In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

Authority: Section 1886 (j) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(j)) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance Program; and No. 93.774, Medicare— Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: June 24, 2004.

Mark B. McClellan,

Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Approved: July 27, 2004.

Tommy G. Thompson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–17444 Filed 7–29–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120-01-C

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-1249-N]

RIN 0938-AM46

Medicare Program; Prospective Payment System and Consolidated Billing for Skilled Nursing Facilities— Update—Notice

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice updates the payment rates used under the prospective payment system (PPS) for skilled nursing facilities (SNFs), for fiscal year (FY) 2005, as required by statute. Annual updates to the PPS rates are required by section 1888(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act), as amended by the Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 (the BBRA), the Medicare. Medicaid, and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (the BIPA), and the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the MMA), relating to Medicare payments and consolidated billing for SNFs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This notice is effective on October 1, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Davis, (410) 786–0008 (for information related to the Wage Index, and to swingbed providers). Ellen Gay, (410) 786–4528 (for information related to the case-mix classification methodology). Jeanette Kranacs, (410) 786–9385 (for information related to the development of the payment rates). Bill Ullman, (410)

786–5667 (for information related to level of care determinations, consolidated billing, and general information).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because of the many terms to which we refer by abbreviation in this notice, we are listing these abbreviations and their corresponding terms in alphabetical order below:

ADL Activity of Daily Living
AHE Average Hourly Earnings
AIDS Acquired Immune Deficiency
Syndrome

ARD Assessment Reference Date BBA Balanced Budget Act of 1997, Pub.L. 105–33

BBRA Medicare, Medicaid and SCHIP Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999, Pub.L. 106–113

BEA (U.S.) Bureau of Economic Analysis
 BIPA Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP
 Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000, Pub.L. 106–554
 CAH Critical Access Hospital

CAH Critical Access Hospital
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CMS Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
Services

CPT (Physicians') Current Procedural Terminology

DRG Diagnosis Related Group FI Fiscal Intermediary

FQHC Federally Qualified Health Center FR Federal Register

FY Fiscal Year

GAO General Accounting Office HCPCS Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System

ICD–9-CM International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition, Clinical Modification

IFC Interim Final Rule with Comment Period

MDS Minimum Data Set MEDPAR Medicare Provider Analysis and Review File

MIP Medicare Integrity Program MMA Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, Pub.L. 108–173

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area
NECMA New England County Metropolitan

OIG Office of the Inspector General OMRA Other Medicare Required Assessment

PCE Personal Care Expenditures PPI Producer Price Index

PPS Prospective Payment System

PRM Provider Reimbursement Manual

RAI Resident Assessment Instrument RAP Resident Assessment Protocol

RAVEN Resident Assessment Validation Entry

RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act, Pub. L. 96–354

RHC Rural Health Clinic

RIA Regulatory Impact Analysis RUG Resource Utilization Groups SCHIP State Children's Health Insurance

Program SNF Skilled Nursing Facility STM Staff Time Measure

STM Staff Time Measure
UMRA Unfunded Mandates Reform Act,
Pub. L. 104–4

I. Background

On August 4, 2003, we published in the Federal Register (68 FR 46036) a final rule that set forth updates to the payment rates used under the prospective payment system (PPS) for skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) for fiscal year (FY) 2004. (We subsequently published a correction notice (68 FR 55882, September 29, 2003) with respect to those payment rate updates.) Annual updates to the PPS rates are required by section 1888(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act), as amended by the Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 (BBRA), the Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA), and the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) relating to Medicare payments and consolidated billing for SNFs.

A. Current System for Payment of Skilled Nursing Facility Services Under Part A of the Medicare Program

Section 4432 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) amended section 1888 of the Act to provide for the implementation of a per diem PPS for SNFs, covering all costs (routine, ancillary, and capital-related) of covered SNF services furnished to beneficiaries under Part A of the Medicare program, effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1998. In this notice, we are updating the per diem payment rates for SNFs for FY 2005. Major elements of the SNF PPS include:

• Rates. Per diem Federal rates were established for urban and rural areas using allowable costs from FY 1995 cost reports. These rates also included an estimate of the cost of services that, before July 1, 1998, had been paid under Part B but furnished to Medicare beneficiaries in a SNF during a Part A covered stay. The rates were adjusted annually using a SNF market basket index. Rates were case-mix adjusted using a classification system (Resource Utilization Groups, version III (RUG-III)) based on beneficiary assessments (using the Minimum Data Set (MDS) 2.0). The rates were also adjusted by the hospital wage index to account for geographic variation in wages. (In section II.C of this notice, we discuss the wage index adjustment in greater detail.) A correction notice was published on October 10, 2003 (68 FR 58756) that announced a wage index for a particular MSA that had been inadvertently omitted from the September 29, 2003 correction notice

(68 FR 55882). Additionally, as noted in the August 4, 2003 final rule (68 FR 46036), section 101 of the BBRA and sections 311, 312, and 314 of the BIPA also affect the payment rate. Further, as explained in section I.E of this update notice, the Congress has subsequently enacted additional legislation, in section 511 of the MMA, that also affects the payment rate.

• Transition. The SNF PPS included an initial 3-year, phased transition that blended a facility-specific payment rate with the Federal case-mix adjusted rate. The last year of the transition was FY 2001. All facilities have been paid at the full Federal rate since the following fiscal year (FY 2002). Therefore, as discussed in section I.F.2 of this notice, we no longer include adjustment factors related to facility-specific rates for the coming fiscal year.

• Coverage. The establishment of the SNF PPS did not change Medicare's fundamental requirements for SNF coverage; however, because RUG—III classification is based, in part, on the beneficiary's need for skilled nursing care and therapy, we have attempted, where possible, to coordinate claims review procedures with the outputs of beneficiary assessment and RUG—III classifying activities. We discuss this coordination in greater detail in section II.E of this notice.

 Consolidated Billing. The SNF PPS includes a consolidated billing provision (described in greater detail in section IV. of this notice) that requires a SNF to submit consolidated Medicare bills for almost all of the services that its residents receive during the course of a covered Part A stay. In addition, this provision places with the SNF the Medicare billing responsibility for physical, occupational, and speechlanguage therapy that the resident receives during a noncovered stay. The statute excludes a small list of services from the consolidated billing provision (primarily those of physicians and certain other types of practitioners), which remain separately billable to Part B when furnished to a SNF's Part A resident. As discussed in section IV. of this notice, section 410 of the MMA contains a provision that affects the applicability of the consolidated billing requirement to certain practitioner and other services furnished to SNF residents by rural health clinics (RHCs) and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FOHCs).

Application of the SNF PPS to SNF services furnished by swing-bed hospitals. Section 1883 of the Act permits certain small, rural hospitals to enter into a Medicare swing-bed agreement, under which the hospital

can use its beds to provide either acute or SNF care, as needed. For critical access hospitals (CAHs), Part A pays on a reasonable cost basis for SNF services furnished under a swing-bed agreement. However, in accordance with section 1888(e)(7) of the Act, these services furnished by non-CAH rural hospitals are paid under the SNF PPS, effective with cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2002. A more detailed discussion of this provision appears in section V. of this notice.

B. Requirements of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) for Updating the Prospective Payment System for Skilled Nursing Facilities

Section 1888(e)(4)(H) of the Act requires that we publish in the **Federal Register:**

- 1. The unadjusted Federal per diem rates to be applied to days of covered SNF services furnished during the FY.
- 2. The case-mix classification system to be applied with respect to these services during the FY.
- 3. The factors to be applied in making the area wage adjustment with respect to these services.

In the July 30, 1999 final rule (64 FR 41670), we indicated that we would announce any changes to the guidelines for Medicare level of care determinations related to modifications in the RUG–III classification structure (see section II.E of this notice).

This notice provides the annual updates to the Federal rates as mandated by the Act.

C. The Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Balanced Budget Refinement Act of 1999 (BBRA)

There were several provisions in the BBRA that resulted in adjustments to the SNF PPS. These provisions were described in detail in the final rule that we published in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 2000 (65 FR 46770). In particular, section 101(a) of the BBRA provided for a temporary, 20 percent increase in the per diem adjusted payment rates for 15 specified RUG-III groups (SE3, SE2, SE1, SSC, SSB, SSA, CC2, CC1, CB2, CB1, CA2, CA1, RHC, RMC, and RMB). Under the statute, this temporary increase remains in effect until the later of October 1, 2000, or the implementation of case-mix refinements in the PPS. Section 101(d) included a 4 percent across-the-board increase in the adjusted Federal per diem payment rates each year for FYs 2001 and 2002, exclusive of the 20 percent increase.

We included further information on all of the provisions of the BBRA that affect the SNF PPS in Program Memorandums A–99–53 and A–99–61

(December 1999), and Program Memorandum AB-00-18 (March 2000). In addition, for swing-bed hospitals with more than 49 (but less than 100) beds, section 408 of the BBRA provided for the repeal of certain statutory restrictions on length of stay and aggregate payment for patient days, effective with the end of the SNF PPS transition period described in section 1888(e)(2)(E) of the Act. In the July 31, 2001 final rule (66 FR 39562), we made conforming changes to the regulations at § 413.114(d), effective for services furnished in cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2002, to reflect section 408 of the BBRA.

D. The Medicare, Medicaid, and SCHIP Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA)

The BIPA also included several provisions that resulted in adjustments to the PPS for SNFs. These provisions were described in detail in the final rule that we published in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 2001 (66 FR 39562), as follows:

- Section 203 of the BIPA exempted critical access hospital (CAH) swingbeds from the SNF PPS; we included further information on this provision in Program Memorandum A–01–09 (January 16, 2001).
- Section 311 of the BIPA eliminated the one percentage point reduction in the SNF market basket that the statutory update formula had previously specified for FY 2001, changed the one percentage point reduction specified for FY 2002 to a 0.5 percentage point reduction, and established an update factor for FY 2003 of market basket minus 0.5 percentage point. This section also required us to conduct a study of alternative case-mix classification systems for the SNF PPS, and to submit a report to the Congress by January 1, 2005.
- Section 312 of the BIPA provided for a temporary 16.66 percent increase in the nursing component of the casemix adjusted Federal rate for services furnished on or after April 1, 2001, and before October 1, 2002. This section also required the General Accounting Office (GAO) to conduct an audit of SNF nursing staff ratios and submit a report to the Congress on whether the temporary increase in the nursing component should be continued. GAO issued this report (GAO-03-176) in November 2002.
- Section 313 of the BIPA repealed the consolidated billing requirement for services (other than physical, occupational, and speech-language therapy) furnished to SNF residents during noncovered stays, effective January 1, 2001.

- Section 314 of the BIPA adjusted the payment rates for all of the fourteen rehabilitation RUGs (RUC, RUB, RUA, RVC, RVB, RVA, RHC, RHB, RHA, RMC, RMB, RMA, RLB, and RLA), in order to correct an anomaly under which the existing payment rates for three particular rehabilitation RUGs—RHC, RMC, and RMB—were higher than the rates for some other, more intensive rehabilitation RUGs. Under the BIPA adjustment, the temporary increase that section 101(a) of the BBRA had applied to the RHC, RMC, and RMB rehabilitation RUGs was revised from 20 percent to 6.7 percent, and the BIPA adjustment also applied this temporary 6.7 percent increase to each of the other eleven rehabilitation RUGs as well.
- Section 315 of the BIPA authorized us to establish a geographic reclassification procedure that is specific to SNFs, but only after collecting the data necessary to establish a SNF wage index that is based on wage data from nursing homes.

We included further information on several of these provisions in Program Memorandum A–01–08 (January 16, 2001).

E. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA)

On December 8, 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) was signed into law. This legislation introduces a new provision that results in a further adjustment to the PPS for SNFs. Specifically, section 511 of the MMA amends paragraph (12) of section 1888(e) of the Act to provide for a temporary 128 percent increase in the PPS per diem payment for any SNF resident with Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS), effective with services furnished on or after October 1, 2004. Like the temporary add-on payments created by section 101(a) of the BBRA (as amended by section 314 of the BIPA), this special AIDS add-on remains in effect until the implementation of case-mix refinements in the SNF PPS. The law further provides that the 128 percent increase in payment under the AIDS add-on is * * determined without regard to any increase" under section 101 of the BBRA (as amended by section 314 of the BIPA). As explained in the MMA Conference report, this means that if a resident qualifies for the temporary 128 percent increase in payment under the special AIDS add-on, "the BBRA temporary RUG add-on does not apply in this case. * * *" (H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 108-391 at 662). The AIDS add-on is also discussed in Transmittal #160

(Change Request #3291), issued on April 30, 2004, which is available online at http://www.cms.hhs.gov/manuals/transmittals/comm_date_dsc.asp.

Implementation of this provision results in a significant increase in payment. For example, using 2002 data we identified 773 SNF residents with a principal diagnosis code of 042. The average payment per day for these residents was approximately \$261, including any applicable add-ons from Section (312) of the BIPA, Section (314) of the BIPA, and Section (101) of the BBRA. For FY2005, an urban facility with a resident with AIDS in the SSA RUG would have a case-mix adjusted payment of almost \$216 (see Table 4) before the application of the section 511 MMA adjustment. After an increase of 128 percent, this urban facility would receive a case-mix adjusted payment of approximately \$492.

In addition, section 410 of the MMA contains a provision that affects the consolidated billing requirement, which we discuss in section IV. of this notice.

