Dated: January 12, 2004.

#### S.A. Kenney,

Commander, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).

**Editorial Note:** This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register October 13, 2004.

[FR Doc. 04–23212 Filed 10–15–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Department of the Navy

### 32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General of the Navy (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS PAUL HAMILTON (DDG 60) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

**DATES:** January 12, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commander S.A. Kenney, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave., SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374–5066, Telephone number: (202) 685–5040.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General of the Navy (Admiralty and Maritime Law), under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS PAUL HAMILTON (DDG 60) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific provision of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I paragraph 3(a) pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the vessel, and the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General of the Navy (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements. This amendment further provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law), has amended that certification to reflect that certain masthead lights on USS PAUL HAMILTON (DDG 60), previously

certified as not in compliance with 72 COLREGS, now comply with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements, to wit: the arc of visibility of the forward masthead light is no longer obstructed, as required by Rule 21(a).

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and Vessels.

■ Accordingly, 32 CFR Part 706 is amended as follows:

# PART 706—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR Part 706 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

#### §706.2 [Amended]

- 2. Table Four, Paragraph 16 of § 706.2 is amended by deleting the entry for USS PAUL HAMILTON.
- 4. Table Five of § 706.2 is amended by revising the entry for USS PAUL HAMILTON to read as follows:

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

	Vessel		Number	Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstruc- tions. annex I, sec. 2(f)	Forward mast- head light not in forward quarter of ship. annex I, sec. 3(a)	After mast- head light less than ½ ship's length aft of forward mast- head light. annex I, sec. 3(a)	Percentage horizontal sep- aration at- tained
*	*	*	*	*		*	*
USS PAUL HAMILTON		C	DG 60		X	Х	21.2
*	*	*	*	*		*	*

Dated: January 12, 2004.

#### S.A. Kenney,

Commander, IAGC, U.S. Navv, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate, General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).

Editorial Note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register October 13, 2004.

[FR Doc. 04-23211 Filed 10-15-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 1

[WT Docket No. 99-266; FCC 04-202]

### **Extending Wireless Telecommunications Services to Tribal** Lands

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rules.

**SUMMARY:** In this rule, the Commission modifies limited aspects of the rules previously adopted in this proceeding to provide incentives for wireless telecommunications carriers to serve individuals living on tribal lands. Specifically, the Commission raises the wireline telephone penetration rate at which tribal lands are eligible for a bidding credit from 70 percent or less, to 85 percent or less. The Commission also increases the amount of the bidding credit available to carriers that pledge to deploy on and serve qualifying tribal lands.

DATES: Effective December 17, 2004.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Renee Crittendon or Michael Connelly, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418-0620.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Federal Communications Commission's Third Report and Order, FCC 04-202, adopted August 18, 2004, and released September 2, 2004. The full text of the Third Report and Order is available for public inspection during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor: Best Copy & Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 800-378-3160, facsimile 202-488-5563, or via e-mail at www.fcc@bcpiweb.com.

# Synopsis of Report and Order

### I. Background

- 1. In June 2000, the Commission issued a First Report and Order, 65 FR 47349, August 2, 2000 (First R&O) which established the tribal lands bidding credit program and limited availability of the credit to federally recognized tribal areas with telephone penetration rates equal to or less than 70 percent, concluding that the bidding credits would assist tribal communities with the greatest need for access to telecommunications service. The Commission's Second Report and Order at 68 FR 23417, May 2, 2003, modified and clarified aspects of the bidding credit procedures, including: extending the deadline for obtaining the certifications from the applicable tribal governments from 90 to 180 days; clarifying the obligations of an assignee that has received the license from a licensee awarded a tribal lands bidding credit; requiring licensees to file an attachment along with their notification of construction; stating that it is providing coverage to 75 percent of the population of the tribal area for which the credit was awarded; and codifying penalties for failure to comply with build-out requirements, and failure to timely repay the bidding credit.
- 2. In the Second Further Notice, 18 FCC Rcd 4775, March 14, 2003, the Commission sought comment on four discrete issues. First, the Commission asked whether it should reconsider or moderate the buildout obligations imposed on carriers in light of the lack of participation in the bidding credit program. Next, the Commission asked for comments on whether and how the bidding credit limit and formula might be modified to provide greater incentive for carriers to deploy facilities on tribal lands. Then, the Commission sought comment on whether it should adjust the bidding credit formula to incorporate data from the 2000 Census figures rather than the 1990 figures in calculating tribal penetration for purposes of determining eligibility for the credit. Finally, the Commission sought comment on allowing carriers who obtain tribal lands bidding credits, to obtain additional credit for extending their coverage to immediately adjacent non-tribal areas that also have low penetration rates.

# II. Discussion

- A. Modifying the Construction Requirements of the Tribal Lands Bidding Credit
- 3. In the Second Further Notice, the Commission sought comment on

modifying the requirement that, within three years of grant of a license, a carrier must cover 75 percent of the tribal area for which the bidding credit was awarded. The Commission's underlying objective in applying the more stringent construction requirement was to encourage winning bidders that are committed to providing telecommunications services in Indian Country, and that will deploy those services rapidly. The Commission continues to believe that the heightened requirement serves those dual purposes, and believes that relaxing these requirements is not necessary to further the goals of the bidding credit program. The Commission also notes that should a carrier be unable to fulfill its construction requirement at the end of three years, it may seek a waiver from the relevant Commission rule. Therefore, the Commission determined not to modify the construction requirement. Rather, it strongly encourages parties to seek waivers of specific rules or file other requests for regulatory relief in those instances where greater flexibility than the rules allow would facilitate the provision of service to tribal lands. Also, because the Commission recognizes the unique sovereign status of Indian tribes, the trust relationship between the federal government and Indian tribes, and the Commission's ongoing federal obligation to guarantee the right of Indian tribes to self-government, the Commission declined to adopt a suggestion to allow applicants, as opposed to tribal governments, to certify compliance with certain baseline eligibility requirements.

# B. Increasing the Bidding Credit Limit

4. In the Second Further Notice, the Commission asked commenters whether the current credit amounts were adequate or whether the bidding credit limit, as presently structured, was insufficient for applicants to recover costs for building on tribal lands. Determining that an increase in the bidding credit limit is warranted in order to further mitigate the economic risk associated with provision of service, the Commission adopted the following formula for calculating the credit amount. A winning bidder may receive a \$500,000 credit for up to the first 200 square miles (518 square kilometers) of qualifying tribal land within its license area. In instances where qualifying tribal lands within a license area exceed 200 square miles (518 kilometers), a winning bidder may receive an additional \$2500 per square mile (2.59 square kilometers), or \$500,000 for each additional 200 square