

information relevant to flight data recorder issues related to the NTSB recommendation A-03-50. Therefore, the meeting will be conducted in an informal and nonadversarial manner.

6. Participants must limit their presentations and submissions of data to flight data recorder issues related to the NTSB Recommendation A-03-50.

7. The FAA requests that presenters at the meeting provide 10 copies of all materials to be presented for distribution to the panel members; other copies may be provided to the audience at the discretion of the presenter.

8. The FAA will try to accommodate all speakers; therefore, it may be necessary to limit the time available for an individual or group. If practicable, the meeting may be accelerated to enable adjournment as scheduled.

9. Sign and oral interpretation can be made available at the meeting, as well as an assistive listening device, if requested 10 calendar days before the meeting.

10. The meeting will be recorded by a court reporter. A transcript of the meeting and all material accepted by the panel during the meeting will be made available, on request, unless protected from disclosure. Each person interested in purchasing a copy of the transcript should contact the court reporter directly. This information will be available at the meeting.

Susan J. M. Cabler,

Assistant Manager, Aircraft Engineering Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-12409 Filed 6-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: City and County of San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Impact Report (EIR) will be prepared for a project in San Francisco, California, known as the Bayview Transportation Improvements Project.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leland Dong, North Region Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration, 650 Capitol Mall Suite 4-100, Sacramento, California 95814, Telephone: (916) 498-5860 or Bill Wycko, San Francisco Planning

Department, 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94103, Telephone (415) 558-5972.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), will prepare an EIS for proposed improvements to the roadway system in the southeast sector of San Francisco, California. The project is needed to provide an alternate designated route for future truck traffic from US Highway 101 to the planned industrial portion of the redeveloped Hunters Point Shipyard and minimize travel time to the planned industrial portion of the Shipyard, as well as the existing industrial areas of the Bayview.

The study area is approximately bounded by Caesar Chavez Street to the north, the City and County of San Francisco border to the south, US Highway 101 to the west and San Francisco Bay to the east.

Seven build-alternatives have been identified. While these alternative routes attempt to avoid residential streets, a few residences can be found on some of the alternative alignments. Three of the alternatives involve constructing a new bridge over the Yosemite Slough or South Basin. Two alternatives use overland roadway routes only. Two alternatives involve construction of significant roadway structures. The roadway improvements required for each alignment vary. The build-alternatives, length, and highway connections are:

1. Long Bridge, 2.27 miles: Exits Northbound US 101 at Harney Way.
2. Medium Bridge, 3.12 miles: Exits Northbound US 101 at Harney Way.
3. Griffith Bridge, 3.34 miles: Exits Northbound US 101 at Harney Way.
4. Griffith Armstrong, 3.84 miles: Exits Northbound US 101 at Harney Way.
5. Carroll Avenue Overpass, 3.74 miles: Exits Northbound US 101 at Third Street/Bayshore Boulevard.
6. 3rd Street/Egbert/Ingalls, 3.45 miles: Exits USA 101 at Third Street/Bayshore Boulevard.
7. I-280 Islais Creek interchange, 6.28 miles: Exits I-280 at Evans Avenue.

Other alternatives to be considered include no-build, Transportation Systems Management (TSM) and mass transit. Although seven build-alternatives have been identified for study, combinations of the alignments may be considered. Modified versions of these alternatives which address technical considerations, respond to concerns from the public or reduce community impacts may be considered.

The project area is an economically and socially diverse neighborhood. The

predominant land use within the project area is residential with a mix of heavy commercial, industrial and warehousing activities. The project area is adjacent to San Francisco Bay, an Essential Fish Habitat Area as designated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—Fisheries.

Key environmental issues to be studied include, but are not limited to, air quality, noise, traffic, socioeconomic impacts, business relocations, hazardous materials, biological, water quality, coastal zone, flood plain, wetlands, visual impacts, impacts to open space and cultural resources and construction/encroachment on State and/or Federal lands. Other key issues may arise at the scoping meeting or during the environmental review process. Resources subject to section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act may be affected. Section 4(f) resources may also be affected.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed, or are known to have an interest in, this proposal.