F. Skilled Nursing Facility Prospective Payment—General Overview

The Medicare SNF PPS was implemented for cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1998. Under the PPS, SNFs are paid through prospective, case-mix adjusted per diem payment rates applicable to all covered SNF services. These payment rates cover all the costs of furnishing covered skilled nursing services (routine, ancillary, and capital-related costs) other than costs associated with approved educational activities. Covered SNF services include posthospital services for which benefits are provided under Part A and all items and services that, before July 1, 1998, had been paid under Part B (other than physician and certain other services specifically excluded under the BBA) but furnished to Medicare beneficiaries in a SNF during a covered Part A stay. A complete discussion of these provisions appears in the May 12, 1998 interim final rule (63 FR 26252).

1. Payment Provisions—Federal Rate

The PPS uses per diem Federal payment rates based on mean SNF costs in a base year updated for inflation to the first effective period of the PPS. We developed the Federal payment rates using allowable costs from hospital-based and freestanding SNF cost reports for reporting periods beginning in FY 1995. The data used in developing the Federal rates also incorporated an estimate of the amounts that would be payable under Part B for covered SNF services furnished to individuals during

the course of a covered Part A stay in a SNF.

In developing the rates for the initial period, we updated costs to the first effective year of the PPS (the 15-month period beginning July 1, 1998) using a SNF market basket index, and then standardized for the costs of facility differences in case-mix and for geographic variations in wages. Providers that received new provider exemptions from the routine cost limits were excluded from the database used to compute the Federal payment rates, as well as costs related to payments for exceptions to the routine cost limits. In accordance with the formula prescribed in the BBA, we set the Federal rates at a level equal to the weighted mean of freestanding costs plus 50 percent of the difference between the freestanding mean and weighted mean of all SNF costs (hospital-based and freestanding) combined. We computed and applied separately the payment rates for facilities located in urban and rural areas. In addition, we adjusted the portion of the Federal rate attributable to wage-related costs by a wage index.

The Federal rate also incorporates adjustments to account for facility casemix, using a classification system that accounts for the relative resource utilization of different patient types. This classification system, Resource Utilization Groups, version III (RUG-III), uses beneficiary assessment data from the Minimum Data Set (MDS) completed by SNFs to assign beneficiaries to one of 44 RUG-III groups. The May 12, 1998 interim final rule (63 FR 26252) included a complete and detailed description of the RUG-III classification system.

Further, in accordance with section 1888(e)(4)(E)(ii)(IV) of the Act, the Federal rates in this notice reflect an update to the rates that we published in the August 4, 2003 final rule for FY 2004 (68 FR 46036) and the associated correction notice (68 FR 55882, September 29, 2003), equal to the full change in the SNF market basket index. A more detailed discussion of the SNF market basket index and related issues appears in sections I.G and III. of this notice.

2. Payment Provisions—Initial Transition Period

The SNF PPS included an initial, phased transition from a facility-specific rate (which reflected the individual facility's historical cost experience) to the Federal case-mix adjusted rate. The transition extended through the facility's first three cost reporting periods under the PPS, up to and including the one that began in FY

2001. Accordingly, starting with cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2002, we base payments entirely on the Federal rates and, as indicated in section II.F of this notice, we no longer include adjustment factors related to facility-specific rates for the coming fiscal year.

G. Use of the Skilled Nursing Facility Market Basket Index

Section 1888(e)(5) of the Act requires us to establish a SNF market basket index that reflects changes over time in the prices of an appropriate mix of goods and services included in the covered SNF services. The SNF market basket index is used to update the Federal rates on an annual basis. The final rule published on July 31, 2001 (66 FR 39562) revised and rebased the market basket to reflect 1997 total cost data.

In addition, as explained in the FY 2004 final rule (68 FR 46058) and in section III.B of this notice, the annual update of the payment rates includes, as appropriate, an adjustment to account for market basket forecast error. This adjustment takes into account the forecast error from the most recently available fiscal year for which there is final data, and is applied whenever the difference between the forecasted and actual change in the market basket

exceeds a 0.25 percentage point threshold. For FY 2003 (the most recently available fiscal year for which there is final data), the estimated increase in the market basket index was 3.1 percentage points, while the actual increase was 3.3 percentage points, resulting in only a 0.2 percentage point underforecast. Accordingly, as the difference between the estimated and actual amounts of change does not exceed the 0.25 percentage point threshold, the payment rates for FY 2005 do not include a forecast error adjustment. Table 1 below shows the forecasted and actual market basket amounts for FY 2003.

Table 1 - FY 2003 Forecast Error Correction for CMS SNF Market Basket

Index	Forecasted FY 2003 Increase*	Actual FY 2003 Increase**	FY 2003 Forecast Error Correction***
SNF	3.1	3.3	0.0

^{*}Published in July 31, 2002 Federal Register; based on second guarter 2002 Global Insight/DRI-WEFA forecast.

II. Update of Payment Rates Under the Prospective Payment System for Skilled Nursing Facilities

A. Federal Prospective Payment System

This notice sets forth a schedule of Federal prospective payment rates applicable to Medicare Part A SNF services beginning October 1, 2004. The schedule incorporates per diem Federal rates that provide Part A payment for all costs of services furnished to a beneficiary in a SNF during a Medicare-covered stay.

1. Costs and Services Covered by the Federal Rates

The Federal rates apply to all costs (routine, ancillary, and capital-related costs) of covered SNF services other than costs associated with approved educational activities as defined in § 413.85. Under section 1888(e)(2) of the Act covered SNF services include posthospital SNF services for which benefits are provided under Part A (the hospital insurance program), as well as all items and services (other than those services

excluded by statute) that, before July 1, 1998, were paid under Part B (the supplementary medical insurance program) but furnished to Medicare beneficiaries in a SNF during a Part A covered stay. (These excluded service categories are discussed in greater detail in section V.B.2. of the May 12, 1998 interim final rule (63 FR 26295–97)).

2. Methodology Used for the Calculation of the Federal Rates

The FY 2005 rates reflect an update using the full amount of the latest market basket index. The FY 2005 market basket increase factor is 2.8 percent. For a complete description of the multi-step process, see the May 12, 1998 interim final rule (63 FR 26252). We note that in accordance with section 101(a) of the BBRA and section 314 of the BIPA, the existing, temporary increase in the per diem adjusted payment rates of 20 percent for certain specified RUGs (and 6.7 percent for certain others) remains in effect until the implementation of case-mix refinements. This is also the case for the

temporary 128 percent increase in the per diem adjusted payment rates for SNF residents with AIDS, enacted by section 511 of the MMA. As discussed elsewhere in this notice, while we are proceeding with our ongoing research in this area, we are not implementing casemix refinements at the present time.

We used the SNF market basket to adjust each per diem component of the Federal rates forward to reflect cost increases occurring between the midpoint of the Federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2003, and ending September 30, 2004, and the midpoint of the Federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2004, and ending September 30, 2005, to which the payment rates apply. In accordance with section 1888(e)(4)(E)(ii)(IV) of the Act, the payment rates for FY 2005 are updated by a factor equal to the full market basket index percentage increase. The rates are further adjusted by a wage index budget neutrality factor, described later in this section. Tables 2 and 3 reflect the updated components of the unadjusted Federal rates for FY 2005.

^{**}Based on the fourth quarter 2003 Global Insight/DRI-WEFA forecast.

^{***}The FY 2003 forecast error correction will be applied to the FY 2005 PPS update. Any forecast error less than 0.25 percentage points is not reflected in the update.

Table 2 FY 2005 Unadjusted Federal Rate Per Diem Urban

	1 -	Case-Mix	Therapy - Non-Case- mix	Non-Case-Mix
Per Diem Amount	\$133.29	\$100.40	\$13.22	\$68.03

Table 3
FY 2005 Unadjusted Federal Rate Per Diem
Rural

	1 -		Therapy- Non-Case- Mix	Non-Case-Mix
Per Diem Amount	\$127.34	\$115.78	\$14.12	\$69.29

B. Case-Mix Refinements

Under the BBA, each update of the SNF PPS payment rates must include the case-mix classification methodology applicable for the coming Federal fiscal year. As noted in the following discussion, we are proceeding with our ongoing research regarding possible refinements in the existing case-mix classification system, but we are not implementing the refinements in this notice. Therefore, we continue at present to utilize the existing case-mix classification system that employs the 44 RUG-III groups.

As discussed previously in this notice, section 101(a) of the BBRA provided for a temporary 20 percent increase in the per diem adjusted payment rates for 15 specified RUG–III groups. This legislation specified that the 20 percent increase would be effective for SNF services furnished on or after April 1, 2000, and would continue until the later of: (1) October 1, 2000, or (2) implementation of a refined case-mix classification system under section 1888(e)(4)(G)(i) of the Act that would better account for medically complex patients.

In the SNF PPS proposed rule for FY 2001 (65 FR 19190, April 10, 2000), we proposed making an extensive, comprehensive set of refinements to the existing case-mix classification system that collectively would have

significantly expanded the existing 44group structure. However, when our subsequent validation analyses indicated that the refinements would afford only a limited degree of improvement in explaining resource utilization relative to the significant increase in complexity that they would entail, we decided not to implement them at that time (see the FY 2001 final rule published July 31, 2000 (65 FR 46773)). Nevertheless, since the BBRA provision had demonstrated a Congressional interest in improving the ability of the payment system to account for the care furnished to medically complex patients in SNFs, we continued to conduct research in this area.

The Congress subsequently enacted section 311(e) of the BIPA, which directed us to conduct a study of the different systems for categorizing patients in Medicare SNFs in a manner that accounts for the relative resource utilization of different patient types, and to issue a report with any appropriate recommendations to the Congress by January 1, 2005. The extended timeframe for conducting the study, and the broad mandate in the BIPA to consider various classification systems and the full range of patient types, stood in sharp contrast to the BBRA language regarding more incremental refinements to the existing case-mix classification system under section 1888(e)(4)(G)(i) of

the Act. This underscored the fact that implementing the latter type of refinements to the existing system in order to better account for medically complex patients need not await the completion of the more comprehensive changes envisioned in the BIPA. Accordingly, we again considered the possibility of including these refinements as part of the following year's annual update of the SNF payment rates.

However, in the July 31, 2002 update notice (67 FR 49801), we determined that the research was not sufficiently advanced to implement any case-mix refinements at that time, thus leaving the current classification system in place. This also left in place the temporary add-on payments enacted in section 101(a) of the BBRA. Moreover, while we have continued with our ongoing research regarding possible refinements in the existing case-mix classification system, this research has not yet provided the basis for proceeding with those refinements. Accordingly, we are not implementing case-mix refinements in this notice.

As a result, the payment rates set forth in this notice reflect the continued use of the 44-group RUG—III classification system discussed in the May 12, 1998 interim final rule (63 FR 26252). We are also maintaining the add-ons to the Federal rates for the specified RUG—III

groups required by section 101(a) of the BBRA and subsequently modified by section 314 of the BIPA. The case-mix adjusted payment rates are listed separately for urban and rural SNFs in Tables 4 and 5, with the corresponding case-mix values. These tables do not reflect the temporary add-on to the specified RUG—III groups provided in the BBRA, or the new AIDS add-on enacted by section 511 of the MMA, which are applied only after all other adjustments (wage and case-mix) are made.

Meanwhile, we continue to explore both short-term and longer-range revisions to our case-mix classification methodology. In July 2001, we awarded a contract to the Urban Institute to perform research to aid us in making incremental refinements to the case-mix classification system under section 1888(e)(4)(G)(i) of the Act and to begin the case-mix study mandated by section 311(e) of the BIPA. The results of our current research will be included in the report to the Congress that section 311(e) of the BIPA requires us to submit by January 1, 2005. As we noted in the May 10, 2001 proposed rule (66 FR 23990), this research may also support a longer term goal of developing more integrated approaches for the payment and delivery system for Medicare post acute services in general. This broader, ongoing research project will pursue several avenues in studying various case-mix classification systems. Our preliminary research has focused on incorporating comorbidities and complications into the classification strategy, and we will thoroughly explore and evaluate this approach and other approaches (including procedures that might account more accurately for ancillary services) in our ongoing work.

In addition, we note that certain questions have arisen recently in connection with a particular aspect of a previous discussion of the case-mix classification system, which appeared in the preamble to the FY 2000 SNF PPS final rule (64 FR 41660-61, July 30, 1999). Specifically, that portion of the preamble discussed the coverage of rehabilitation therapy services (that is, physical, occupational, and speechlanguage therapy) under the SNF PPS. This discussion noted the longstanding requirement for such therapy services to be furnished under "an active written treatment regimen established by the physician. * * *" We further indicated that while Medicare allows the professional therapist to begin providing services based on that plan prior to obtaining the physician's signature on the plan,

* * * a physician signature must be obtained before the facility bills Medicare for payment for the rehabilitation therapy services provided to the beneficiary based on the plan of treatment he or she has approved. In this way, the facility can be sure that the level of therapy for which it bills Medicare is the level the physician deems to be medically necessary.

