

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration**

[TA-W-54,022]

**Advanced Micro Devices (AMD), C4  
Bump, Austin, TX; Notice of  
Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 15, 2004 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Advanced Micro Devices, C-4 Bump, Austin, Texas. These workers are part of the company's vertically integrated manufacturing of microprocessor chips at Advanced Micro Devices, Fab 25, Austin, Texas.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification issued on July 9, 2003 and which remains in effect (TA-W-50,283). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of February 2004.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade,  
Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-5087 Filed 5-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration****Proposed Information Collection  
Request; Submitted for Public  
Comment and Recommendations;  
Program Year (PY) 2002 and PY 2003  
Workforce Information Core Products  
and Services Grants**

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. PRA95 helps to ensure that requested

data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before July 12, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Mr. Anthony Dais, Chief, Division of USES/ALMIS, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Rm. S-4231, Washington, DC 20210, 202-693-2784 (this is not a toll-free number) or [dais.anthony@dol.gov](mailto:dais.anthony@dol.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Olaf Bjorklund, Division of USES/ALMIS, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Rm. S-4231, Washington, DC 20210, 202-693-2870 (this is not a toll-free number) or [bjorklund.olaf@dol.gov](mailto:bjorklund.olaf@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background**

Section 15 of the Wagner-Peyser Act as amended by Section 309 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-220), requires state agencies to consult with customers about the relevance of the information disseminated through the statewide employment statistics system, in order to continuously improve the system. To carry out this requirement and to increase accountability for the expenditure of grant funds for workforce information, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) submitted an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on August 15, 2002, proposing that beginning in PY 2002, a condition for receiving grant funds would be a requirement that states conduct an assessment of customer satisfaction with state produced workforce information products and services and include a summary of the results of the assessment and a description of any actions to be taken to improve the system in a required annual performance report.

States were also required to provide additional narrative in the annual grant plan, describing the statewide employment statistics system and how the system supports the State's WIA/

Wagner-Peyser Five Year Strategic Plan, and a description of the state's planned strategy for assessing customer satisfaction with state produced workforce information. The OMB approved the information collection for 390 days on November 5, 2002, with an expiration date of December 31, 2003. OMB has granted an extension of the expiration date to June 30, 2004.

**II. Desired Focus of Comments**

Currently, the ETA is soliciting comments concerning the proposed continuation of the collection of information for the reporting requirements specified in the PY 2002 Workforce Information Core Products and Services Planning Guidance, issued on January 9, 2003. The same PY 2002 information collection requirements are also required by the PY 2003 Workforce Information Core Products and Services Planning Guidance, issued on October 15, 2003. Comments should:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed continuation of the ICR can be obtained by contacting the office listed above in the addressee section of this notice.

**III. Current Actions**

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Title:* PY 2002 and PY 2003 Workforce Information Core Products and Services grants.

*OMB Number:* 1205-0417.

*Affected Public:* States.

Activity	Respond- ents	Responses	Total	Hours	Proposed burden	Approved burden	Difference
Annual Plan .....	54	1	54	42	2,268	3,510	(1,242)
Annual Report .....	54	1	54	39	2,106	3,078	(972)

Activity	Respondents	Responses	Total	Hours	Proposed burden	Approved burden	Difference
Customer Satisfaction .....	54	1	54	292	15,768	34,668	(18,900)
Respondents Burden .....	54	1	54	204	11,016	5,400	5,616
Totals .....	54	4	216	577	31,158	46,656	(15,498)

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* \$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintaining):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 5, 2004.

**Grace A. Kilbane,**

*Administrator, Office of Workforce Investment.*

[FR Doc. 04-10756 Filed 5-11-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Proposed Changes in the Text of Privacy Act Notices, NSF-50 and NSF-51

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Proposed changes in the text of NSF-50: Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records; and in NSF-51, a subsystem of NSF-50.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3101; 42 U.S.C. 1870.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the National Science Foundation is providing notice of revisions to two existing systems of records. These changes more adequately describe the systems and update the "routine uses." All revised system notices are reprinted in their entirety.

The two revised systems are NSF-50, "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records" and NSF-51, "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records." NSF-50 systems include records maintained by NSF as a result of applications for financial support and subsequent evaluation of applicants and their proposals. NSF-50 contains records on research and other proposals jointly submitted by individual applicants (principal investigators) and their home academic or other institutions. NSF makes awards to these institutions under which the individual applicants serve as principal investigators.

NSF-51 is a subsystem of the "Principal Investigator/Proposal File and Associated Records" system and

contains the reviewer's name, proposal title and its identifying number, and other related material. The system enables program offices to reference specific reviewers and maintain appropriate files for use in evaluating applications for grants or other support.

In accordance with the requirements of the Privacy Act, NSF has provided a report on the proposed systems revisions to the Office of Management and Budget; the Chairman, Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs; and the Chairman, House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* Section 552a(3)(4) and (11) of Title 5 of the U.S. Code provide the public thirty days to comment on the routine uses of systems of records. The altered routine uses in this notice will take effect 30 days after publication unless modified by a subsequent notice to incorporate comments received from the public.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments concerning this notice to Leslie Jensen, National Science Foundation, Office of the General Counsel, Room 1265, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** You may submit comments by sending electronic mail (E-mail) to [ljensen@nsf.gov](mailto:ljensen@nsf.gov).

Submit comments as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Identify all comments sent in electronic e-mail with Subject Line: Comments to proposed changes.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie Jensen: (703) 292-8060.

**Lawrence Rudolph,**  
*General Counsel.*

### Text: Proposed Changes

#### NSF-50

##### SYSTEM NAME:

Principal Investigator/Proposal file and Associated Records.

##### SYSTEM LOCATION:

Files are maintained both centrally and by individual NSF offices and programs at the National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

### CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Persons who request or have previously requested and/or received support from the National Science Foundation, either individually or through an academic or other institution.

### CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The names of principal investigators and other identifying information, addresses of principal investigators, demographic data, the proposal and its identifying number, supporting data from the academic institution or other applicant, proposal evaluations from peer reviewers, a review record, financial data, and other related material. Other related material may include, for example, committee or panel discussion summaries as applicable.

### AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

44 U.S.C. 3101; 42 U.S.C. 1870.

### PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM:

This system enables program offices to maintain appropriate files and investigatory material in evaluating applications for grants or other support. NSF employees may access the system to make decisions regarding which proposals to fund, and to carry out other authorized internal duties. Information on principal investigators is also entered in System 51, "Reviewer/Proposal File and Associated Records," a subsystem of this system, to be used as a source of potential candidates to serve as reviewers as part of the merit review process, or for inclusion on a panel or advisory committee.

### ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

1. Disclosure of information from the system may be made to qualified reviewers for their opinion and evaluation of applicants and their proposals as part of the NSF application review process; and to other Government agencies or other entities needing information regarding applicants or nominees as part of a joint application review process, or in order to coordinate programs or policy.

2. Information from the system may be provided to the applicant or grantee