Two scoping meetings will be held on July 8, 2004 at 1 p.m. and 7 p.m. at the Bayview Opera House, 4705 Third Street between Oakdale Avenue and Newcomb Avenue in San Francisco. The purpose of the scoping meetings is to seek input and to collect ideas and concerns regarding (1) the individual project concepts and (2) the environmental studies to be done.

Public meetings and a public hearing will also be held. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review prior to the public hearing. Public notice will be given as to the exact time and location of the meetings and hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: May 18, 2004.

Leland W. Dong,

Team Leader—North Region, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 04-11706 Filed 6-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Washington County, NE

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for a proposed roadway improvement project in Washington County, Nebraska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Edward Kosola, Realty/Environmental Officer, FHWA, Federal Building, Room 220, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, NE 68508-3851, (402) 437-5973. Alan Doll, Highway Superintendent, Washington County, P.O. Box 130, Blair, NE 68008 (402-426-6844). Allen Shoemaker, Director of Public Works, City of Blair, 218 South 16th Street, Blair, NE 68008 (402-426-4191).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the City of Blair, Nebraska and Washington County, Nebraska will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to study a bypass route around the City of Blair.

The City of Blair currently experiences traffic congestion, delay and accident problems where State Highway 91 and U.S. Highways 30 and 75 share the alignment. These highways share alignment within the city limits of Blair on the Washington Street corridor from 19th Street to 13th Street. Traffic studies indicate that a bypass route around the south, east and north parts of Blair is needed to reduce the amount of truck traffic through Blair. The bypass is currently planned as a two-lane roadway that could be expanded to four lanes.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) Taking no action; (2) constructing a bypass that connects U.S. Highway 30 south to U.S. Highway 30 east; (3) constructing a bypass that connects U.S. Highway 30 east to U.S. Highway 75 north; and (4) constructing a bypass that connects the south, east and north areas around the city by

connecting U.S. 30 south to U.S. 75 south to U.S. 30 east to U.S. 75 north.

An agency scoping meeting and a public scoping/information meeting are planned. Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who are known to be interested in this proposed project. Public input will be sought throughout the project via public meetings to be held in 2004. A Draft EIS will be prepared and a public hearing will be held. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the public meetings and public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues are identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided in the caption **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

(Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48.)

Dated: May 26, 2004.

Edward W. Kosola,

Realty/Environmental Officer, Nebraska Division, Federal Highway Administration, Lincoln, Nebraska.

[FR Doc. 04-12447 Filed 6-1-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[INTL-941-86; INTL-656-87; INTL-704-87]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Regulation Project

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is

soliciting comments concerning an existing notice of proposed rulemaking, INTL-941-86; INTL-656-87; and INTL-704-87, Treatment of Shareholders of Certain Passive Foreign Investment Companies (§§ 1.1291-1, 1.1291-2, 1.1291-3, 1.1291-6, and 1.1291-8).

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before August 2, 2004, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Glenn P. Kirkland, Internal Revenue Service, room 6411, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the regulations should be directed to Carol Savage at Internal Revenue Service, room 6407, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224, or at (202) 622-3945, or through the Internet at CAROL.A.SAVAGE@irs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Treatment of Shareholders of Certain Passive Foreign Investment Companies.

OMB Number: 1545-1304.

Regulation Project Number: INTL-941-86; INTL-656-87; and INTL-704-87.

Abstract: This regulation concerns the taxation of shareholders of certain passive foreign investment companies (PFICs) upon payment of distributions by such companies or upon disposition of the stock of such companies. The reporting requirements affect U.S. persons that are direct and indirect shareholders of PFICs. The information is required by the IRS to identify PFICs and their shareholders, administer shareholder elections, verify amounts reported, and track transfers of stock of certain PFICs.

Current Actions: There is no change to this existing regulation.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals and business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,500.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 1 hour.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,500.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long