In view of the questions that have arisen recently regarding that portion of the preamble discussion, we would like to take this opportunity to clarify the requirement for physician verification as it relates to rehabilitation therapy services provided to a beneficiary

during a covered Part A SNF stay that is being paid under the SNF PPS. Under section 1814(a)(2)(B) of the Act and the implementing regulations at 42 CFR 424.20, the physician must certify (and periodically recertify) that a beneficiary requires daily skilled nursing or rehabilitation services which, as a practical matter, can only be provided in the SNF on an inpatient basis (OMB approval number 0938-0454 with a current expiration date of June 30, 2006). However, beyond this overall statement as to the beneficiary's need for a SNF level of care, the law and regulations do not require, as a prerequisite for Part A coverage of rehabilitation therapy under the SNF benefit, the completion of a further physician certification, specifically with reference to the therapy plan of treatment.

Accordingly, notwithstanding the statement in the preamble to the 1999 final rule, as the Part A SNF benefit requires rehabilitation therapy to be furnished according to an active written treatment regimen established and certified by the physician, it is not necessary for a SNF to obtain a separate physician signature on the therapy treatment plan itself prior to billing Part A for the therapy services. We wish to note explicitly that the foregoing discussion applies specifically to coverage of rehabilitation therapy in the context of the Part A SNF benefit, and does not address plan of care requirements under the separate Part B therapy benefits, which are subject to their own set of coverage requirements.

Table 4
CASE-MIX ADJUSTED FEDERAL RATES AND ASSOCIATED INDEXES
URBAN

RUG-III	Nursing	Therapy	Nursing	Therapy		Non-case	Total
A Company of the Comp					Mix	Mix	
Category	Index	Index		Compo-	Therapy	•	Rate
	1		nent	nent	Comp	nent	
RUC	1.30				1. 1	68.03	
RUB	0.95				1 '	68.03	420.56
RUA	0.78	l			4 '	68.03	
RVC	1.13		150.62		4 - I	68.03	
RVB RVA	1.04				,	68.03	
RHC	0.81 1.26	1.41	107.96		4 - '	68.03	
RHB	1.06		 		4 *	68.03	
RHA	0.87					68.03	
RMC	1.35				2 2 3 1	68.03	
RMB	1.09					68.03	
RMA	- 		+			68.03	
RLB	0.96				,	68.03	
RLA					13.2	68.03	
SE3	0.80					68.03	
SE2	1.70	最終す こうきゅう	226.59	1 " " " "	13.22	1	
SE1	1.17	* * * *	185.27	4 ' '	13.22		·
SSC	1.13	4	155.95 150.62	4 ' '	13.22		
SSB	1.13		<u></u>	4 '	13.22		
SSA	1.03		139.95 134.62	4 '	13.22		
CC2	1.12		149.28		13.22		
CC1	0.99	i .	131.96		13.22 13.22		
CB2	0.91		121.29	4	13.22		
CB1	0.84	1	111.96	₹ .	13.22		
CA2	0.83	4 .	110.63	4	13.22		
CA1	0.75	4	99.97	4	13.22		
IB2	0.69	4	91.97	1	13.22		
IB1	0.67		89.30		13.22		
IA2	0.57		75.98	4	13.22		
IA1	0.53	3 1, 1, 1	70.64		13.22	+	
BB2	0.68		90.64		13.22		
BB1	0.65	4 .	86.64		13.22		
BA2	0.56	4 '	74.64	4	13.22		
BA1	0.48		63.98	3 .	13.22		
PE2	0.79	4 11 4 1	105.30	1 1	13.22		
PE1	0.77	4 ,	102.63	1 .	13.22		
PD2	0.72	1	95.97	4	13.22		177.22
PD1	0.70	4) "10	93.30	4	13.22		
PC2	0.65	4	86.64	4	13.22		
PC1	0.64	1 '	85.31	4	13.22		
PB2	0.51	,	67.98	4	13.22		
PB1	0.50		66.65	4	13.22		
		J .	00.00	1	13.22	68.03	147.90

PA2	0.49	65.31	 13.22	68.03	146.56
PA1	0.46	61.31	13.22	68.03	142.56

Table 5
CASE-MIX ADJUSTED FEDERAL RATES AND ASSOCIATED INDEXES
RURAL

RUG-III	Nursing	Therapy	Nursing	Therapy	Non-case	Non-case	Total
0-1	I I	ll	0	^	Mix	Mix	Doto
Category	Index	Index	Comp-	Compo-	Therapy	Compo-	Rate
	4.00	0.05	onent	nent	Comp	nent	405.04
RUC	1.30	2.25	165.54			69.29	
RUB	0.95	2.25			4	69.29	
RUA	0.78	2.25			4	69.29	
RVC	1.13	1.41	143.89	163.25	4	69.29	
RVB	1.04	1.41	132.43	163.25	4 '.	69.29	
RVA	0.81	1.41	103.15	163.25	,	69.29	ļ
RHC	1.26		1	108.83	4 "	69.29	
RHB	1.06				4 .	69.29	<u> </u>
RHA	0.87	0.94			4 '	69.29	
RMC	1.35	0.77		89.15	1 1 1	69.29	
RMB	1.09		<u> </u>	89.15	4 . (.	69.29	
RMA	0.96		1		1	69.29	
RLB	1.11	0.43		49.79		69.29	
RLA	0.80	0.43	101.87	49.79		69.29	220.95
SE3	1.70	25.4	216.48	,	14.12	69.29	299.89
SE2	1.39		177.00		14.12	69.29	260.41
SE1	1.17		148.99	1 15 A	14.12	69.29	232.40
SSC	1.13	1 34 in	143.89		14.12	69.29	227.30
SSB	1.05	, , ,	133.71		14.12	69.29	217.12
SSA	1.01	1	128.61		14.12	69.29	212.02
CC2	1.12	1 11	142.62	1	14.12	69.29	226.03
CC1	0.99		126.07		14.12	69.29	209.48
CB2	0.91		115.88		14.12		
CB1	0.84		106.97	,	14.12		
CA2	0.83		105.69	1 '	14.12		
CA1	0.75		95.51	1	14.12		
IB2	0.69		87.86	1	14.12		
IB1	0.67		85.32	, ,	14.12		
IA2	0.57		72.58	1	14.12		
IA1	0.53]-	67.49		14.12		

BB2	0.68	86.59	14.12	69.29	170.00
BB1	0.65	82.77	14.12	69.29	166.18
BA2	0.56	71.31	14.12	69.29	154.72
BA1	0.48	61.12	14.12	69.29	144.53
PE2	0.79	100.60	14.12	69.29	184.01
PE1	0.77	98.05	14.12	69.29	181.46
PD2	0.72	91.68	14.12	69.29	175.09
PD1	0.70	89.14	14.12	69.29	172.55
PC2	0.65	82.77	14.12	69.29	166.18
PC1	0.64	81.50	14.12	69.29	164.91
PB2	0.51	64.94	14.12	69.29	148.35
PB1	0.50	63.67	14.12	69.29	147.08
PA2	0.49	62.40	14.12	69.29	145.81
PA1	0.46	58.58	14.12	69.29	141.99

C. Wage Index Adjustment to Federal Rates

Section 1888(e)(4)(G)(ii) of the Act requires that we adjust the Federal rates to account for differences in area wage levels, using a wage index that we find appropriate. Since the inception of a PPS for SNFs, we have used hospital wage data in developing a wage index to be applied to SNFs. We are continuing that practice for FY 2005.

The wage index adjustment is applied to the labor-related portion of the Federal rate, which is 76.222 percent of the total rate. This percentage reflects the labor-related relative importance for FY 2005. The labor-related relative importance for FY 2004 was 76.372 as shown in Table 11. The decrease in the

labor share benefits rural areas. The labor-related relative importance is calculated from the SNF market basket, and approximates the labor-related portion of the total costs after taking into account historical and projected price changes between the base year and FY 2005. The price proxies that move the different cost categories in the market basket do not necessarily change at the same rate, and the relative importance captures these changes. Accordingly, the relative importance figure more closely reflects the cost share weights for FY 2005 than the base year weights from the SNF market basket.

We calculate the labor-related relative importance for FY 2005 in four steps. First, we compute the FY 2005 price

index level for the total market basket and each cost category of the market basket. Second, we calculate a ratio for each cost category by dividing the FY 2005 price index level for that cost category by the total market basket price index level. Third, we determine the FY 2005 relative importance for each cost category by multiplying this ratio by the base year (FY 1997) weight. Finally, we sum the FY 2005 relative importance for each of the labor-related cost categories (wages and salaries, employee benefits, nonmedical professional fees, laborintensive services, and a portion of capital-related expenses) to produce the FY 2005 labor-related relative importance. Tables 6 and 7 show the Federal rates by labor-related and nonlabor-related components.

Table 6
Case-Mix Adjusted Federal Rates for Urban SNFs
By Labor and Non-Labor Component

RUG III	Total	Labor	Non-Labor
Category	Rate	Portion	Portion
RUC	467.21	356.12	111.09
RUB	420.56	320.56	.100.00
RUA	397.90	303.29	94.61
RVC	360.21	274.56	85.65
RVB	348.21	265.41	82.80
RVA	317.55	242.04	75.51
RHC	330.36	251.81	78.55
RHB	303.70	231.49	72.21
RHA	278.37	212.18	66.19
RMC	325.28	247.93	77.35
RMB	290.63	221.52	69.11
RMA	273.30	208.31	64.99
RLB	259.15	197.53	61.62
RLA	217.83	166.03	51.80
SE3	307.84	234.64	73.20
SE2	266.52	203.15	63.37
SE1	237.20	180.80	56.40
SSC	231.87	176.74	55.13
SSB	221.20	168.60	52.60
SSA	215.87	164.54	51.33
CC2	230.53		54.82
CC1	213.21	162.51	50.70
CB2	202.54		48.16
CB1	193.21	147.27	45.94
CA2	191.88	146.25	45.63
CA1	181.22		43.09
IB2	173.22	132.03	41.19
IB1	170.55	130.00	40.55
IA2	157.23		37.39
IA1	151.89		36.12
BB2	171.89		40.87
BB1	167.89		39.92
BA2	155.89		37.07
BA1	145.23		34.53
PE2	186.55		44.36
PE1	183.88	140.16	43.72
PD2	177.22	135.08	42.14
PD1	174.55		41.50
PC2	167.89	127.97	39.92

PC1	166.56	126.96	39.60
PB2	149.23	113.75	35.48
PB1	147.90	112.73	35.17
PA2	146.56	111.71	34.85
PA1	142.56	108.66	33.90

Table 7
Case-Mix Adjusted Federal Rates for Rural SNFs
by Labor and Non-Labor Component

RUG III	Total	Labor	Non-Labor
Category	Rate	Portion	Portion
RUC	495.34	377.56	117.78
RUB	450.77	343.59	107.18
RUA	429.13	327.09	102.04
RVC	376.43	286.92	89.51
RVB	364.97	278.19	86.78
RVA	335.69	255.87	79.82
RHC	338.57	258.06	80.51
RHB	313.10	238.65	74.45
RHA	288.91	220.21	68.70
RMC	330.35	251.80	78.55
RMB	297.24	226.56	70.68
RMA	280.69	213.95	66.74
RLB	260.43	198.50	61.93
RLA	220.95	168.41	52.54
SE3	299.89	228.58	71.31
SE2	260.41	198.49	61.92
SE1	232.40	177.14	55.26
SSC	227.30	173.25	54.05
SSB	217.12	165.49	51.63
SSA	212.02	161.61	50.41
CC2	226.03	172.28	53.75
CC1	209.48	159.67	49.81
CB2	199.29	151.90	47.39
CB1	190.38	145.11	45.27
CA2	189.10	144.14	44.96
CA1	178.92	136.38	42.54
IB2	171.27	130.55	40.72
IB1	168.73	128.61	40.12
IA2	155.99	118.90	37.09
IA1	150.90	115.02	35.88
BB2	170.00	129.58	40.42
BB1	166.18	126.67	39.51
BA2	154.72	117.93	36.79

BA1	144.53	110.16	34.37
PE2	184.01	140.26	43.75
PE1	181.46	138.31	43.15
PD2	175.09	133.46	41.63
PD1	172.55	131.52	41.03
PC2	166.18	126.67	39.51
PC1	164.91	125.70	39.21
PB2	148.35	113.08	35.27
PB1	147.08	112.11	3/197
PA2	145.81	111.14	34.67
PA1	141.99	108.23	33.76

Section 1888(e)(4)(G)(ii) of the Act also requires that we apply this wage index in a manner that does not result in aggregate payments that are greater or lesser than would otherwise be made in the absence of the wage adjustment. In this seventh PPS year (Federal rates effective October 1, 2004), we are applying the most recent wage index using the hospital wage data, and applying an adjustment to fulfill the budget neutrality requirement. This requirement will be met by multiplying each of the components of the unadjusted Federal rates by a factor equal to the ratio of the volume weighted mean wage adjustment factor (using the wage index from the previous year) to the volume weighted mean wage adjustment factor, using the wage index for the FY beginning October 1, 2004. The same volume weights are used in both the numerator and denominator and will be derived from 1997 Medicare Provider Analysis and Review File (MEDPAR) data. The wage adjustment factor used in this calculation is defined as the labor share of the rate component multiplied by the wage index plus the non-labor share. The budget neutrality factor for this year

The wage index applicable to FY 2005 can be found in Table 8 and Table 9 of this notice. We note that section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act (as amended by section 304(c)(2) of the BIPA) directs the Secretary to construct an occupational

mix adjustment for the hospital area wage index, for application beginning October 1, 2004. However, the occupational mix adjustment outlined in section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act applies only to the inpatient hospital PPS, which utilizes a diagnosis-related group (DRG) payment system. While we are updating the wage index to reflect the latest hospital wage data, we have never included any adjustment for occupational mix in the SNF PPS, and we are not doing so now.

