

888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the e-Filing link.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1480 Filed 7-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP04-347-000]

Questar Pipeline Company; Notice of Filing

June 7, 2004.

Take notice that on June 2, 2004, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 180 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed in the captioned docket an abbreviated application, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) requesting authority to reconfigure Questar's existing Oak Spring Compressor Station (Oak Spring). Oak Spring is located adjacent to Questar's existing Main Line Nos. 40 and 104 in Carbon County, Utah. The application is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online

Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Questar notes that Oak Spring is part of Questar's southern transmission system and that the station consists of three compressor units previously certificated by the Commission. Questar seeks authorization to reconfigure Oak Spring by placing one existing compressor unit in series with two other existing compressor units. Questar asserts that the configuration will provide an additional 10,000 Dth per day of capacity which will become available for an approximate 12-month period, commencing upon the in-service date of the reconfiguration and terminating upon the in-service date of Questar's proposed Southern System Expansion Project (SSXP).¹ Questar proposed that Oak Spring's reconfiguration will be completed and made available for service by November 1, 2004.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to Lenard G. Wright, Director, Federal Regulation, Questar Pipeline Company, 180 East 100 South, P.O. Box 45360, Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0360.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition

to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

¹ Questar states that the target date for filing the SSXP application with the Commission is September 2004.

Comment Date: June 17, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1483 Filed 7-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP04-367-000]

Unocal Windy Hill Gas Storage LLC; Notice of Petition

June 28, 2004.

Take notice that on June 25, 2004, Unocal Windy Hill Gas Storage LLC (Windy Hill), 14141 Southwest Freeway, Sugarland, Texas 77478, filed in Docket No. CP04-367-000 a petition for Exemption of Temporary Acts and Operations from Certificate Requirements, pursuant to Rule 207(a)(5) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.207(a)(5)), and section 7(c)(1)(B) of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717(c)(1)(B)), seeking approval of an exemption from certificate requirements to perform temporary activities related to drilling a test well and performing other activities to assess the feasibility of developing an underground natural gas storage facility in Morgan County, Colorado all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding the petition should be directed to Rex Bigler, Unocal Windy Hill Gas Storage LLC, 14141 Southwest Freeway, Sugarland, Texas 77478, and phone: 281-287-5513; fax 281-287-7327.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be

placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project, or in support of or in opposition to this project, should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: July 6, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1477 Filed 7-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER99-1213-002, et al.]

Lakewood Cogeneration, L.P., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

June 28, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Lakewood Cogeneration, L.P.

[Docket No. ER99-1213-002]

Take notice that on June 23, 2004, Lakewood Cogeneration L.P., (Lakewood) submitted a for filing amending its Tariff for the Wholesale Sale of Electricity at Market-Based Rates to include the Market Behavior Rules promulgated by the Commission, Investigation of Terms and Conditions of Public Utility Market-Based Rate Authorizations, 105 FERC ¶ 61,218 (2003), and to reflect the transfer of certain ownership interests.

Comment Date: July 14, 2004.

2. Bangor Hydro-Electric Company

[Docket No. ER00-980-011]

Take notice that on June 23, 2004, Bangor Hydro-Electric Company (Bangor Hydro) submitted an Errata to June 15, 2004, Informational Filing showing the implementation of Bangor Hydro's open access transmission tariff formula rate for the charges that became effective on June 1, 2004.

Comment Date: July 14, 2004.

3. Monongahela Power Company

[Docket No. ER01-1716-001]

Take notice that on June 10, 2004, Monongahela Power Company (dba Allegheny Power) (Monongahela) submitted for filing Final Order of the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) of Ohio accepting Monongahela Power Company's proposed transmission/distribution separation methodology.

Monongahela states that copies of this letter have been served on PUC of Ohio.

Comment Date: July 9, 2004.

4. Rock River I, LLC.

[Docket No. ER01-2742-003]

Take notice that on June 23, 2004, Rock River I, LLC, in compliance with the Letter Order issued March 9, 2004, in Docket No. ER01-2742-002, submitted an amendment to its market-based rate tariff to include certain market behavior rules adopted by the Commission in Investigation of Terms and Conditions of Public Utility Market-Based Rate Authorization, 105 FERC ¶ 61,218 (2003).

Comment Date: July 14, 2004.

5. NewCorp Resources Electric Cooperative, Inc.

[Docket No. ER03-1116-003]

Take notice that on June 23, 2004, NewCorp Resources Electric Cooperative, Inc. (NewCorp) submitted an amendment to its compliance filing submitted on September 29, 2003, as amended on October 2, 2003, in response to the Commission's Letter