On September 15, 2003, the Department extended the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 120 days until February 24, 2004. See Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review, 68 FR 53960 (September 15, 2003).

On February 24, 2004, the Department issued the preliminary results of this review. See Notice of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China, 69 FR 9800 (March 2, 2004). On April 5, 2004, the petitioners and respondent each submitted a case brief for the Department's consideration prior to the final determination in this new shipper review. On April 12, 2004, petitioners and respondent submitted a rebuttal brief to the Department. On April 26, 2004, the Department sent a supplemental questionnaire to the respondent. On May 3, 2004, respondent submitted its response to the Department's supplemental questionnaire. At the request of petitioners, the Department held a hearing on May 5, 2004. A portion of the hearing was conducted in closed session in accordance with § 351.310(f) of the Department's regulations.

# Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated because of the issues that must be addressed regarding the bona fides of the new shipper sales. Therefore, the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days. Because the Department issued an additional supplemental questionnaire on April 26, 2004, and the response to this supplemental questionnaire was filed on May 3, 2004, there is new information relating to the bona fides of the new shipper sales. Thus, the Department must analyze the information submitted by Shanghai Ocean Flavor in its supplemental questionnaire response and any comments or additional factual information which the petitioners may submit. Additionally, the Department may find it necessary to request further information regarding the bona fides from the respondent in this new shipper review. Therefore, in accordance with

§ 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and § 351.214(i)(2) of the regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of final results to 150 days from the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The final results will now be due no later than July 23, 2004.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 20, 2004.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III. [FR Doc. 04–11917 Filed 5–25–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-570-836]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Final Results of New Shipper Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (PRC) until no later than July 23, 2004. The period of review is March 1, 2002, through February 28, 2003. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 26, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christian Hughes or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0190 or (202) 482–2312, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On March 29, 1995, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC. See Antidumping Duty Order: Glycine from the People's Republic of China, 60 FR 16116 (March 29, 1995). In accordance with section 351.214(b) of the Department's regulations, on March 26, 2003, the Department received a timely request for a new shipper review from Hebei New Donghua Amino Acid Co. Ltd. (New Donghua). On

April 30, 2003, the Department initiated this new shipper review for the period March 1, 2002, through February 28, 2003. See Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review, 68 FR 23962 (May 6, 2003). The Department completed the preliminary results of this new shipper review on February 24, 2004. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China, 69 FR 9804 (March 2, 2004).

# **Extension of Time Limits for Final Results**

Section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department's regulations requires the Department to issue final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Secretary concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Secretary may extend the 90–day period to 150 days under section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations.

The Department finds that this new shipper review is extraordinary complicated, and the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the normal time limit because of the issue surrounding the bona fide nature of the sales under review, and information presented to the Department for the first time at verification. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of final results to 150 days. The final results will now be due no later than July 23, 2004.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 20, 2004.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 04–11914 Filed 5–25–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Outboard Engines From Japan (A-588-865)

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the preliminary determination in the antidumping duty investigation of outboard engines from Japan until no later than July 16, 2004. This postponement is made pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 26, 2004.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James Kemp at (202) 482–5346 or Shane Subler at (202) 482–0189, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination

On January 28, 2004, the Department initiated an antidumping duty investigation of outboard engines from Japan. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Outboard Engines from Japan, 69 FR 5316 (February 4, 2004). The notice of initiation stated that we would issue our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of initiation. See Id. Currently, the preliminary determination in this investigation is due on June 16, 2004.

On April 30, 2004, the petitioner  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a thirty-day postponement of the preliminary determination until July 16, 2004. The petitioner requested postponement of the preliminary determination because it believes additional time is necessary to allow the petitioner to review the responses to the questionnaire and other materials submitted in this investigation, to submit comments to the Department, and to allow the Department time to thoroughly analyze the respondent's data and to seek additional information, if necessary.

For the reasons identified by the petitioner, and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, we are postponing the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1) of the Act. Therefore, the preliminary determination is now due no later than July 16, 2004. The deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination. This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 20, 2004.

#### Jeffrev Mav.

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–11915 Filed 5–25–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

(A-533-808)

# Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of final results and partial rescission of antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel wire rods from India.

SUMMARY: On December 19, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from India. See Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 68 FR 70765 (December 19, 2003) ("Preliminary Results"). This review covers Viraj Alloys Limited ("VAL") and VSL Wires Ltd. ("VSL")(collectively "Viraj"), Isibars Limited ("Isibars"), and Mukand Limited ("Mukand"), manufacturers and exporters of subject merchandise to the United States. Panchmahal Steel Limited ("Panchmahal") was originally a respondent in this review, but the Department rescinded the review of Panchmahal based on the timely withdrawal of the only request for review of the company. See Preliminary *Results.* The period of review is December 1, 2001 through November 30, 2002.

Based on our analysis of the comments received regarding Viraj, we have made changes from the preliminary results of review. Therefore, the final results differ from the *Preliminary Results* with respect to the weighted—average dumping margin for Viraj. The final weighted—average dumping margin for the reviewed firms is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 26, 2004.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Herzog (Mukand), Kit Rudd (Viraj), Eugene Degnan (Isibars), and Jon

Freed (Panchmahal), Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: 202–482–1102, or 202–482– 4271, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On December 19, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from India. See Preliminary Results. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. We received Viraj's case briefs on January 27, 2004. We received Mukand's and Isibars' case briefs on January 28, 2004. We received a brief from the petitioner alleging new factual information contained in Mukand's, Isibars' and Viraj's case briefs on February 2, 2004. We received rebuttal briefs to all three of the respondent's briefs from petitioner, dated February 6, 2004. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), the Department directed Mukand, Isibars and Viraj to resubmit their briefs and omit certain new factual information that was not raised in a timely manner. See the Department's letter dated February 24, 2004, rejecting Mukand, Viraj and Isibars' case briefs. Mukand, Isibars and Viraj resubmitted new case briefs redacting the new information on February 26, 2004. We received a letter from the petitioner on March 5, 2004, requesting the Department to complete and clarify the official record of the review by bringing additional information into the official record. We received Viraj's submission containing this new information on March 30, 2004. We received the complete public version of Viraj's submission on April 7, 2004. We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

#### Scope of Review

The merchandise under review is certain stainless steel wire rods ("SSWR"), which are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils. SSWR are made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling and are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross section. The majority of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The petitioner in this investigation is Mercury Marine, a division of Brunswick Corporation.