We continue to believe that the hospital wage data represent the best measure of wages and wage-related costs paid in the SNF setting. However, the occupational mix adjustment utilized by the hospital inpatient PPS serves specifically to define the occupational categories more clearly in a hospital setting. The collection of the occupational wage data also excludes any wage data related to SNFs; therefore, we believe that using the updated wage data exclusive of the occupational mix adjustment continues to be appropriate for SNF payments.

We also note that we are not adopting in this notice any of the changes discussed in Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 03–04 (June 6, 2003), which announced revised definitions for Metropolitan Statistical Areas, and the creation of Micropolitan Statistical Areas and Combined Statistical Areas. A copy of that bulletin may be obtained at the following Internet address: http://

www.whitehouse.gov/omb/bulletins/b03-04.html.

The proposed rule for the FY 2005 payment rates under the inpatient hospital PPS (69 FR 28249, May 18, 2004) discusses some of the problems and concerns associated with using these new definitions. We believe it is appropriate to wait until the public comments on that proposed rule have been submitted and analyzed before we consider proposing any new labor market definitions in the SNF context. Further, since the use of new definitions may have a significant impact on the SNF wage index and SNF payments, we believe that the nursing home industry and other interested parties should have sufficient time and opportunity to provide comment before we reach any conclusions on whether adopting these new definitions would produce an 'appropriate'' wage index for the SNF PPS under section 1888(e)(4)(G)(ii) of the Act. Accordingly, we plan to publish in a proposed rule any changes that we consider for new labor market definitions, in order to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the possible use of these new labor market definitions in the SNF context. Until then, interested parties who would like to provide input on this issue are invited to do so by contacting either John Davis or Jeanette Kranacs (please refer to the section entitled, FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** at the beginning of this document).

Table 8 - Wage Index for Urban Areas

MSA	Urban Area (Constituent Counties or	Wage
	County Equivalents)	Index
0040	Abilene, TX	0.8009
	Taylor, TX	
0060	Aguadilla, PR	0.4294
	Aguada, PR	
	Aguadilla, PR	
	Moca, PR	
0080	Akron, OH	0.9055
	Portage, OH	
	Summit, OH	•
0120	Albany, GA	1.1266
	Dougherty, GA	
	Lee, GA	
0160	Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	0.8570
	Albany, NY	
	Montgomery, NY	
•	Rensselaer, NY	
	Saratoga, NY	***************************************
	Schenectady, NY	
	Schoharie, NY	
0200	Albuquerque, NM	1.0485
	Bernalillo, NM	
	Sandoval, NM	
	Valencia, NM	
0220	Alexandria, LA	0.8171
	Rapides, LA	
0240	Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA	0.9536
	Carbon, PA	
	Lehigh, PA	
	Northampton, PA	
0280	Altoona, PA	0.8462
	Blair, PA	

0320	Amarillo, TX	0.9178
0320	Potter, TX	0.31,0
	Randall, TX	
0380	Anchorage, AK	1.2109
0300	Anchorage, AK	
0440	Ann Arbor, MI	1.0817
0110	Lenawee, MI	
	Livingston, MI	
	Washtenaw, MI	
0450	Anniston, AL	0.7881
	Calhoun, AL	
0460	Appleton-Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	0.9115
	Calumet, WI	
	Outagamie, WI	
	Winnebago, WI	
0470	Arecibo, PR	0.3757
	Arecibo, PR	
	Camuy, PR	
	Hatillo, PR	·
0480	Asheville, NC	0.9502
	Buncombe, NC	
	Madison, NC	
0500	Athens, GA	1.0203
	Clarke, GA	
	Madison, GA	
	Oconee, GA	
0520	Atlanta, GA	0.9971
	Barrow, GA	
	Bartow, GA	
	Carroll, GA	
	Cherokee, GA	
	Clayton, GA	
	Cobb, GA	
	Coweta, GA	
	De Kalb, GA	
	Douglas, GA	
on discontinuo	Fayette, GA	-
	Forsyth, GA Fulton, GA	
	Gwinnett, GA	
	Henry, GA	
	Newton, GA	
	Paulding, GA	
	Pickens, GA	
	Rockdale, GA	
	Spalding, GA	
	Walton, GA	
	1 COII OII	

Atlantic City-Cape May, NJ Atlantic City, NJ	1.0907
ACTAILLY CITY, NO	1
Cape May, NJ	
	0.8215
	0.9208
Columbia, GA	
McDuffie, GA	
Richmond, GA	
Aiken, SC	
Edgefield, SC	
Austin-San Marcos, TX	0.9596
Bastrop, TX	

	1.0036
· ·	0.9908
•	
4	
· †	7
	1
	0.9955
	1 0005
· ·	1.2335
	0.0254
	0.8354
	0.8616
	0.8616
	1.1643
	1.1043
	0.8847
· ·	0.0047
	1.1967
	1.130/
	McDuffie, GA Richmond, GA Aiken, SC Edgefield, SC Austin-San Marcos, TX

0880	Billings, MT	0.8961
0000	Yellowstone, MT	0.000
0920	Biloxi-Gulfport-Pascagoula, MS	0.8649
0,5 2,0	Hancock, MS	
	Harrison, MS	
	Jackson, MS	
0960	Binghamton, NY	0.8447
	Broome, NY	
	Tioga, NY	
1000	Birmingham, AL	0.9199
	Blount, AL	
	Jefferson, AL	
	St. Clair, AL	
	Shelby, AL	
1010	Bismarck, ND	0.7505
	Burleigh, ND	
	Morton, ND	
1020	Bloomington, IN	0.8588
	Monroe, IN	
1040	Bloomington-Normal, IL	0.9111
	McLean, IL	
1080	Boise City, ID	0.9352
	Ada, ID	
	Canyon, ID	
1123	Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Lowell-	1.1291
	Brockton, MA-NH	
-	Bristol, MA	
	Essex, MA	
	Middlesex, MA	
	Norfolk, MA	
	Plymouth, MA	
	Suffolk, MA	
	Worcester, MA	
	Hillsborough, NH	
	Merrimack, NH	
	Rockingham, NH	
1105	Strafford, NH	
1125	Boulder-Longmont, CO	1.0046
1145	Boulder, CO	
1145	Brazoria, TX	0.8525
1150	Brazoria, TX	
1150	Bremerton, WA	1.0614
1040	Kitsap, WA	
1240	Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX	1.0125
1260	Cameron, TX	
1260	Bryan-College Station, TX	0.9219
	Brazos, TX	

1280	Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	0.9339
1200	Erie, NY	0.5555
	Niagara, NY	
1202	Burlington, VT	0.9322
1303	Chittenden, VT	0.5522
	Franklin, VT	
1010	Grand Isle, VT Caguas, PR	0.4061
1310		0.4001
	Caguas, PR	
	Cayey, PR	
	Cidra, PR	
	Gurabo, PR	
1000	San Lorenzo, PR	
1320	Canton-Massillon, OH	0.8895
	Carroll, OH	
	Stark, OH	
1350	Casper, WY	0.9244
	Natrona, WY	
1360	Cedar Rapids, IA	0.8975
	Linn, IA	
1400	Champaign-Urbana, IL	0.9527
	Champaign, IL	
1440	Charleston-North Charleston, SC	0.9420
	Berkeley, SC	
	Charleston, SC	
	Dorchester, SC	
1480	Charleston, WV	0.8876
	Kanawha, WV	
	Putnam, WV	
1520	Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC	0.9712
	Cabarrus, NC	
ocality and the state of the st	Gaston, NC	
	Lincoln, NC	
	Mecklenburg, NC	
	Rowan, NC	
	Stanly, NC	
	Union, NC	
	York, SC	
1540	Charlottesville, VA	1.0295
	Albemarle, VA	
	Charlottesville City, VA	
	Fluvanna, VA	
	Greene, VA	

7560	Clastitation of the Co.	0.9207
1560	Chattanooga, TN-GA	0.9207
	Catoosa, GA	
	Dade, GA	
	Walker, GA	
	Hamilton, TN	
	Marion, TN	
1580	Cheyenne, WY	0.8980
	Laramie, WY	
1600	Chicago, IL	1.0852
	Cook, IL	
	De Kalb, IL	
	Du Page, IL	
	Grundy, IL	
	Kane, IL	
	Kendall, IL	
	Lake, IL	
	McHenry, IL	
	Will, IL	
1620	Chico-Paradise, CA	1.0543
1020	Butte, CA	1.0313
1640	Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	0.9595
1010	Dearborn, IN	0.5555
	Ohio, IN	
	Boone, KY	
	1	
	Campbell, KY	
	Gallatin, KY	
	Grant, KY	
	Kenton, KY	
	Pendleton, KY	
	Brown, OH	
	Clermont, OH	
	Hamilton, OH	
	Warren, OH	
1660	Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY	0.8022
	Christian, KY	
	Montgomery, TN	
1680	Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH	0.9626
	Ashtabula, OH	
	Geauga, OH	
	Cuyahoga, OH	
	Lake, OH	
	Lorain, OH	
	Medina, OH	
1720	Colorado Springs, CO	0.9793
Processing	El Paso, CO	0.9793
1740	Columbia MO	0.8396
	Boone, MO	0.0390
L	1	

0.8690
0.9753
0.9753
0.9753
0.9753
0.9753
] 1
t l
0.8647
1.0545
0.8662
1
1.0049
0.8643
0.8774
0.9232
3.3232
0.8900
0.0500
1

Decatur, AL Lawrence, Al Morgan, AL 2040 Decatur, IL	0.8894
Morgan, AL	
	1
2040 Decacui, in	0.8122
Magon TI	0.0122
Macon, IL	1.0905
2080 Denver, CO	1.0905
Adams, CO	
Arapahoe, Co	go.
Broomfield,	0
Denver, CO	·
Douglas, CO	
Jefferson,	
Des Moines,	A 0.9267
Dallas, IA	
Polk, IA	
Warren, IA	1.0005
2160 Detroit, MI	1.0227
Lapeer, MI	
Macomb, MI	
Monroe, MI	
Oakland, MI	
St. Clair,	I
Wayne, MI	
2180 Dothan, AL	0.7597
Dale, AL	
Houston, AL	
2190 Dover, DE	0.9825
Kent, DE	
Dubuque, IA	0.8748
Dubuque, IA	
2240 Duluth-Super	
St. Louis,	N
Douglas, WI	
2281 Dutchess Cou	
Dutchess, N	
2290 Eau Claire,	1
Chippewa, W	
Eau Claire,	WI
2320 El Paso, TX	0.9065
El Paso, TX	
2330 Elkhart-Gosh	n, IN 0.9279
Elkhart, IN	
2335 Elmira, NY	0.8445
Chemung, NY	
2340 Enid, OK	0.9001
Garfield, O	
2360 Erie, PA	0.8699
Erie, PA	

2400	Eugene-Springfield, OR	1.0940
2440	Lane, OR Evansville-Henderson, IN-KY	0.8395
Appendix of the second	Posey, IN	
-	Vanderburgh, IN	
	Warrick, IN	
2520	Henderson, KY Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN	0.9115
2520	Clay, MN	0.7113
and the state of t	Cass, ND	
2560	Fayetteville, NC	0.9363
	Cumberland, NC	
2580	Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR	0.8637
	Benton, AR	
0.000	Washington, AR	
2620	Flagstaff, AZ-UT Coconino, AZ	1.0611
-	Kane, UT	
2640	Flint, MI	1.1178
	Genesee, MI	1.11/0
2650	Florence, AL	0.7883
	Colbert, AL	
	Lauderdale, AL	
2655	Florence, SC	0.8961
0.680	Florence, SC	
2670	Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	1.0219
2680	Larimer, CO Ft. Lauderdale, FL	1 01 2
2000	Broward, FL	1.0165
2700	Fort Myers-Cape Coral, FL	0.9372
	Lee, FL	0.9372
2710	Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie, FL	1.0046
	Martin, FL	
	St. Lucie, FL	
2720	Fort Smith, AR-OK	0.8303
open and despite	Crawford, AR	
	Sebastian, AR	
2750	Sequoyah, OK Fort Walton Beach, FL	
- , 5 5	Okaloosa, FL	0.8786
2760	Fort Wayne, IN	0.9737
	Adams, IN	0.9/3/
	Allen, IN	
	De Kalb, IN	
	Huntington, IN	
The state of the s	Wells, IN	
	Whitley, IN	

2800	Forth Worth-Arlington, TX	0.9538
	Hood, TX	
	Johnson, TX	
	Parker, TX	
	Tarrant, TX	1 0400
2840	Fresno, CA	1.0408
	Fresno, CA	
	Madera, CA	0.0040
2880	Gadsden, AL	0.8049
2900	Etowah, AL Gainesville, FL	0.9459
2900	Alachua, FL	0.9433
2920	Galveston-Texas City, TX	0.9403
2920	Galveston, TX	0.9403
2960	Gary, IN	0.9343
2960	Lake, IN	0.9343
	Porter, IN	
2975	Glens Falls, NY	0.8467
2013	Warren, NY	0.0407
	Washington, NY	
2980	Goldsboro, NC	0.8779
	Wayne, NC	0.07,5
2985	Grand Forks, ND-MN	0.9092
	Polk, MN	
	Grand Forks, ND	+
2995	Grand Junction, CO	0.9900
	Mesa, CO	
3000	Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland, MI	0.9520
	Allegan, MI	
	Kent, MI	
	Muskegon, MI	
	Ottawa, MI	
3040	Great Falls, MT	0.8810
	Cascade, MT	
3060	Greeley, CO	0.9444
	Weld, CO	
3080	Green Bay, WI	0.9586
	Brown, WI	
3120	Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC	0.9312
	Alamance, NC	
	Davidson, NC	
	Davie, NC	
	Forsyth, NC	
	Guilford, NC	
	Randolph, NC	
	Stokes, NC	
L	Yadkin, NC	

