### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Food Safety and Inspection Service** 

9 CFR Parts 319 and 381

[Docket No. 01-032N]

Approving Ingredients Used in the Production of Meat and Poultry Products: Use of Any Safe and Suitable Binder or Antimicrobial Agent in Meat and Poultry Products With Standards of Identity or Composition

**AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Affirmation of effective date for direct final rule.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 2003, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) published a direct final rule "Approving Ingredients Used in the Production of Meat and Poultry Products: Use of Any Safe and Suitable Binder or Antimicrobial Agent in Meat and Poultry Products with Standards of Identity or Composition" in the Federal Register. This direct final rule amended the Federal meat and poultry products inspection regulations to permit the use of any safe and suitable binder or antimicrobial agent in the production of meat and poultry products that are subject to a standard of identity or composition that provides for the use of such ingredients.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Post, Director, Labeling and Consumer Protection Staff, Office of Policy and Program Development, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250–3700; (202) 205–0279.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

FSIS published a direct final rule, "Approving Ingredients Used in the Production of Meat and Poultry Products: Use of Any Safe and Suitable Binder or Antimicrobial Agent in Meat and Poultry Products with Standards of Identity or Composition" (68 FR 22576, 4/29/03). This direct final rule amended the Federal meat and poultry products inspection regulations by permitting the use of any safe and suitable binder or antimicrobial agent in the production of meat and poultry products that are subject to a standard of identity or composition that provides for the use of such ingredients. The use of these ingredients must be consistent with any limitations or conditions of use prescribed in applicable FSIS or Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

regulations. On December 23, 1999, FSIS published in the Federal Register, a final rule entitled, "Food Ingredients and Sources of Radiation Listed or Approved for Use in the Production of Meat and Poultry Products." The final rule provided a comprehensive background regarding the status of food ingredients and sources of radiation currently listed in titles 9 and 21 of the CFR, and explained the process by which FDA and FSIS would be working together regarding future requests for approvals of ingredients to be used in meat and poultry products, which are under USDA jurisdiction.

After publishing that rule, the two agencies entered into a memorandum of understanding that outlines the responsibilities of each Agency during the joint review of new ingredients or new uses of previously approved ingredients. Under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), FDA has the responsibility for determining the safety of ingredients. FSIS has authority under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) and the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA) to determine whether new ingredients, or new uses of previously approved ingredients, are suitable for their intended use in meat and poultry products.

FSIS received no comments in response to the direct final rule published on April 29, 2003. Therefore, the amendments to the regulations will be effective on June 30, 2003.

# **Additional Public Notification**

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to better ensure that minorities, women, and persons with disabilities are aware of this notice, FSIS will announce it and make copies of this Federal Register publication available through the FSIS Constituent Update. FSIS provides a weekly Constituent Update, which is communicated via Listserv, a free e-mail subscription service. In addition, the update is available on-line through the FSIS web page located at http:// www.fsis.usda.gov. The update is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, Federal Register notices, FSIS public meetings, recalls, and any other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents/ stakeholders. The constituent Listserv consists of industry, trade, and farm groups, consumer interest groups, allied health professionals, scientific professionals, and other individuals that have requested to be included. Through the Listserv and web page, FSIS is able

to provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience.

For more information contact the Congressional and Public Affairs Office, at (202) 720–9113. To be added to the free e-mail subscription service (Listserv) go to the "Constituent Update" page on the FSIS web site at <a href="http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/update/update.htm">http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/update/update.htm</a>. Click on the "Subscribe to the Constituent Update Listserv" link, then fill out and submit the form.

Done at Washington, DC, on October 29, 2003.

