Committee, represent the conclusion of the first cycle of periodic reporting by the various regions of the world as defined by the World Heritage Committee.

Each periodic report is comprised of three sections:

In Section I, each country reports on the application of the World Heritage Convention. This includes: Identifying properties of cultural or natural value on their territory; legal measures and efforts to protect, conserve and present cultural and natural heritage; international cooperation and fundraising; and education, information and awareness-building activities.

Section II describes the state of conservation of specific World Heritage Sites located in each country and updates the information that was provided to the World Heritage Committee at the time of inscription. The main objective is to assess whether the World Heritage values, for which the property was inscribed on the World Heritage List, are being maintained over time

The National Park Service is responsible for developing the United States' country-specific periodic report and Parks Canada is responsible for developing Canada's country-specific periodic report. The United States and Canada worked together to develop the third component, a joint regional report outlining the major strengths and issues facing World Heritage in the region as a whole. Both countries have coordinated consultations with World Heritage Site managers and information sharing with key stakeholders.

The ultimate objective is to produce concise, accurate periodic reports for both the United States and Canada, and a joint regional periodic report for North America that fully address the requirements of the World Heritage convention and focus on the criteria established by the World Heritage Committee.

Public Comment Solicitation: Persons wishing to comment on these documents may do so by mailing written comments to Stephen Morris, National Park Service, Office of International Affairs, 1849 C Street, NW., (org. code 0050), Washington, DC 20240. They also may submit written comment via e-mail to WASO\_Office\_of\_International\_Affairs@nps.gov (include name and return address in the e-mail message).

The NPS practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request we withhold their home address

from the record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: August 5, 2004.

#### Paul Hoffman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 04–19788 Filed 8–30–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312—52—M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-523]

In the Matter of Certain Optical Disk Controller Chips and Chipsets and Products Containing the Same, Including DVD Players and PC Optical Storage Devices II; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 23, 2004, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of MediaTek Corporation of Hsin-Chu City, Taiwan. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on August 16, 2004. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain optical disk controller chips and chipsets by reason of infringement of claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,970,031 and claims 1–4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,229,773. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that a domestic industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a

permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order. **ADDRESSES:** The complaint, as supplemented, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205– 2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http:/ /www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2576.

at http://edis.usitc.gov.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2003).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, as supplemented, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 24, 2004, Ordered That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of optical disk controller chips or chipsets or products containing same, including DVD players and PC optical storage devices, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,970,031 and claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6.229,773, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—

- MediaTek, Inc., 5F, No. 1–2, Innovation Road 1, Science Based Industrial Park, Hsin-Chu City, Taiwan.
- (b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Zoran Corporation, 1390 Kifer Road, Sunnyvale, CA 94806–5305.

- Oak Technology, Inc., 1390 Kifer Road, Sunnyvale, CA 94806–5305.
- (c) David O. Lloyd, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Delbert R. Terrill, Jr., is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13, Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 26, 2004.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 04–19854 Filed 8–30–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

# Notice of Reinstatement, Pacific Coast Feather Company

**AGENCY:** Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of reinstatement, Pacific Coast Feather Company.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises that, pursuant to 41 CFR 60–1.31, Pacific Coast Feather Company has been reinstated as an eligible bidder on Federal contracts and subcontracts. For further information, contact Charles E. James, Sr., Deputy Assistant Secretary for Federal Contract Compliance, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room C–3325, Washington, DC 20210 (202) 693–0101.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pacific Coast Feather Company, is as of this date, reinstated as an eligible bidder on Federal and federally assisted contracts and subcontracts.

Dated: August 20, 2004, Washington, DC. Charles E. James, Sr.,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, For Federal Contract Compliance.

[FR Doc. 04–19808 Filed 8–30–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–CM–M

# MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

[MCC FR 04-09]

Report on the Criteria and Methodology for Determining the Eligibility of Candidate Countries for Millennium Challenge Account Assistance in FY 2005

**AGENCY:** Millennium Challenge Corporation.

**SUMMARY:** The Millennium Challenge Act of 2003, 22 U.S.C.A. 7701, 7707(b) (the "Act") authorizes the provision of assistance to countries that enter into compacts with the United States to support policies and programs that advance the prospects of such countries achieving lasting economic growth and poverty reduction. The Act requires the Millennium Challenge Corporation to take a number of steps in determining the countries that, based on their demonstrated commitment to just and democratic governance, economic freedom and investing in their people, will be eligible countries for Millennium Challenge Account ("MCA") assistance during Fiscal Year

2005. These steps include the publication of Notices in the **Federal Register** that identify:

1. The "candidate countries" for MCA assistance (section 608(a) of the Act);

- 2. The eligibility criteria and methodology that will be used to choose "eligible countries" from among the "candidate countries" (section 608(b) of the Act); and
- 3. The countries determined by the Board of Directors of the Millennium Challenge Corporation to be "eligible countries" for Fiscal Year 2005 and identify the countries on the list of eligible countries with which the Board will seek to enter into compacts (section 608 (d) of the Act).

This Notice is the second of the three required Notices listed above.

Public Comment: For a thirty-day period beginning on the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, the Millennium Challenge Corporation will accept public comment on the eligibility criteria and methodology contained in the report and will consider such comment for purposes of determining eligible countries.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Public comments should be submitted through the MCC Web site at http://www.mcc.gov or in writing addressed to: Public Comment, Millennium Challenge Corporation, 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1411, Arlington, VA 22209.

Report: Report on the Criteria and Methodology for Determining the Eligibility of Candidate Countries for Millennium Challenge Account Assistance in FY 2005.

**SUMMARY:** This report to Congress is provided in accordance with section 608(b) of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003, 22 U.S.C.A. 7701, 7707(b) (the "Act").

The Act authorizes the provision of Millennium Challenge Account ("MCA") assistance to countries that enter into compacts with the United States to support policies and programs that advance the prospects of such countries achieving lasting economic growth and poverty reduction. The Act requires the Millennium Challenge Corporation to take a number of steps in determining the countries that, based on their demonstrated commitment to just and democratic governance, economic freedom and investing in their people, will be eligible for MCA assistance during Fiscal Year 2005. These steps include the submission of reports to the congressional committees specified in the Act and the publication of Notices in the Federal Register that identify:

1. The countries that are "candidate countries" for MCA assistance during