Greenville, NC Pitt, NC Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC Anderson, SC Cherokee, SC Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, IA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC Anderson, SC Cherokee, SC Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH Gumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Homolulu, HI Homolulu, HI Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3150		0.9183
Anderson, SC Cherokee, SC Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Homolulu, HI Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX Montgomery, TX			
Cherokee, SC	3160		0.9400
Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC 3180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
Spartanburg, SC 13180 Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD Washington, MD 0.9940		-	
Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 4 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		· ·	
Washington, MD 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH Butler, OH Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT Separate of the separat	3180		0.9940
Butler, OH 3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Horolulu, HI Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3200		0.9066
Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Sasso Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX			
Dauphin, PA Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3240	Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA	0.9286
Lebanon, PA Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Cumberland, PA	
Perry, PA 3283 Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Dauphin, PA	
Hartford, CT Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Lebanon, PA	
Hartford, CT Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Perry, PA	
Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Homolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3283	Hartford, CT	1.1068
Middlesex, CT Tolland, CT 3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Hartford, CT	
Tolland, CT 3285		Litchfield, CT	
3285 Hattiesburg, MS Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Middlesex, CT	
Forrest, MS Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Tolland, CT	
Lamar, MS 3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC	3285	Hattiesburg, MS	0.7362
Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX O.9502 0.9502 0.9502 0.9502 1.1014		Forrest, MS	
Alexander, NC Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Lamar, MS	
Burke, NC Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3290	Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC	0.9502
Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	j	Alexander, NC	
Catawba, NC 3320 Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Burke, NC	
Honolulu, HI Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Caldwell, NC	
Honolulu, HI 3350 Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Catawba, NC	
Houma, LA Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3320	Honolulu, HI	1.1014
Lafourche, LA Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Honolulu, HI	
Terrebonne, LA 3360 Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3350	Houma, LA	0.7721
Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Lafourche, LA	
Houston, TX Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Terrebonne, LA	
Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX	3360		1.0117
Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Chambers, TX	
Harris, TX Liberty, TX Montgomery, TX		Fort Bend, TX	
Montgomery, TX			
Montgomery, TX		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Waller, TX		Waller, TX	

3400	Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	0.9565
3400	Boyd, KY	0.5505
	Carter, KY	
	Greenup, KY	
	Lawrence, OH	
	Cabell, WV	
2440	Wayne, WV	0.0051
3440	Huntsville, AL	0.8851
	Limestone, AL	
2400	Madison, AL	1 0020
3480	Indianapolis, IN	1.0039
	Boone, IN	
	Hamilton, IN	
The second secon	Hancock, IN	
	Hendricks, IN	
	Johnson, IN	
	Madison, IN	
	Marion, IN	
į	Morgan, IN	
	Shelby, IN	
3500	Iowa City, IA	0.9655
	Johnson, IA	
3520	Jackson, MI	0.9146
	Jackson, MI	
3560	Jackson, MS	0.8406
	Hinds, MS	
	Madison, MS	To the second se
	Rankin, MS	
3580	Jackson, TN	0.8900
	Chester, TN	
	Madison, TN	
3600	Jacksonville, FL	0.9548
	Clay, FL	
	Duval, FL	
	Nassau, FL	
	St. Johns, FL	
3605	Jacksonville, NC	0.8402
	Onslow, NC	
3610	Jamestown, NY	0.7589
	Chautaqua, NY	
3620	Janesville-Beloit, WI	0.9583
	Rock, WI	10.5505
3640	Jersey City, NJ	1.0923
	Hudson, NJ	1.0323

3660	Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol, TN-VA	0.8203
	Carter, TN	
	Hawkins, TN	
	Sullivan, TN	
	Unicoi, TN	
]	Washington, TN	
	Bristol City, VA	
	Scott, VA	
	Washington, VA	
3680	Johnstown, PA	0.7981
	Cambria, PA	0.7501
	Somerset, PA	
3700	Jonesboro, AR	0.7934
3,00	Craighead, AR	0.7554
3710	Joplin, MO	0.0701
3710	Jasper, MO	0.8721
	_ ·	
2700	Newton, MO	
3720	Kalamazoo-Battlecreek, MI	1.0350
	Calhoun, MI	
	Kalamazoo, MI	
	Van Buren, MI	
3740	Kankakee, IL	1.0603
	Kankakee, IL	
3760	Kansas City, KS-MO	0.9642
	Johnson, KS	
	Leavenworth, KS	
	Miami, KS	
	Wyandotte, KS	
	Cass, MO	
	Clay, MO	
	Clinton, MO	
	Jackson, MO	
	Lafayette, MO	
	Platte, MO	
	Ray, MO	
3800	Kenosha, WI	0.9772
	Kenosha, WI	0.7772
3810	Killeen-Temple, TX	0.0040
	Bell, TX	0.9242
	Coryell, TX	
3840	Knoxville, TN	0.0500
	Anderson, TN	0.8509
	Blount, TN	
	Knox, TN	
	Loudon, TN	
	•	
	Sevier, TN	
L	Union, TN	

3850	Kokomo, IN	0.8986
3650	Howard, IN	0.8580
	Tipton, IN	
3870	La Crosse, WI-MN	0.9290
3070	Houston, MN	
	La Crosse, WI	
3880	Lafayette, LA	0.8105
3000	Acadia, LA	0.0200
	Lafayette, LA	
	St. Landry, LA	
Care Care Care Care Care Care Care Care	St. Martin, LA	
3920	Lafayette, IN	0.9068
3520	Clinton, IN	
	Tippecanoe, IN	
3960	Lake Charles, LA	0.7959
3300	Calcasieu, LA	0.,,555
3980	Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	0.8931
3200	Polk, FL	0.0551
4000	Lancaster, PA	0.9883
	Lancaster, PA	0.5003
4040	Lansing-East Lansing, MI	0.9659
	Clinton, MI	0.3003
	Eaton, MI	
	Ingham, MI	
4080	Laredo, TX	0.8747
	Webb, TX	
4100	Las Cruces, NM	0.8784
	Dona Ana, NM	
4120	Las Vegas, NV-AZ	1.1121
	Mohave, AZ	
	Clark, NV	
	Nye, NV	
4150	Lawrence, KS	0.8644
	Douglas, KS	
4200	Lawton, OK	0.8212
	Comanche, OK	
4243	Lewiston-Auburn, ME	0.9562
	Androscoggin, ME	
4280	Lexington, KY	0.8053
	Bourbon, KY	
	Clark, KY	
	Fayette, KY	
	Jessamine, KY	1
	Madison, KY	
	Scott, KY	
	Woodford, KY	

4320	Lima, OH	0.9258
4320	Allen, OH	0.9236
	Auglaize, OH	
4360	Lincoln, NE	1.0208
4300	Lancaster, NE	1.0200
4400	Little Rock-North Little, AR	0.8827
1 4400	Faulkner, AR	0.0027
•	Lonoke, AR	
	Pulaski, AR	
1	Saline, AR	
4420	Longview-Marshall, TX	0.8739
4420	Gregg, TX	0.6739
	Harrison, TX	
	Upshur, TX	
4480	Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA	1.1732
1100	Los Angeles, CA	1.1/32
4520	Louisville, KY-IN	0.9163
1320	Clark, IN	0.9103
	Floyd, IN	
	Harrison, IN	
	Scott, IN	
	Bullitt, KY	
	Jefferson, KY	
	Oldham, KY	
4600	Lubbock, TX	0.8777
1000	Lubbock, TX	0.8777
4640	Lynchburg, VA	0.9018
	Amherst, VA	0.9018
	Bedford City, VA	
	Bedford, VA	
	Campbell, VA	
	Lynchburg City, VA	
4680	Macon, GA	0.9596
	Bibb, GA	0.9396
	Houston, GA	
	Jones, GA	
	Peach, GA	
	Twiggs, GA	
4720	Madison, WI	1 0205
	Dane, WI	1.0395
4800	Mansfield, OH	0 0105
	Crawford, OH	0.9105
Mathematica	Richland, OH	
	I	

1040		10.550
4840	Mayaguez, PR	0.4769
	Anasco, PR	
	Cabo Rojo, PR	
	Hormigueros, PR	
	Mayaguez, PR	
	Sabana Grande, PR	
	San German, PR	Wangara 24
4880	McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	0.8602
	Hidalgo, TX	
4890	Medford-Ashland, OR	1.0534
	Jackson, OR	
4900	Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay, FL	0.9633
	Brevard, FL	
4920	Memphis, TN-AR-MS	0.9234
	Crittenden, AR	
	De Soto, MS	
	Fayette, TN	
	Shelby, TN	
	Tipton, TN	
4940	Merced, CA	1.0576
	Merced, CA	
5000	Miami, FL	1.0026
	Dade, FL	
5015	Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ	1.1360
	Hunterdon, NJ	1.1300
	Middlesex, NJ	
	Somerset, NJ	
5080	Milwaukee-Waukesha, WI	1.0076
	Milwaukee, WI	1,0076
	Ozaukee, WI	
	Washington, WI	
	Waukesha, WI	
5120	Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI	1.1067
	Anoka, MN	1.1007
	Carver, MN	
	Chisago, MN	
	Dakota, MN	
	Hennepin, MN	
	Isanti, MN	
· ·	Ramsey, MN	
1	Scott, MN	
	Sherburne, MN	
	Washington, MN	
	Wright, MN	
	Pierce, WI	
	St. Croix, WI	
5140	Missoula, MT	0.0010
	Missoula, MT	0.9618
		[

5160	Mobile, AL	0.7933
2100	Baldwin, AL	0.7555
	Mobile, AL	
5170	Modesto, CA	1.1966
	Stanislaus, CA	
5190	Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	1.0889
age distinguished in the second secon	Monmouth, NJ	
	Ocean, NJ	
5200	Monroe, LA	0.7913
	Ouachita, LA	
5240	Montgomery, AL	0.8300
	Autauga, AL	
	Elmore, AL	
	Montgomery, AL	
5280	Muncie, IN	0.8580
	Delaware, IN	
5330	Myrtle Beach, SC	0.9022
	Horry, SC	
5345	Naples, FL	1.0596
F260	Collier, FL	1 0100
5360	Nashville, TN	1.0108
	Cheatham, TN	
	Davidson, TN Dickson, TN	
	Robertson, TN	
	Rutherford, TN	
	Sumner, TN	
	Williamson, TN	
	Wilson, TN	
5380	Nassau-Suffolk, NY	1.2921
	Nassau, NY	
	Suffolk, NY	
5483	New Haven-Bridgeport-Stamford-Waterbury-	1.2254
	Danbury, CT	
	Fairfield, CT	
	New Haven, CT	
5523	New London-Norwich, CT	1.1596
	New London, CT	
5560	New Orleans, LA	0.9103
	Jefferson, LA	
	Orleans, LA	
	Plaquemines, LA	1
	St. Bernard, LA	
	St. Charles, LA	
	St. James, LA	
	St. John The Baptist, LA	
	St. Tammany, LA	

5600	New York, NY	1.3588
3000	Bronx, NY	1.3330
	Kings, NY	
	New York, NY	
	Putnam, NY	
	Queens, NY	
	Richmond, NY	
	Rockland, NY Westchester, NY	
5640		1.1625
5640	Newark, NJ	1.1025
	Essex, NJ	
1	Morris, NJ	
	Sussex, NJ	
	Union, NJ	
	Warren, NJ	7 7 7 7 7
5660	Newburgh, NY-PA	1.1171
]	Orange, NY	
	Pike, PA	
5720	Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News, VA-NC	0.8895
	Currituck, NC	
	Chesapeake City, VA	
	Gloucester, VA	
	Hampton City, VA	
	Isle of Wight, VA	
	James City, VA	
	Mathews, VA	
	Newport News City, VA	
	Norfolk City, VA	,
	Poquoson City, VA	
İ	Portsmouth City, VA	
	Suffolk City, VA	
	Virginia Beach City, VA	
	Williamsburg City, VA	
	York, VA	
5775	Oakland, CA	1.5221
	Alameda, CA	
	Contra Costa, CA	
5790	Ocala, FL	0.9153
	Marion, FL	
5800	Odessa-Midland, TX	0.9632
	Ector, TX	1
	Midland, TX	
L		

5880	Oklahoma City, OK	0.8966
3000	Canadian, OK	0.0300
	Cleveland, OK	
	Logan, OK	
	McClain, OK	
	Oklahoma, OK	
	Pottawatomie, OK	
5910	Olympia, WA	1.1007
	Thurston, WA	1.1007
5920	Omaha, NE-IA	0.9754
	Pottawattamie, IA	
	Cass, NE	
	Douglas, NE	
	Sarpy, NE	
	Washington, NE	
5945	Orange County, CA	1.1612
	Orange, CA	1.1011
5960	Orlando, FL	0.9742
	Lake, FL	
	Orange, FL	
	Osceola, FL	
	Seminole, FL	
5990	Owensboro, KY	0.8434
	Daviess, KY	
6015	Panama City, FL	0.8124
	Bay, FL	
6020	Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH	0.8288
	Washington, OH	
	Wood, WV	
6080	Pensacola, FL	0.8306
	Escambia, FL	
	Santa Rosa, FL	
6120	Peoria-Pekin, IL	0.8886
	Peoria, IL	
	Tazewell, IL	
	Woodford, IL	
6160	Philadelphia, PA-NJ	1.0824
	Burlington, NJ	
	Camden, NJ	
	Gloucester, NJ	
	Salem, NJ	
	Bucks, PA	
	Chester, PA	
	Delaware, PA	
	Montgomery, PA	
	Philadelphia, PA	

