 Filed 10–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the periods of September and October 2004.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign county of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either-

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

## Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified. The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

- TA–W–55,419; Kolonaki Import (Georgiou Studio), San Francisco, CA.
- TA-W-55,528; Drager Medical, A division of Dragerwerk AG, Telford, PA.
- TA–W–55,463; Rajala Lumber, Deer River, MN.
- TA-W-55,468; Teleflex Automotive Group, a division of Teleflex, Inc., Lebanon, VA.
- TA–W–55,474; Ecodyne MRM, Inc., Massillon, OH.
- TA–W–55,452; U.S. Gypsum Company, Alabaster Plant, Tawas, MI.
- TA-W–55,592; Advantek, Inc., a subsidiary of Siegel-Robert, Inc., Minnetonka, MN.
- TA–W–55,472; Schneider Electric/ Square D, Monroe, NC.
- TA–Ŵ–55,495; Tesco Technologies, LLC, Headquarters Office, Auburn Hills, MI.
- TA-W-55,551; Corra-Board Products, a division of Timbar Packaging & Display, Hanover, PA.
- TA–W–55,475; Synthetic Thread Co., Inc., Bethlehem, PA.
- TA–W–55,408; Kokoku Wire Industries, South Bend, IN.
- TA–W–55,290A, B, C, D; Butler Manufacturing Company, subsidiary of Bluescope Steel, Ltd, Buildings Div., Panels Production, Galesburg, IL, Trim and Components Production, Galesburg, IL, Secondaries Production, Galesburg, IL, Delta Joist Production, Galesburg, IL.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- TA-W-55,683; Parker Hannifin Corp., Hauser Textile Systems, Spartanburg, SC. TA-W-55,604 & A; Leybold Vacuum
- USA, Inc., a division of Leybold

Vacuum, a division of Unaxis AG,

- Tempe, AZ and Morgan Hill, CA.
- TA–W–55,549; Engineering Service, Inc., Troy, MI.
- TA–W–55,612; Tally Genicom, LP, Wavnesboro, VA.
- TA–W–55,665; Waltonen Engineering, Inc., Warren, MI.
- TA-W-55,290E; Butler Manufacturing Company, Subsidiary of Bluescope Steel, Ltd, Buildings Div., Truss Purlins Production, Galesburg, IL.
- TA–W–55,686; Electronic Data Systems Corporation (EDS), Coraopolis, PA.
- TA–W–55,601; California Cedar Products Company, Stockton, CA.