Garry L. McKee,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03–27591 Filed 10–31–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NE-40-AD; Amendment 39-13357; AD 2003-22-09]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney PW4074, PW4074D, PW4077, PW4077D, PW4084, PW4084D, PW4090, PW4090D, PW4090–3, and PW4098 Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for Pratt & Whitney PW4074, PW4074D, PW4077, PW4077D, PW4084, PW4084D, PW4090, PW4090D, PW4090-3, and PW4098 turbofan engines. This AD requires borescope inspection of the No. 3 bearing weep tube, on engines with high oil consumption that troubleshooting procedures fail to determine the source of oil loss. This AD also requires for all engines, initial and repetitive visual inspections of the turbine exhaust case (TEC) in the vicinity of the No 3 bearing oil vent tube for evidence of oil wetting or staining. If the vent tube borescope inspection is unsuccessful due to tube blockage, this AD also requires borescope inspections of the high pressure turbine (HPT) assembly for oil wetting or staining. This AD also requires removal of the HPT assembly and replacement of any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found. This AD is prompted by reports of engine HPT assembly hardware being damaged as a result of thermal distress from oil

igniting after leaking from the No. 3 bearing compartment. We are issuing this AD to prevent thermal distressed HPT assembly hardware from remaining in service, which could result in a cracked HPT stage 1 disk or HPT stage 1–2 air seal and an uncontained engine failure.

**DATES:** Effective December 3, 2003. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations as of December 3, 2003.

We must receive any comments on this AD by January 2, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this AD:

- By mail: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003–NE– 40–AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299.
  - By fax: (781) 238–7055.
- By e-mail: 9-ane-

adcomment@faa.gov.

You can get the service information referenced in this AD from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565–7700; fax (860) 565–1605.

You may examine the AD docket, by appointment, at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. You may examine the service information, by appointment, at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keith Lardie, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803–5299; telephone (781) 238–7189; fax (781) 238–7199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is aware of seven reports of Pratt & Whitney PW4074, PW4074D, PW4077, PW4077D, PW4084, PW4084D, PW4090, PW4090D, PW4090-3, and PW4098 turbofan engines with HPT thermal distress caused by ignition of oil in cavities of the HPT assembly. This oil ignition was the result of oil leaking from the No. 3 bearing compartment. Five of those engines were approaching or exceeded high oil consumption limits. Four of the engines had distress resulting in significant damage to the HPT assembly. Over time, the increased temperatures from oil ignition can cause cracking of the HPT stage 1 disk antirotation lugs and HPT stage 1–2 air seal that may result in an uncontained engine failure. The root cause of the oil leakage is currently unknown. The manufacturer suspects the following three causes:

- Clogging of the oil passages on the No. 3 seal plates.
- Wear on the anti-rotation slots on the No. 3 carbon seal carriers.
- Loose stack of the No. 3 bearing compartment.

#### **Relevant Service Information**

We have reviewed and approved the technical contents of Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. PW4G–112–A72–257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003, that describes procedures for:

- Borescope inspection of the No. 3 bearing weep tube, on engines with high oil consumption that troubleshooting procedures fail to determine the source of oil loss.
- For all engines, initial and repetitive visual inspections of the TEC, in the vicinity of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly and borescope inspections of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly, for evidence of oil wetting or staining.
- Borescope inspection of the HPT assembly for evidence of oil wetting or staining if the borescope inspection of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly is unsuccessful due to blockage.
- Removal of the engine if oil wetting or staining is found.

# Differences Between This AD and the Service Information

Although ASB No. PW4G–112–A72–257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003, requires removal of the engine from service if oil wetting or staining is found, this AD requires removal of the HPT assembly and replacement of any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of This AD

The unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop on other Pratt & Whitney PW4074, PW4074D, PW4077D, PW4077D, PW4084, PW4084D, PW4090, PW4090D, PW4090—3, and PW4098 turbofan engines of the same type design. We are issuing this AD to prevent thermal distressed HPT assembly hardware to remain in service, which could result in a cracked HPT stage 1 disk or HPT stage 1—2 air seal and an uncontained engine failure. This AD requires:

• Borescope inspection of the No. 3 bearing weep tube on engines with high oil consumption that troubleshooting procedures fail to determine the source of oil loss.

- For all engines, initial and repetitive visual inspections of the TEC, in the vicinity of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly and borescope inspections of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly, for evidence of oil wetting or staining.
- Borescope inspections of the HPT assembly for oil wetting or staining, if the vent tube borescope inspection is unsuccessful due to tube blockage.
- Removal of the HPT assembly and replacement of any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found.

You must use the service information described previously to perform the actions required by this AD.