Maricopa	6200	Dhaanin Naga 77	0.9982
Pinal, AZ	6200	Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	0.9982
Pine Bluff, AR 0.8673 Jefferson, AR 0.8756			
Jefferson, AR 6280 Pittsburgh, PA Allegheny, PA Beaver, PA Beaver, PA Butler, PA Fayette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Yuilalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Racine, WI Racine, WI O.9045	6040		0 9673
Pittsburgh, PA Allegheny, PA Beaver, PA Beaver, PA Butler, PA Fayette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Fortland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pu	6240	· ·	0.0073
Allegheny, PA Beaver, PA Butler, PA Payette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CR Racine, WI Rocatella A Ro	5000		0.0756
Beaver, PA Butler, PA Fayette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Racine, WI O.9045	6280		0.8750
Butler, PA Fayette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI 1.0439 1.0439 1.0439 1.04954 1.1062 1.			
Fayette, PA Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Washington, PA Westmoreland, PA			
## Westmoreland, PA 6323 Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA 6340 Pocatello, ID 0.9602 Bannock, ID 0.4954 6360 Ponce, PR 0.4954 Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME 1.0112 Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA 1.1403 Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo		, -	
Pittsfield, MA Berkshire, MA Do. 9602			
Berkshire, MA			
Pocatello, ID Bannock, ID	6323		1.0439
Bannock, ID 6360 Ponce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Puetane, WI Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI O.9945		The state of the s	
Fonce, PR Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Fortland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME Fortland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA Forvidence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Rent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Frovo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI O.9945	6340	•	0.9602
Guayanilla, PR Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RT Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI 670 Pood-Orem (December of the color of t			
Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, Rf Ri Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI O 1.0112 1.0112 1.1012 1.1012 1.1012 1.103 1.1043 1.1062	6360	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.4954
Penuelas, PR Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI O 1.0112 1.0112 1.1043 1.1043 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613		•	
Ponce, PR Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Puta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 1.0012 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613		·	
Villalba, PR Yauco, PR 6403 Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Puta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 1.0112 1.0112 1.0112 1.103 1.1103 1.1103 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613		· ·	
Yauco, PR			
Portland, ME Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RE Kent, RE Newport, RE Providence, RE Washington, RE 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO 6580 Punta Gorda, FE Charlotte, FE 6600 Racine, WE 1.0112 1.0112 1.0112 1.0112 1.1063 1.1063 1.1063 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613 0.9613			
Cumberland, ME Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO 6580 Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613 0.9613			
Sagadahoc, ME York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI Rent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Putal Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 1.1403 1.14	6403		1.0112
York, ME 6440 Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RT Rent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Puta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1403 1.1062 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613 0.9613 0.9613		•	
Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Rent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI Frovo-Orem, UT Utah, UT Fueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL RI 1.1403 1.1403			
Clackamas, OR Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI Construction OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR O			
Columbia, OR Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Puta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.9045	6440		1.1403
Multnomah, OR Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RT Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI 0.9045		· ·	
Washington, OR Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RT Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI O 1.1062 1.1062 0.9613 0.9613 0.9613		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Yamhill, OR Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI 1.1062 Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 0.9045		,	
Clark, WA 6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RE Bristol, RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 6600 Racine, WI 1.1062			
6483 Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, R.T. 1.1062 Bristol, RI RI Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 0.9613 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO 0.8752 6580 Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 0.9441 6600 Racine, WI			
Bristol, RI		Clark, WA	
Kent, RI Newport, RI Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Racine, WI 0.9613 0.9613 0.9441 0.9441	6483		1.1062
Newport, RI		· ·	
Providence, RI Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.9441		•	
Washington, RI 6520 Provo-Orem, UT 0.9613 Utah, UT 0.8752 Pueblo, CO 0.8752 Pueblo, CO 0.9441 Charlotte, FL 0.9045			
6520 Provo-Orem, UT Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.9613 0.9613 0.9411 0.9441			
Utah, UT 6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO 6580 Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.9441 0.9045			
6560 Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO Pueblo, CO 6580 Punta Gorda, FL Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.8752 0.9441 0.9441	6520		0.9613
Pueblo, CO 6580			
6580 Punta Gorda, FL 0.9441 Charlotte, FL 0.9045	6560	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.8752
Charlotte, FL 6600 Racine, WI 0.9045		The state of the s	
6600 Racine, WI 0.9045	6580		0.9441
0.5015			
Racine, WI	6600		0.9045
		Racine, WI	

6640	Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC	1.0258
	Chatham, NC	
	Durham, NC	
	Franklin, NC	
	Johnston, NC	
	Orange, NC	
	Wake, NC	
6660	Rapid City, SD	0.8912
II	Pennington, SD	
6680	Reading, PA	0.9216
	Berks, PA	
6690	Redding, CA	1.1835
	Shasta, CA	
6720	Reno, NV	1.0456
	Washoe, NV	
6740	Richland-Kennewick-Pasco, WA	1.0520
	Benton, WA	
	Franklin, WA	
6760	Richmond-Petersburg, VA	0.9398
	Charles City County, VA	
	Chesterfield, VA	
	Colonial Heights City, VA	and the state of t
	Dinwiddie, VA	
	Goochland, VA	
	Hanover, VA	
	Henrico, VA	
	Hopewell City, VA	
	New Kent, VA	
	Petersburg City, VA	
	Powhatan, VA	
	Prince George, VA	
	Richmond City, VA	
6780	Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	1.0975
	Riverside, CA	
	San Bernardino, CA	
6800	Roanoke, VA	0.8429
	Botetourt, VA	
	Roanoke, VA	
	Roanoke City, VA	
	Salem City, VA	
6820	Rochester, MN	1.1504
	Olmsted, MN	

r 		0.0106
6840	Rochester, NY	0.9196
	Genesee, NY	
	Livingston, NY	
	Monroe, NY	
	Ontario, NY	
	Orleans, NY	
	Wayne, NY	•
6880	Rockford, IL	0.9626
	Boone, IL	
	Ogle, IL	
	Winnebago, IL	
6895	Rocky Mount, NC	0.8998
	Edgecombe, NC	
	Nash, NC	
6920	Sacramento, CA	1.1849
	El Dorado, CA	
	Placer, CA	
	Sacramento, CA	
6960	Saginaw-Bay City-Midland, MI	0.9696
	Bay, MI	0.3030
	Midland, MI	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6000	Saginaw, MI	
6980	St. Cloud, MN	1.0215
	Benton, MN	
	Stearns, MN	
7000	St. Joseph, MO	1.0013
	Andrews, MO	
	Buchanan, MO	
7040	St. Louis, MO-IL	0.9081
	Clinton, IL	
	Jersey, IL	
	Madison, IL	
Ì	Monroe, IL	
	St. Clair, IL	
	Franklin, MO	
	Jefferson, MO	
	Lincoln, MO	
	St. Charles, MO	
	St. Louis, MO	
	St. Louis City, MO	
	Warren, MO	
	Sullivan City, MO	
7080	Salem, OR	1.0557
	Marion, OR	1.0557
	Polk, OR	
7120		
1140	Salinas, CA	1.3823
L	Monterey, CA	

7160	Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT Davis, UT Salt Lake, UT Weber, UT	0.9487
7200	San Angelo, TX Tom Green, TX	0.8168
7240	San Antonio, TX Bexar, TX Comal, TX Guadalupe, TX Wilson, TX	0.9023
7320	San Diego, CA San Diego, CA	1.1267
7360	San Francisco, CA Marin, CA San Francisco, CA San Mateo, CA	1.4712
7400	San Jose, CA Santa Clara, CA	1.4744

7440	San Juan-Bayamon, PR	0.4802
, 110	Aguas Buenas, PR	
	Barceloneta, PR	
	Bayamon, PR	
	Canovanas, PR	
	1	
	Carolina, PR	
	Catano, PR	
	Ceiba, PR	
	Comerio, PR	
	Corozal, PR	
	Dorado, PR	
	Fajardo, PR	
	Florida, PR	
	Guaynabo, PR	
	Humacao, PR	
	Juncos, PR	And the second s
	Los Piedras, PR	
	Loiza, PR	
	Luguillo, PR	
	Manati, PR	
	Morovis, PR	
	Naguabo, PR	
	Naranjito, PR	
	Rio Grande, PR	
	San Juan, PR	
	Toa Alta, PR	
	Toa Baja, PR	
	Trujillo Alto, PR	
	Vega Alta, PR	
	Vega Baja, PR	
	Yabucoa, PR	
7460	San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles, CA	1.1118
	San Luis Obispo, CA	
7480	Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc, CA	1.0771
	Santa Barbara, CA	
7485	Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA	1.4780
	Santa Cruz, CA	
7490	Santa Fe, NM	1.0590
	Los Alamos, NM	
	Santa Fe, NM	
7500	Santa Rosa, CA	1.2962
	Sonoma, CA	1.2702
7510	Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	0 9630
	Manatee, FL	0.9630
	Sarasota, FL	
	Salabota, III	

7520	Savannah, GA	0.9460
	Bryan, GA	
	Chatham, GA	
	Effingham, GA	
7560	ScrantonWilkes-BarreHazleton, PA	0.8523
	Columbia, PA	
	Lackawanna, PA	
	Luzerne, PA	
	Wyoming, PA	
7600	Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA	1.1479
	Island, WA	
	King, WA	
	Snohomish, WA	
7610	Sharon, PA	0.7881
	Mercer, PA	
7620	Sheboygan, WI	0.8949
	Sheboygan, WI	
7640	Sherman-Denison, TX	0.9617
	Grayson, TX	0.502.
7680	Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	0.9112
	Bossier, LA	
	Caddo, LA	
	Webster, LA	
7720	Sioux City, IA-NE	0.9094
	Woodbury, IA	0.505
	Dakota, NE	
7760	Sioux Falls, SD	0.9441
	Lincoln, SD	
	Minnehaha, SD	
7800	South Bend, IN	0.9447
	St. Joseph, IN	
7840	Spokane, WA	1.0661
	Spokane, WA	
7880	Springfield, IL	0.8738
	Menard, IL	0.0730
	Sangamon, IL	
7920	Springfield, MO	0.8597
	Christian, MO	0.000,
	Greene, MO	
	Webster, MO	
8003	Springfield, MA	1.0174
	Hampden, MA	1.01/4
	Hampshire, MA	
8050	State College, PA	0.8462
	Centre, PA	0.0102

8080	Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV	0.8281
0000	Jefferson, OH	0.0202
	Brooke, WV	
	Hancock, WV	
8120	Stockton-Lodi, CA	1.0564
	San Joaquin, CA	
8140	Sumter, SC	0.8520
	Sumter, SC	
8160	Syracuse, NY	0.9394
	Cayuga, NY	
	Madison, NY	
	Onondaga, NY	
	Oswego, NY	
8200	Tacoma, WA	1.1078
	Pierce, WA	
8240	Tallahassee, FL	0.8656
	Gadsden, FL	
	Leon, FL	
8280	Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	0.9024
	Hernando, FL	
	Hillsborough, FL	
	Pasco, FL	
	Pinellas, FL	
8320	Terre Haute, IN	0.8582
	Clay, IN	
	Vermillion, IN	
02.60	Vigo, IN	
8360	Texarkana, AR-Texarkana, TX	0.8414
	Miller, AR	
8400	Bowie, TX Toledo, OH	0.0505
0400	Fulton, OH	0.9525
	Lucas, OH	
	Wood, OH	
8440	Topeka, KS	0.0004
0440	Shawnee, KS	0.8904
8480	Trenton, NJ	1.0276
	Mercer, NJ	1.0276
8520	Tucson, AZ	0.8926
	Pima, AZ	0.0920
8560	Tulsa, OK	0.8729
	Creek, OK	0.0729
	Osage, OK	
	Rogers, OK	
	Tulsa, OK	
	Wagoner, OK	
8600	Tuscaloosa, AL	0.8440
	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.5210

8640	Tyler, TX	0.9502
	Smith, TX	
8680	Utica-Rome, NY	0.8295
	Herkimer, NY	
	Oneida, NY	
8720	Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA	1.3517
	Napa, CA	
	Solano, CA	
8735	Ventura, CA	1.1105
	Ventura, CA	
8750	Victoria, TX	0.8469
	Victoria, TX	
8760	Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ	1.0573
	Cumberland, NJ	
8780	Visalia-Tulare-Porterville, CA	0.9964
1	Tulare, CA	
8800	Waco, TX	0.8146
	McLennan, TX	
8840	Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV	1.0971
	District of Columbia, DC	
	Calvert, MD	
	Charles, MD	
	Frederick, MD	
	Montgomery, MD	
	Prince Georges, MD	
	Alexandria City, VA	
	Arlington, VA	
	Clarke, VA	
	Culpepper, VA	
	Fairfax, VA	
	Fairfax City, VA	
	Falls Church City, VA	
	Fauquier, VA	
	Fredericksburg City, VA	
	King George, VA	
	Loudoun, VA	
	Manassas City, VA	
	Manassas Park City, VA	
	Prince William, VA	
	Spotsylvania, VA	
	Stafford, VA	
	Warren, VA	
	Berkeley, WV	
	Jefferson, WV	
8920	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA	A 0.505
0.720	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.8633
8940	Black Hawk, IA	
8940	Wausau, WI	0.9570
	Marathon, WI	

