since its inception and are committed to full participation in this five-year review.

We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to Section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C) of the Department's Regulations, the Department has conducted an expedited, 120-day review of this antidumping duty order.

# Scope of Review

SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hotrolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/ or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later coldfinished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar.

The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States

is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches diameter. Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of this review. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

#### SF20T

Carbon--0.05 max Manganese--2.00 max Phosphorous--0.05 max Sulfur--0.15 max Silicon--1.00 max Chromium--19.00/21.00 Molybdenum--1.50/2.50 Lead--added (0.10/0.30) Tellurium--added (0.03 min)

### K-M35FL

Carbon--0.015 max Silicon--0.70/1.00 Manganese--0.40 max Phosphorous--0.04 max Sulfur--0.03 max Nickel--0.30 max Chromium--12.50/14.00Lead--0.10/0.30 Aluminum--0.20/0.35

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

This review covers all imports from all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of SSWR from Taiwan except for Yieh Hsing Enterprise Corp., Ltd., who received a *de minimis* rate in the investigation and as a result was excluded from the order.

### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this case by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 1, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset, under the heading "December 2003." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty on SSWR from Taiwanwould be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weighted Average Margin Percentage
Walsin Cartech Specialty Stee	I8.29 Excluded (FN1)
All Others	8.29

[FN1] Yieh Hsing Enterprise Corp. received a de minimis rate in the investigation.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this results and notice in accordance with

sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 2003.

# James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-30629 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-469-807]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Spain.1 On the basis of notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, we have determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled Final Results of Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 10, 2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthit, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International

Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5050.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On August 1, 2003, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on SSWR from Spain. See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003), in accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On August 15, 2003, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of a domestic interested party, Carpenter Technology Corporation ("Carpenter Technology"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Sunset Policy Bulletin. Carpenter Technology claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a U.S. producer of SSWR. Carpenter Technology states that it has been involved in this proceeding since its inception and remain committed to full participation in this sunset review.

We received a complete substantive response from Carpenter Technology on September 2, 2003, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C) of the Sunset Regulations, the Department determined to conduct an expedited, i.e., 120-day, review of this order.

This review covers imports from all known manufacturers and exporters of SSWR from Spain.

# Scope of Review

SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or

descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hotrolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/ or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later coldfinished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar.

The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches diameter. Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of this review. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

# SF20T

Carbon--0.05 max Manganese--2.00 max Phosphorous--0.05 max Sulfur--0.15 max Silicon--1.00 max Chromium--19.00/21.00 Molybdenum--1.50/2.50 Lead--added (0.10/0.30)

#### K-M35FL

Carbon--0.015 maxSilicon--0.70/1.00 Manganese--0.40 max Phosphorous--0.04 max Sulfur--0.03 max Nickel--0.30 max Chromium--12.50/14.00 Lead--0.10/0.30 Aluminum--0.20/0.35

The products subject to this antidumping duty order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this case by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 1, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset, under the heading "December 2003." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

# **Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty on SSWR from Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Weighted-AverageMarginPercentage
Roldan, S.A. All Others	4.73 4.73

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003).

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 2003.

#### James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–30630 Filed 12–9–03; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570–831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review and new shipper reviews.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review and new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. The period of review for the administrative review and the new shipper reviews is November 1, 2001, through October 31, 2002. The reviews cover six manufacturers/exporters.

With respect to the administrative review, we preliminarily determine that Jinan Yipin Corporation, Ltd., has made sales in the United States at prices below normal value and Shandong Heze International Trade and Developing Company has made sales in the United States at prices not below normal value. With respect to the new shipper reviews, we preliminarily determine that Jining Trans-High Trading Co., Ltd., and Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd., have made sales in the United

States at prices not below normal value. Further, we preliminarily determine that Top Pearl Ltd. and Wo Hing (H.K.) Trading Co. are not entitled to separate rates and have assigned them the rate for the country-wide entity.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue and a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Minoo Hatten or Mark Ross, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1690 or (202) 482–4794, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On November 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 67 FR 66612. On December 26, 2002, we published in the Federal Register the Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews (67 FR 78772) in which we initiated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC.

We published a notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping duty reviews for Jining Trans-High Trading Co., Ltd. (Trans-High), Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. (Harmoni), and Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd., on January 6, 2003. See Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 542.

On January 24, 2003, the petitioners (the Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members) submitted a request for alignment of the new shipper and administrative reviews. On February 12, 21, and March 5, 2003, each respondent in the new shipper reviews responded in writing to the request for alignment, agreeing to waive the statutory time limits in the new shipper reviews. As all three of the respondents agreed to waive the time limits, we decided to grant the request for alignment of the reviews pursuant to

19 CFR 351.214(j)(3). See memorandum to the file from Jennifer Moats entitled "Request Regarding Alignment of New Shipper and Administrative Reviews," dated March 10, 2003.

One company named in the notice of initiation for the administrative review, Fook Huat Tong Kee Pte., Ltd., had no exports or sales of the subject merchandise during the period of review and, consequently, we rescinded the review of this company. In addition, the review requests for Clipper Manufacturing Ltd., Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company, Golden Light Trading Company, Ltd., Good Fate International, Phil-Sino International Trading Inc., and Mai Xuan Fruitex Co., Ltd., were withdrawn subsequent to the initiation of the administrative review and, therefore, we rescinded the review of these six companies. See Fresh Garlic From The People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 68 FR 46580 (August 6, 2003).

On August 7, 2003, we extended the deadline for the issuance of the preliminary results of the administrative and new shipper reviews by 90 days, until October 31, 2003 (68 FR 47020).

On August 15, 2003, we issued supplemental questionnaires in which we requested information from the U.S. customers for the sales involved in the new shipper reviews of Trans-High and Harmoni. We received responses from Trans-High's and Harmoni's U.S. customers on August 29, 2003, and on September 5, 2003, respectively. As detailed in the memorandum from Brian Ellman to the File dated November 25, 2003, we have so far been unable to contact Trans-High's U.S. customer by telephone, facsimile, or Federal Express regarding its incomplete response. As such, we intend to continue to evaluate the information on the record with respect to Trans-High for the final results of review.

On September 26, 2003, the Department determined preliminarily that, based on the use of adverse facts available, the new shipper Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd., sold subject merchandise to the United States at prices below normal value. See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review for Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd., 68 FR 55583 (September 26, 2003). On October 23, 2003, the Department extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results for the other companies in these administrative and new shipper reviews until December 1, 2003. See Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Notice of