# FAA's Determination of the Effective Date

Since an unsafe condition exists that requires the immediate adoption of this AD, we have found that notice and opportunity for public comment before issuing this AD are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

# Changes to 14 CFR Part 39—Effect on the AD

On July 10, 2002, we issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47998, July 22, 2002), which governs our AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to special flight permits, alternative methods of compliance, and altered products. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

#### **Interim Action**

These actions are interim actions and we may take further rulemaking actions in the future, when the manufacturer's investigation is completed.

### **Comments Invited**

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment; however, we invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003–NE–40–AD" in the subject line of your comments. If you want us to acknowledge receipt of your mailed comments, send us a self-addressed, stamped postcard with the docket number written on it; we will datestamp your postcard and mail it back to

you. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify it. If a person contacts us verbally, and that contact relates to a substantive part of this AD, we will summarize the contact and place the summary in the docket. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the AD in light of those comments.

We are reviewing the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clear, and your suggestions to improve the clarity of our communications with you. You may get more information about plain language at <a href="http://www.faa.gov/language">http://www.faa.gov/language</a> and <a href="http://www.plainlanguage.gov">http://www.plainlanguage.gov</a>.

## **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD Docket (including any comments and service information), by appointment, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. See ADDRESSES for the location.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003–NE–40–AD" in your request.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator,

the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**2003–22–09 Pratt & Whitney:** Amendment 39–13357. Docket No. 2003–NE–40–AD.

#### **Effective Date**

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective December 3, 2003.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability: (c) This AD applies to Pratt & Whitney PW4074, PW4074D, PW4077, PW4077D, PW4084, PW4084D, PW4090, PW4090D, PW4090—3, and PW4098 turbofan engines. These engines are installed on, but not limited to, Boeing 777 series airplanes.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD is prompted by reports of engine high pressure turbine (HPT) assembly hardware being damaged as a result of thermal distress from oil igniting after leaking from the No. 3 bearing compartment. We are issuing this AD to prevent thermal distressed HPT assembly hardware from remaining in service, which could result in a cracked HPT stage 1 disk and HPT stage 1–2 air seal and an uncontained engine failure.

#### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified unless the actions have already been done.

## **Credit for Previous Inspections**

(f) Inspections performed before the effective date of this AD, using Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. PW4G–112–A72–257, dated June 30, 2003, may be counted toward satisfying the initial and repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (g) through (k) of this AD.

# **Borescope Inspection of Engines With High Oil Consumption**

- (g) For engines with high oil consumption that troubleshooting procedures fail to determine the source of oil loss, borescopeinspect No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly and or HPT assembly within 100 cycles-inservice (CIS) of the high oil consumption event, using paragraphs (g)(1) through (g)(2) of this AD. Information on troubleshooting engines with high oil consumption can be found in Boeing 777 Fault Isolation Manual (FIM), section 71–05, Task 830, dated January 5, 2003. See paragraph (l) of this AD for a definition of high oil consumption.
- (1) Borescope-inspect the  $\bar{No}$ . 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly for evidence of oil

wetting or staining. Follow Step 3, paragraphs 1. through 1.A.(8)(a) of Accomplishment Instructions of Pratt & Whitney ASB No. PW4G–112–A72–257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.

- (2) If the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube is blocked and attempts to clear it are unsuccessful, borescope-inspect the HPT assembly, following Step 4, paragraphs 1. through 1.B(14) of Accomplishment Instructions of ASB No. PW4G-112-A72-257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.
- (3) Remove the HPT assembly within 100 CIS of the high oil consumption event if evidence of oil wetting or staining is found in the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube or on the HPT first stage disk.
- (4) Replace any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found.

# **Turbine Exhaust Case (TEC) Inspections Of All Engines**

- (h) Inspect the TEC of all engines, within 500 hours-in-service (HIS) after the effective date of this AD as follows:
- (1) Visually inspect the TEC in the vicinity of the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly for evidence of oil wetting or staining, using Figure 2 of Pratt & Whitney ASB No. PW4G—112—A72—257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003, for location of inspection.
- (2) If evidence of oil wetting or staining is found at the TEC, borescope-inspect the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly within 100 additional CIS, to confirm the oil is from the vent tube. Follow Step 1, paragraphs 1.B. through 1.D.(8)(a) of Accomplishment Instructions of Pratt & Whitney ASB No. PW4G-112-A72-257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.
- (3) If the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube is blocked and attempts to clear it are unsuccessful, borescope-inspect the HPT assembly following Step 4, paragraphs 1. through 1.B.(14) of Accomplishment Instructions of ASB No. PW4G–112–A72–257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.
- (4) Remove the HPT assembly within 100 CIS since performing the visual inspection of the TEC specified in paragraph (h)(1) of this AD, if evidence of oil wetting or staining is found in the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube or found on the HPT first stage disk.
- (5) Replace any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found.