8960	West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL Palm Beach, FL	1.0059
9000	Wheeling, OH-WV Belmont, OH Marshall, WV Ohio, WV	0.7449
9040	Wichita, KS Butler, KS Harvey, KS Sedgwick, KS	0.9473
9080	Wichita Falls, TX Archer, TX Wichita, TX	0.8395
9140	Williamsport, PA Lycoming, PA	0.8486
9160	Wilmington-Newark, DE-MD New Castle, DE Cecil, MD	1.1121
9200	Wilmington, NC New Hanover, NC Brunswick, NC	0.9237
9260	Yakima, WA Yakima, WA	1.0323
9270	Yolo, CA Yolo, CA	0.9378
9280	York, PA York, PA	0.9150
9320	Youngstown-Warren, OH Columbiana, OH Mahoning, OH Trumbull, OH	0.9518
9340	Yuba City, CA Sutter, CA Yuba, CA	1.0364
9360	Yuma, AZ Yuma, AZ	0.8871

TABLE 9 - Wage Index for Rural Areas

Nonurban Area	Wage Index
Alabama	0.7637
Alaska	1.1637
Arizona	0.9140
Arkansas	0.7704
California	1.0297
Colorado	0.9368
Connecticut	1.1586
Delaware	0.9504
Florida	0.8789
Georgia	0.8247
Guam	0.9611
Hawaii	1.0522
Idaho	0.8826
Illinois	0.8341
Indiana	0.8736
Iowa	0.8550
Kansas	0.8088
Kentucky	0.7844
Louisiana	0.7291
Maine	0.9039
Maryland	0.9179
Massachusetts	1.0217
Michigan	0.8741
Minnesota	0.9339
Mississippi	0.7583
Missouri	0.7829
Montana	0.8701

Nebraska	0.9035
Nevada	0.9833
New Hampshire	0.9940
New Jersey 1/	
New Mexico	0.8529
New York	0.8403
North Carolina	0.8501
North Dakota	0.7743
Ohio	0.8760
Oklahoma	0.7537
Oregon	1.0050
Pennsylvania	0.8348
Puerto Rico	0.4047
Rhode Island 1/	
South Carolina	0.8640
South Dakota	0.8393
Tennessee	0.7876
Texas	0.7910
Utah	0.8843
Vermont	0.9375
Virginia	0.8480
Virgin Islands	0.7457
Washington	1.0072
West Virginia	0.8084
Wisconsin	0.9498
Wyoming	0.9182

1/ All counties within the State are classified urban.

D. Updates to the Federal Rates

In accordance with section 1888(e)(4)(E) of the Act and section 311 of the BIPA, the payment rates listed here reflect an update equal to the full SNF market basket, which equals 2.8 percentage points. We will continue to disseminate the rates, wage index, and case-mix classification methodology through the **Federal Register** before

August 1 preceding the start of each succeeding fiscal year.

E. Relationship of RUG-III Classification System to Existing Skilled Nursing Facility Level-of-Care Criteria

As discussed in § 413.345, we include in each update of the Federal payment rates in the **Federal Register** the designation of those specific RUGs under the classification system that represent the required SNF level of care, as provided in § 409.30. This designation reflects an administrative presumption under the current 44-group RUG-III classification system that beneficiaries who are correctly assigned to one of the upper 26 RUG-III groups in the initial 5-day, Medicare-required assessment are automatically classified as meeting the SNF level of care definition up to that point.

A beneficiary assigned to any of the lower 18 groups is not automatically classified as either meeting or not meeting the definition, but instead receives an individual level of care determination using the existing administrative criteria. This presumption recognizes the strong likelihood that beneficiaries assigned to one of the upper 26 groups during the immediate post-hospital period require a covered level of care, which would be significantly less likely for those beneficiaries assigned to one of the lower 18 groups.

In this notice, we are continuing the existing designation of the upper 26 RUG-III groups for purposes of this administrative presumption, consisting of the following RUG-III classifications:

All groups within the Ultra High Rehabilitation category; all groups within the Very High Rehabilitation category; all groups within the High Rehabilitation category; all groups within the Medium Rehabilitation category; all groups within the Low Rehabilitation category; all groups within the Extensive Services category; all groups within the Special Care category; and, all groups within the Clinically Complex category.

F. Initial Three-Year Transition Period

As previously discussed in sections I.A and I.F.2 of this notice, the PPS is no longer operating under the initial three-year transition period from facility-specific to Federal rates. Therefore, payment now equals 100

percent of the adjusted Federal per diem rate.

G. Example of Computation of Adjusted PPS Rates and SNF Payment

Using the XYZ SNF described in Table 10, the following shows the adjustments made to the Federal per diem rate to compute the provider's actual per diem PPS payment. XYZ's 12-month cost reporting period begins October 1, 2004. XYZ's total PPS payment would equal \$25,161. The Labor and Non-labor columns are derived from Table 6. In addition, the adjustments for certain specified RUG-III groups enacted in section 101(a) of the BBRA (as amended by section 314 of the BIPA) remain in effect, and are reflected in Table 10.

Table 10

SNF XYZ: Located in State College, PA Wage Index: 0.8482

RUG Group	Labor	Wage index	Adj. labor	Non- Labor	Adj. rate	Percent adjustment	Medi- care Days	Pay- ment
RVC	\$274.56	0.8482	\$232.88	\$85.65	\$318.53	\$339.87*	14	\$ 4,758
RHA	\$212.18	0.8482	\$179.97	\$66.19	\$246.16	\$262.65*	16	\$ 4,202
CC2	\$175.71	0.8482	\$149.04	\$54.82	\$203.86	\$464.80**	10	\$ 4,648
SSC	\$176.74	0.8482	\$149.91	\$55.13	\$205.04	\$246.05***	30	\$ 7,382
IA2	\$119.84	0.8482	\$101.65	\$37.39	\$139.04	\$139.04	30	\$ 4,171
	<u> </u>		L.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>		Total	100	\$25,161

*Reflects a 6.7 percent adjustment from section 314 of the BIPA.

**Reflects a 128 percent adjustment from section 511 of the MMA. Section 101(a) of the BBRA no longer applies because of the MMA section 511 adjustment.

III. The Skilled Nursing Facility Market Basket Index

Section 1888(e)(5)(A) of the Act requires us to establish an SNF market basket index (input price index) that reflects changes over time in the prices of an appropriate mix of goods and services included in the SNF PPS. This notice incorporates the latest available projections of the SNF market basket

index. Accordingly, we have developed an SNF market basket index that encompasses the most commonly used cost categories for SNF routine services, ancillary services, and capital-related expenses. In the July 31, 2001 Federal Register (66 FR 39562), we included a complete discussion on the rebasing of the SNF market basket to FY 1997. There are 21 separate cost categories and respective price proxies. These cost

categories were illustrated in Tables 10.A, 10.B, and Appendix A, along with other relevant information, in the July 31, 2001 **Federal Register**.

Each year, we calculate a revised labor-related share based on the relative importance of labor-related cost categories in the input price index. Table 11 summarizes the updated labor-related share for FY 2005.

	Relative importance, labor-related, FY 2004 (97 index)	Relative importance, labor-related, FY 2005 (97 index)
Wages and salaries	55.115	54.720
Employee benefits	11.304	11.595
Nonmedical professional fees	2.651	2.688
Labor-intensive services	4.130	4.125
Capital-related	3.172	3.094
Total	76.372	76.222

Table 11 – FY 2005 Labor-Related Share

A. Use of the Skilled Nursing Facility Market Basket Percentage

Section 1888(e)(5)(B) of the Act defines the SNF market basket percentage as the percentage change in the SNF market basket index, as described in the previous section, from the average of the prior fiscal year to the average of the current fiscal year. For the Federal rates established in this notice, the percentage increase in the SNF market basket index is used to compute the update factor occurring between FY 2004 and FY 2005. We used the Global Insight, Inc. (formerly DRI-WEFA), 2nd quarter 2004 forecasted percentage increase in the FY 1997based SNF market basket index for routine, ancillary, and capital-related expenses, described in the previous section, to compute the update factor. Finally, we no longer compute update factors to adjust a facility-specific portion of the SNF PPS rates, because the three-year transition period from facility-specific to full Federal rates that started with cost reporting periods beginning in July of 1998 has expired.

B. Market Basket Forecast Error Adjustment

As discussed in the June 10, 2003, supplemental proposed rule (68 FR 34768) and finalized in the August 4, 2003, final rule (68 FR 46067), the regulations at 42 CFR 413.337(d)(2) provide for an adjustment to account for market basket forecast error. The initial adjustment applied to the update of the FY 2003 rate that occurred in FY 2004, and took into account the cumulative forecast error for the period from FY 2000 through FY 2002. Subsequent adjustments in succeeding FYs take into account the forecast error from the most recently available fiscal year for which there is final data, and are applied whenever the difference between the forecasted and actual change in the market basket exceeds a 0.25 percentage

point threshold. As discussed previously in section I.G of this notice, as the difference between the estimated and actual amounts of increase in the market basket index for FY 2003 (the most recently available fiscal year for which there is final data) did not exceed the 0.25 percentage point threshold, the payment rates for FY 2005 do not include a forecast error adjustment.

C. Federal Rate Update Factor

Section 1888(e)(4)(E)(ii)(IV) of the Act requires that the update factor used to establish the FY 2005 Federal rates be at a level equal to the full market basket percentage change. Accordingly, to establish the update factor, we determined the total growth from the average market basket level for the period of October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004 to the average market basket level for the period of October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005. Using this process, the market basket update factor for FY 2005 SNF Federal rates is 2.8 percentage points. We used this revised update factor to compute the Federal portion of the SNF PPS rate shown in Tables 2 and 3.

IV. Consolidated Billing

As established by section 4432(b) of the BBA, the consolidated billing requirement places with the SNF the Medicare billing responsibility for virtually all of the services that the SNF's residents receive, except for a small number of services that the statute specifically identifies as being excluded from this provision. Section 103 of the BBRA amended this provision by further excluding a number of individual services, identified by Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) code, within several broader categories that otherwise remained subject to the provision. Section 313 of the BIPA further amended this provision by repealing its Part B aspect; that is, its applicability to

services furnished to a resident during an SNF stay that Medicare does not cover. (However, physical, occupational, and speech-language therapy remain subject to consolidated billing, regardless of whether the resident who receives these services is in a covered Part A stay.)

Among the services that sections 1888(e)(2)(A)(ii) through (iii) of the Act exclude from the consolidated billing requirement are those of physicians and certain other specified types of medical practitioners, which remain separately billable to Part B when furnished to an SNF's Part A resident. Since the statute does not exclude the services of rural health clinics (RHCs) or Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), we have always regarded those specified types of practitioner services, when furnished to an SNF's Part A resident by an RHC or FQHC, as being a part of RHC or FQHC services (which are subject to consolidated billing). However, section 410 of the MMA amended section 1888(e)(2)(A)(iv) of the Act to specify that when an RHC or FQHC furnishes the services of a physician, or another type of service that section 1888(e)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act identifies as being excluded from SNF consolidated billing, those services do not become subject to consolidated billing merely by virtue of being furnished under the auspices of the RHC or FOHC. In effect, this amendment enables such services to retain their separate identity as excluded "practitioner" services in this context, rather than being treated as bundled "RHC" or "FQHC" services. As such, these services would remain separately billable to Part B when furnished to a resident of the SNF during a covered Part A stay. The MMA specifies that this provision becomes effective with services furnished on or after January 1, 2005. In accordance with added section 1888(e)(2)(A)(iv) of the Act, this provision applies to the following excluded service categories,

as identified in section 1888(e)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act:

- Physician services.
- Services of physician assistants working under a physician's supervision.
- Services of nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists working in collaboration with a physician.
 - Certified nurse-midwife services.
 - Qualified psychologist services.
- Certified registered nurse anesthetist services.
- Home dialysis supplies and equipment, self-care home dialysis support services, and institutional dialysis services and supplies as described in section 1861(s)(2)(F) of the
- Erythropoietin (EPO) for certain dialysis patients as described in section 1861(s)(2)(O) of the Act, subject to methods and standards established by the Secretary in regulations for its safe and effective use (see §§ 405.2163(g) and

Further, we note that the amendment enacted in section 410 of the MMA does not affect the applicability of the consolidated billing requirement to any physical, occupational, or speechlanguage therapy services furnished by RHCs and FQHCs. As specified in section 1888(e)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act, such services are always subject to SNF consolidated billing, even when performed by a type of practitioner whose services would otherwise be excluded from this provision.

V. Application of the SNF PPS to SNF Services Furnished by Swing-Bed Hospitals

In accordance with section 1888(e)(7) of the Act (as amended by section 203 of the BIPA), Part A pays critical access hospitals (CAHs) on a reasonable cost basis for SNF services furnished under a swing-bed agreement. However, as noted previously in section I.A of this notice, the services furnished by non-CAH rural hospitals are paid under the SNF PPS. In the July 31, 2001 final rule (66 FR 39562), we announced the conversion of swing-bed rural hospitals to the SNF PPS, effective with the start of the provider's first cost reporting period beginning on or after July 1, 2002. We selected this date consistent with the statutory provision to integrate swing-bed rural hospitals into the SNF PPS by the end of the SNF transition period, June 30, 2002.

