

## Transmittal No. 04-07

**Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer  
Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1)  
of the Arms Export Control Act**

**Annex  
Item No. vii**

**(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:**

1. The SM-2 Block IIIA Standard missile is a U.S. Navy surface-launched guided missile and classified Secret. It is operationally deployed on cruisers, destroyers, and frigates for use against air and surface threats (aircraft, missiles, and ships). The guidance system employs a continuous-wave radar link for homing to the target. Steering and roll commands from the adaptive auto pilot system provide flight stability via four aft-mounted control surfaces. Propulsion is provided by a solid propellant, dual thrust rocket motor, which is an integral part of the missile airframe. The target-detecting device is a complex fuze with dual radar systems to optimize warhead lethality against a spectrum of target sizes and speeds.

2. The MK 44 Guided Missile Round Pack (GMRP) Tactical Missiles each consist of a MK 116 Block 1A Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM) within a MK 8 Launching canister. The MK 44 GMRP (containing the RAM missile) is Unclassified but considered sensitive. If the missile is removed from its sealed launching canister, the missile is considered Confidential. The GMRP is transported in a tri-pack shipping and storage container and is loaded as an All Up Round into the launchers. Only in extreme cases would a missile be removed from its canister. Some Secret software is loaded into the missile. Extraction of the Secret software would be difficult. The documents are not considered sensitive and are Unclassified.

3. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures or equivalent systems which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

4. A determination has been made that Korea can provide substantially the same degree of protection for the sensitive technology being released as the U.S. Government. This sale is necessary in furtherance of the U.S. foreign policy and national security objectives outlined in the Policy Justification.

[FR Doc. 04-13119 Filed 6-9-04; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Office of the Secretary****Membership of the Defense Contract  
Audit Agency Senior Executive Service  
Performance Review Boards**

**AGENCY:** Defense Contract Audit  
Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of Membership of the  
Defense Contract Audit Agency Senior  
Executive Service Performance Review  
Boards.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the appointment of members to the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) Performance Review Boards. The Performance Review Boards provide fair and impartial review of Senior Executive Service (SES) performance appraisals and make recommendations to the Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency, regarding final performance ratings and performance awards for DCAA SES members.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 10, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Dale R. Collins, Chief, Human Resources Management Division, Defense Contract Audit Agency, 8725 John J. Kingman

Road, Suite 2135, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060-6219, (703) 767-1039.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the following are the names and titles of DCAA career executives appointed to serve as members of the DCAA Performance Review Boards. Appointees will serve one-year terms, effective upon publication of this notice.

Headquarters Performance Review Board:

Mr. Robert DiMucci, Assistant Director, Policy and Plans, DCAA, chairperson.

Mr. Earl Newman, Assistant Director, Operations, DCAA, member

Mr. John Farenish, General Counsel,  
DCAA, member  
Regional Performance Review Board:  
Mr. Michael Steen, Regional Director,  
Eastern Region, DCAA, chairperson  
Ms. April Stephenson, Director, Field  
Detachment, DCAA, member  
Mr. Edward Nelson, Regional  
Director, Northeastern Region,  
DCAA, member

Dated: June 3, 2004.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 04-13117 Filed 6-9-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 12, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Alice Thaler, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision,

extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: June 4, 2004.

**Angela C. Arrington,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management  
Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### Office of the Undersecretary

*Type of Review:* New.

*Title:* Characteristics of High-Performing Local Adult Education Programs.

*Frequency:* One time.

*Affected Public:* Not-for-profit institutions (primary), State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:* Responses: 384. Burden Hours: 552.

*Abstract:* The primary purpose is to understand the characteristics of high-performing adult education programs. Site visits to 24 programs will be conducted to develop an understanding of service delivery and instructional practices that allow these programs to achieve positive student outcomes, and to investigate whether the structure of the program affects student performance.

Requests for copies of the submission for OMB review; comment request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 2497. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202-4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address [OCIO\\_RIMG@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-245-6621. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Katrina Ingalls at her e-mail address [Katrina.Ingalls@ed.gov](mailto:Katrina.Ingalls@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 04-13080 Filed 6-9-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 9, 2004.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.