# **Borescope Inspections of All Engines**

- (i) Borescope-inspect the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly of all engines at or before accumulating 600 CIS or 2,000 HIS, whichever occurs first, after the effective date of this AD, as follows:
- (1) Borescope-inspect the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube assembly for evidence of oil wetting or staining. Follow Step 2, paragraphs 1. through 1.A.(8) of Accomplishment Instructions of Pratt & Whitney ASB No. PW4G–112–A72–257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.
- (2) If the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube is blocked and attempts to clear it are unsuccessful, borescope-inspect the HPT assembly following Step 4, paragraphs 1. through 1.B.(14) of Accomplishment

Instructions of ASB No. PW4G-112-A72-257, Revision 1, dated August 22, 2003.

- (3) Remove the HPT assembly within 100 CIS since performing the visual inspection of the TEC specified in paragraph (h)(1) of this AD, if evidence of oil wetting or staining is found in the No. 3 bearing oil vent tube or found on the HPT first stage disk.
- (4) Replace any heat distressed HPT assembly hardware if oil wetting or staining is found.

#### **Repetitive Inspections of All Engines**

(j) Repeat the inspections of the TEC of all engines by following paragraphs (h)(1) through (h)(3) of this AD, at intervals not to exceed 500 HIS since last visual check of the TEC, and disposition the engine as specified in paragraphs (h)(4) through (h)(5) of this AD.

(k) Repeat borescope inspections of all engines by following paragraphs (i)(1) through (i)(2) of this AD, at intervals not to exceed 600 CIS or 2,000 HIS since last borescope inspection of the No. 3 oil vent tube, and disposition the engine as specified in paragraphs (i)(3) through (i)(4) of this AD.

#### Definition

(l) For the purposes of this AD, high oil consumption is defined as an engine consuming more than 0.5 quarts of oil per hour, as provided in the Boeing 777 FIM.

### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(m) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(n) You must follow Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin specified in Table 1 to perform the inspections required by this AD. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service bulletin in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You can get a copy from Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; telephone (860) 565–7700; fax (860) 565–1605. You may review copies at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

TABLE 1.—INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Alert service bulletin No.	Page Nos.	Revision	Date
PW4G-112-A72-257  Total pages: 22	1–5 6–7 8 9 10 11 12 13–22	1	August 22, 2003. June 30, 2003. August 22, 2003. June 30, 2003. August 22, 2003. June 30, 2003. August 22, 2003. June 30, 2003.

#### **Related Information**

(o) Boeing 777 Fault Isolation Manual, section 71–05, Task 830, dated January 5, 2003, pertains to high oil consumption troubleshooting procedures referred to in this AD.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on October 24, 2003.

## Peter A. White,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–27327 Filed 10–31–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-06-AD; Amendment 39-13356; AD 2003-22-08]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 and -11F Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 and –11F

airplanes, that requires a one-time inspection of the barrel nut holes of the upper spar caps and skin panel of the horizontal stabilizer for corrosion, and follow-on and corrective actions if necessary. This action is necessary to prevent such corrosion, which could result in structural damage and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective December 8, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 8, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800–0024). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron

Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712–4137; telephone (562) 627–5224; fax (562) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 and –11F airplanes was published in the Federal Register on May 29, 2003 (68 FR 32001). That action proposed to require a one-time inspection of the barrel nut holes of the upper spar caps and skin panel of the horizontal stabilizer for corrosion, and follow-on and corrective actions if necessary.

#### Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comment received.

## **Request To Extend Compliance Time**

The commenter requests that the compliance time for the proposed one-time inspection be extended from 18 months to 36 months, and that the proposed AD be revised to include a new revision to the referenced service