As of June 30, 2003, all swing-bed rural hospitals have come under the SNF PPS. Therefore, all rates and wage indexes outlined in earlier sections of this notice for SNF PPS also apply to all swing-bed rural hospitals. A complete

discussion of assessment schedules, the MDS and the transmission software, Raven-SB for Swing Beds can be found in the July 31, 2001 final rule (66 FR 39562). The latest changes in the MDS for swing-bed rural hospitals are listed on our SNF PPS Web site, http:// www.cms.hhs.gov/providers/snfpps/ default.asp.

VI. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection and recordkeeping requirements. Consequently, it need not be reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

VII. Regulatory Impact Analysis

A. Overall Impact

We have examined the impacts of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 (September 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 16, 1980, Pub. L. 96-354), section 1102(b) of the Social Security Act (the Act), the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA, Pub. L. 104–4), and Executive Order 13132.

Executive Order 12866 (as amended by Executive Order 13258, which merely assigns responsibility of duties) directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for major rules with economically significant effects (\$100 million or more in any 1 year). This notice is major, as defined in Title 5, United States Code, section 804(2), because we estimate the impact of the standard update will be to increase payments to SNFs by approximately \$440 million.

The update set forth in this notice applies to payments in FY 2005. Accordingly, the analysis that follows describes the impact of this one year only. In accordance with the requirements of the Act, we will publish a notice for each subsequent FY that will provide for an update to the payment rates and include an associated impact analysis.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small businesses. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses,

nonprofit organizations, and government agencies. Most SNFs and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by their nonprofit status or by having revenues of \$11.5 million or less in any 1 year. For purposes of the RFA, approximately 53 percent of SNFs are considered small businesses according to the Small Business Administration's latest size standards with total revenues of \$11.5 million or less in any 1 year (for further information, see 65 FR 69432, November 17, 2000). Individuals and States are not included in the definition of a small entity. In addition, approximately 29 percent of SNFs are nonprofit organizations.

This notice updates the SNF PPS rates published in the August 4, 2003 final rule (68 FR 46036) and the associated correction notice (68 FR 55882, September 29, 2003), thereby increasing aggregate payments by an estimated \$440 million. As indicated in Table 12, the effect on facilities will be an aggregate positive impact of 2.8 percent. We note that some individual providers may experience larger increases in payments than others due to the distributional impact of the FY 2005 wage indices and the degree of Medicare utilization. While this notice is considered major, its overall impact is extremely small; that is, less than 3 percent of total SNF revenues from all payor sources. As the overall impact is positive on the industry as a whole, and on small entities specifically, it is not necessary to consider regulatory alternatives.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Metropolitan Statistical Area and has fewer than 100 beds. Because the payment rates set forth in this notice also affect rural hospital swing-bed services, we believe that this notice will have a positive fiscal impact on small rural hospitals. However, because this incremental increase in payments for Medicare swing-bed services is relatively minor in comparison to overall rural hospital revenues, this notice will not have a significant impact on the overall operations of these small rural hospitals.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any

rule that may result in an expenditure in any 1 year by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$110 million or more. This notice will increase payments to SNFs by 2.8 percent, but will have no other substantial effect on State, local, or tribal governments. Again, we believe that the aggregate impact of this notice is positive, and does not meet the significance thresholds for determining added costs under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates regulations that impose substantial direct requirement costs on State and local governments, preempts State law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. As stated above, this notice will have no substantial effect on State and local governments.

B. Anticipated Effects

This notice sets forth updates of the SNF PPS rates contained in the August 4, 2003 final rule (68 FR 46036) and the associated correction notice (68 FR 55882, September 29, 2003). The impact analysis of this notice represents the projected effects of the changes in the SNF PPS from FY 2004 to FY 2005. We estimate the effects by estimating payments while holding all other payment variables constant. We use the best data available, but we do not attempt to predict behavioral responses to these changes, and we do not make adjustments for future changes in such variables as days or case-mix.

This analysis incorporates the latest estimates of growth in service use and payments under the Medicare SNF benefit, based on the latest available Medicare claims from 2002. We note

that certain events may combine to limit the scope or accuracy of our impact analysis, because such an analysis is future-oriented and, thus, very susceptible to forecasting errors due to other changes in the forecasted impact time period. Some examples of such possible events are newly-legislated general Medicare program funding changes by the Congress, or changes specifically related to SNFs. In addition, changes to the Medicare program may continue to be made as a result of the BBA, the BBRA, the BIPA, the MMA, or new statutory provisions. Although these changes may not be specific to the SNF PPS, the nature of the Medicare program is such that the changes may interact, and the complexity of the interaction of these changes could make it difficult to predict accurately the full scope of the impact upon SNFs.

In accordance with section 1888(e)(4)(E) of the Act, the payment rates for FY 2005 are updated by a factor equal to the full market basket index percentage increase to determine the payment rates for FY 2005. We note that in accordance with section 101(a) of the BBRA and section 314 of the BIPA, the existing, temporary increase in the per diem adjusted payment rates of 20 percent for certain specified RUGs (and 6.7 percent for certain others) remains in effect until the implementation of case-mix refinements in the SNF PPS. Similarly, the special AIDS add-on established by section 511 of the MMA remains in effect until the implementation of case-mix refinements. In updating the rates for FY 2005, we made a number of standard annual revisions and clarifications mentioned elsewhere in this notice (for example, the update to the wage and

market basket indices used for adjusting the Federal rates). These revisions will increase payments to SNFs by approximately \$440 million.

The impacts are shown in Table 12. The breakdown of the various categories of data in the table follows.

The first column shows the breakdown of all SNFs by urban or rural status, hospital-based or freestanding status, and census region.

The first row of figures in the first column describes the estimated effects of the various changes on all facilities. The next six rows show the effects on facilities split by hospital-based, freestanding, urban, and rural categories. The next twenty rows show the effects on urban versus rural status by census region. The final four rows show the effects on facilities by ownership type.

The second column in the table shows the number of facilities in the impact database.

The third column of the table shows the effect of the annual update to the wage index. The total impact of this change is zero percent; however, there are distributional effects of the change.

The fourth column of the table shows the effect of all of the changes on the FY 2005 payments. The market basket increase of 2.8 percentage points is constant for all providers and, though not shown individually, is included in the total column. It is projected that aggregate payments will increase by 2.8 percent in total, assuming facilities do not change their care delivery and billing practices in response.

As can be seen from this table, the combined effects of all of the changes vary by specific types of providers and by location.

Table 12
Projected Impact of FY 2005 Update to the SNF PPS

	I	Wage	Total FY
	Number of	Index	2005
	facilities	Change	
Total	15,252	0.0%	2.8%
Urban	10,016	0.0%	2.8%
Rural	5,236	0.0%	2.8%
Hospital based	984	0.1%	2.9%
urban	501	0.10	
Freestanding	8,466	0.0%	2.8%
urban	-,		
Hospital based	640	0.1%	2.9%
rural			
Freestanding	3,708	0.0%	2.8%
rural	-		
Urban by			
region			
New England	913	-0.6%	2.2%
Middle	1,526	-0.7%	2.1%
Atlantic			
South Atlantic	1,610	0.3%	3.1%
East North	1,943	0.2%	3.0%
Central			
East South	456	0.0%	2.8%
Central			
West North	691	0.4%	3.2%
Central			
West South	965	0.8%	3.6%
Central			
Mountain	432	-0.4%	2.4%
Pacific	1,473	0.4%	3.2%
Rural by			
region	140	0 18	2 08
New England	149 254	0.1%	2.9%
Middle	254	-0.46	2.40
Atlantic	715	0 28	2.6%
South Atlantic	715 948	-0.2% 0.1%	2.9%
East North	940	0.19	2.98
Central	595	-0.4%	2.4%
East South Central	393	- V. 43	2.70
West North	1,220	0.5%	3.3%
Central	1,220		3.30
West South	817	0.4%	3.2%
Central	· · ·		
Mountain	330	-0.2%	2.6%
Pacific	208	0.0%	2.8%
Ownership			
Government	712	0.0%	2.8%
Proprietary	9,457	0.0%	2.8%
Voluntary	3,605	0.0%	2.8%
voruncary			

C. Alternatives Considered

Section 1888(e) of the Act establishes the SNF PPS for the payment of Medicare SNF services for cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1998. This section of the statute prescribes a detailed formula for calculating payment rates under the SNF PPS, and does not provide for the use of any alternative methodology. It

specifies that the base year cost data to be used for computing the RUG-III payment rates must be from FY 1995 (October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995.) In accordance with the statute, we also incorporated a number of elements into the SNF PPS, such as case-mix classification methodology, the MDS assessment schedule, a market basket index, a wage index, and the urban and rural distinction used in the development or adjustment of the Federal rates. Further, section 1888(e)(4)(H) of the Act specifically requires us to disseminate the payment rates for each new fiscal year through the **Federal Register**, and to do so before the August 1 that precedes the start of the new fiscal year. Accordingly, we are not pursuing alternatives with respect to the payment methodology. Further, as discussed previously in section II.B of this notice, we are not implementing case-mix refinements at the present time, but instead are proceeding with our ongoing research in this area.

D. Conclusion

This notice does not initiate any policy changes with regard to the SNF PPS; rather, it simply provides an update to the rates for FY 2005.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth in the preceding discussion, we are not preparing analyses for either the RFA or section 1102(b) of the Act, because we have determined that this notice will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities or a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

Finally, in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this regulation was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

VIII. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

We ordinarily publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** to provide a period for public comment before the provisions of a notice such as this take effect. We can waive this procedure, however, if we find good cause that notice and comment procedure is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest and incorporate a statement of the finding and the reasons for it into the notice issued.

We believe it is unnecessary to undertake notice-and-comment rulemaking in this instance, as the statute requires annual updates to the SNF PPS rates, the methodologies used to update the rates have been previously subject to public comment, and this notice initiates no policy changes with regard to the SNF PPS but simply reflects the application of previously established methodologies. Therefore, we find good cause to waive notice and comment procedures.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare-Hospital Insurance Program; and No. 93.774, Medicare-Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: June 24, 2004.

Mark B. McClellan,

 $Administrator, Centers for Medicare \ \mathcal{C}\\ Medicaid \ Services.$

Dated: July 27, 2004.

Tommy G. Thompson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–17443 Filed 7–29–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4120–01–P**

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-4068-N]

Medicare Program; Open Public Meeting Regarding the Development of the Model Guidelines for Categories and Classes of Drugs

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public meeting to provide pharmaceutical benefit managers and other interested parties, an opportunity to provide individual comments on the Model Guidelines for Classes and Categories of Drugs (Model Guidelines) developed by the United States Pharmacopeia (USP). Interested parties include beneficiaries, advocacy groups, managed care organizations, trade and professional associations, prescription drug plans, healthcare practitioners, providers, pharmaceutical manufacturers, and others. USP is a nongovernmental organization, as set forth under the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA). The MMA provides for the development of Model Guidelines by USP in consultation with pharmaceutical benefit managers and other interested parties.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for August 27, 2004, from 9 a.m. until 4 p.m. e.d.t. This meeting is open to the public.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Baltimore, MD at the Wyndham Baltimore-Inner Harbor, 101 West Fayette Street. Phone: 410–752–1100. The meeting will be organized by the United States Pharmacopeia with support from its meeting coordinator, Conferon Inc.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Coates, United States Pharmacopeia at 12601 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, MD 20852, conferences@usp.org, (301) 816–8130.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) (Pub. L. 108-173, enacted on December 8, 2003) establishes a new prescription drug benefit under Part D of the Medicare Program through competing prescription drug plans. The Secretary will approve or disapprove prescription drug plans based on various requirements in the statute, including the requirements specified in section 1860D-11(e)(2)(D)(i) and (ii) of the MMA. One of the requirements is that the Secretary does not find that the design of the plan and its benefits are likely to discourage enrollment by certain Part D eligible individuals. The Secretary may not find that the design of categories and classes within a formulary discourages enrollment if the categories and classes are consistent with Model Guidelines established by United States Pharmacopeia (USP).

In an effort to establish these guidelines, MMA requires the Secretary to request USP to develop, in consultation with pharmaceutical benefit managers and other interested parties, a list of categories and classes (Model Guidelines) that may be used by prescription drug plans and to revise the classification from time to time to reflect changes in therapeutic uses of covered Part D drugs and additions of new covered Part D drugs. At the request of the Secretary and as specified in section 1860D-4(b)(3)(C)(ii) of the MMA, USP is in the process of developing the Model Guidelines that may be used by prescription drug plans and is seeking comments on the draft Model Guidelines.

II. Provisions of the Notice

The purpose of this meeting is to provide information on the draft of the Model Guidelines for Classes and Categories of Drugs to be used in Part D plan formularies and to allow for public comment.

Meeting Format: USP Staff and the USP Medicare Model Guideline Expert Committee (Expert Committee) will present a draft of the Model Guidelines and the approach and methodology of establishing the Model Guidelines. Interested persons may present data, information, or views orally or in writing, on issues directly related to the Model Guidelines.