

the provisional measures prescribed under section 733(d) of the Act to not more than six months.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination, if, in the event of an affirmative determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters which account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2), require that request by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.210(b), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting Hulett's request and are fully extending the due date for the final determination by 60 days, until no later than October 4, 2004.² Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

Dated: May 27, 2004.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-12605 Filed 6-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-884]

Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Color Television Receivers From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 736(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department of Commerce is issuing an antidumping duty order on certain color television receivers from the People's Republic of China.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 3, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin or Elizabeth Eastwood, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656 or (202) 482-3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of Order

For purposes of this order, the term "certain color television receivers" (CTVs) includes complete and incomplete direct-view or projection-type cathode-ray tube color television receivers, with a video display diagonal exceeding 52 centimeters, whether or not combined with video recording or reproducing apparatus, which are capable of receiving a broadcast television signal and producing a video image. "Incomplete" CTVs are defined as unassembled CTVs with a color picture tube (*i.e.*, cathode ray tube), printed circuit board or ceramic substrate, together with the requisite parts to comprise a complete CTV, when assembled. Specifically excluded from this order are computer monitors or other video display devices that are not capable of receiving a broadcast television signal.

The color television receivers subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 8528.12.2800, 8528.12.3250, 8528.12.3290, 8528.12.4000, 8528.12.5600, 8528.12.3600, 8528.12.4400, 8528.12.4800, and 8528.12.5200 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive, and parts or imports of assemblages of parts that comprise less than a complete CTV.

Antidumping Duty Order

On May 27, 2004, the International Trade Commission (the ITC) notified the Department of Commerce (the Department) of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), that the industry in the United States producing CTVs is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of subject merchandise from the People's Republic of China (PRC). Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal

value of the merchandise exceeds the export price of the merchandise for all relevant entries of CTVs from the PRC. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of CTVs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after November 28, 2003, the date on which the Department published its *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Color Television Receivers From the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 66800 (Nov. 28, 2003).

Section 733(d) of the Act states that instructions issued pursuant to an affirmative preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than 4 months except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise extend that 4-month period to not more than 6 months. In this investigation, the 6-month period beginning on the date of the publication of the preliminary determination ends on May 25, 2004. Furthermore, section 737 of the Act states that definitive duties are to begin on the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act and our practice, we will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, unliquidated entries of CTVs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 26, 2004, and before the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination in the **Federal Register**. See *Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar From France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and the United Kingdom*, 68 FR 58660, 58661 (Oct. 10, 2003). Suspension of liquidation will continue on or after this date.

On or after the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**, CBP will require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins listed below. The PRC-wide rate applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for entries from the exporters that are identified individually below.

² Because 135 days from the date of publication of the preliminary determination (October 3, 2004)

falls on a weekend, the Departments's final

determination will be postponed until October 4, 2004, the first business day thereafter.

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-average margin (in percent)
Haier Electric Appliances International Co	22.94
Hisense Import and Export Co., Ltd	22.94
Konka Group Company, Ltd	9.69
Philips Consumer Electronics Co. of Suzhou Ltd	22.94
Shenzhen Chaungwei-RGB Electronics Co., Ltd	22.94
Sichuan Changhong Electric Co., Ltd	26.37
Starlight International Holdings, Ltd	22.94
Star Light Electronics Co., Ltd	22.94
Star Fair Electronics Co., Ltd	22.94
Starlight Marketing Development Ltd.	22.94
SVA Group Co., Ltd	22.94
TCL Holding Company Ltd	21.25
Xiamen Overseas Chinese Electronic Co., Ltd	5.22
PRC-wide	78.45

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to CTVs from the PRC, pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211.

Dated: May 27, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-12603 Filed 6-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Honey from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from Cheng Du Wai Yuan Bee Products Co., Ltd ("Cheng Du") and Jinfu Trading Co., Ltd. ("Jinfu"), the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China. The period of review covers the period December 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003. For Jinfu, we have preliminarily determined that it failed to demonstrate its entitlement to a new shipper review,

while for Cheng Du we have preliminarily determined that it has not made sales at less than normal value. See the "Partial Rescission of New Shipper Review" section below. The preliminary results are listed below in the section titled "Preliminary Results of Review." Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 3, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angelica Mendoza (for Jinfu) at (202) 482-3019 or Dena Aliadinov (for Cheng Du) at (202) 482-3362; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") on December 10, 2001. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). On June 30, 2003, the Department received timely filed requests from Cheng Du and Jinfu for new shipper reviews under the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations. Cheng Du identified itself as the producer and exporter of the merchandise subject to review. Jinfu identified itself as the exporter of subject merchandise produced by its supplier, Cixi City Yikang Bee Industry Co., Ltd. ("Cixi Yikang").

Under the new shipper provisions, an exporter or an exporter that is also a

producer of the subject merchandise, in requesting a new shipper review, must certify to the following: (i) it did not export the merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"); and (ii) it is not affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise during that period. In addition, if the exporter is not the producer, then the entity that produced or supplied the subject merchandise must also certify to the above-listed requirements. Moreover, in an antidumping proceeding involving imports from a nonmarket economy country, the new shipper must also certify that its (and its producers') export activities are not controlled by the central government. If these provisions are met, the Department will conduct a new shipper review to establish an individual weighted-average dumping margin for such new shipper, if the Department has not previously established such a margin for the exporter or producer. (*See generally* section 351.214(b)(2) of the Department's regulations.)

The regulations further require that the entity making the request include in its request documentation establishing: (i) the date on which the merchandise was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, or, if it cannot establish the date of first entry, the date on which it first shipped the merchandise for export to the United States; (ii) the volume of that and subsequent shipments; and (iii) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. *See* section 351.214(b)(2)(iv).

Cheng Du's and Jinfu's requests were accompanied by information and certifications establishing that neither they nor their suppliers exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, and that they were not affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the