

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**11 CFR Part 114****[NOTICE 2003—18]****Rulemaking Petition: Payroll Deduction Contributions to a Trade Association's Separate Segregated Fund****AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.**ACTION:** Rulemaking petition: notice of availability.

SUMMARY: On September 3, 2003, the Commission received a Petition for Rulemaking from America's Community Bankers ("ACB"), a trade association, and its separate segregated fund ("SSF"), COMPAC. The Petition urges the Commission to revise the rule prohibiting the use by member corporations of payroll deductions for contributions to a trade association's separate segregated fund. The Petition is available for inspection in the Commission's Public Records Office, through its Faxline service, and on its Web site, <http://www.fec.gov>.

DATES: Statements in support of or in opposition to the Petition must be submitted on or before November 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Mr. John C. Vergelli, Acting Assistant General Counsel, and must be submitted in either electronic or written form. Electronic mail comments should be sent to payrollded03@fec.gov and must include the full name, electronic mail address, and postal service address of the commenter. Electronic mail comments that do not contain the full name, electronic mail address, and postal service address of the commenter will not be considered. If the electronic mail comments include an attachment, the attachment must be in the Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) or Microsoft Word (.doc) format. Faxed comments should be sent to (202) 219-3923, with printed copy follow-up to ensure legibility. Written comments and printed copies of faxed comments should be sent to the Federal Election Commission, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20463. Commenters are strongly encouraged to submit comments electronically to ensure timely receipt and consideration. The Commission will make every effort to have public comments posted on its web site within ten business days of the close of the comment period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John C. Vergelli, Acting Assistant General Counsel, or Ms. Esa L. Sferra, Law Clerk, 999 E Street, NW.,

Washington, DC 20463, (202) 694-1650 or (800) 424-9530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Election Commission ("Commission") has received a Petition for Rulemaking from America's Community Bankers and its SSF. Petitioners ask that the Commission revise 11 CFR 114.8(e)(3) to permit, rather than prohibit, the use of payroll deductions for contributions to a trade association's separate segregated fund by a member corporation's executive and administrative personnel.

The Commission seeks comments on this issue. In particular, the Commission asks: Do the proposals by the petitioners represent permissible interpretations of the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended, specifically 2 U.S.C. 441b? If so, which policy and factual considerations support, and which oppose, petitioners' proposal?

Copies of the Petition for Rulemaking are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Records Office, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20463, Monday through Friday between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., and on the Commission's Web site, <http://www.fec.gov>. Interested persons may also obtain a copy of the Petition by dialing the Commission's Faxline service at (202) 501-3413 and following its instructions, at any time of the day and week. Request document #255.

Consideration of the merits of the Petition will be deferred until the close of the comment period. If the Commission decides that the Petition has merit, it may begin a rulemaking proceeding. Any subsequent action taken by the Commission will be announced in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 17, 2003.

Michael E. Toner,

Commissioner, Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-26749 Filed 10-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39****[Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD]****RIN 2120-AA64****Airworthiness Directives; AeroSpace Technologies of Australia Pty Ltd. Models N22B, N22S, and N24A Airplanes**

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all AeroSpace Technologies of Australia Pty Ltd. (ASTA) Models N22B, N22S, and N24A airplanes. This proposed AD would require you to repetitively inspect wing fittings for fatigue defects, replace or correct defective wing fittings, and replace the stub wing front spar assembly and wing fitting when fatigue life limits are reached. This proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Australia. We are issuing this proposed AD to detect and correct defects in the wing strut upper end fittings, wing strut lower end fittings, stub wing strut pick up fittings, and the stub wing front spar assembly. These defects could result in failure of the fittings or spar assembly and lead to reduced structural capability or reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive any comments on this proposed AD by December 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following to submit comments on this proposed AD:

- *By mail:* FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
- *By fax:* (816) 329-3771.
- *By e-mail:* 9-ACE-7-Docket@faa.gov.

Comments sent electronically must contain "Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD" in the subject line. If you send comments electronically as attached electronic files, the files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII.

You may get the service information identified in this proposed AD from Nomad Operations, Aerospace Support Division, Boeing Australia, PO Box 767, Brisbane, QLD 4000 Australia; telephone 61 7 3306 3366; facsimile 61 7 3306 3111.

You may view the AD docket at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Office hours are 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (562) 627-5224; facsimile (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

How do I comment on this proposed AD? We invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD" in the subject line of your comments. If you want us to acknowledge receipt of your mailed comments, send us a self-addressed, stamped postcard with the docket number written on it. We will date-stamp your postcard and mail it back to you.

Are there any specific portions of this proposed AD I should pay attention to? We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. If you contact us through a nonwritten communication and that contact relates to a substantive part of this proposed AD, we will summarize the contact and place the summary in the docket. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD in light of those comments and contacts.

Discussion

What events have caused this proposed AD? The Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA), which is the airworthiness authority for Australia, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all ASTA Models N22B, N22S, and N24A airplanes. The CASA reports that fatigue tests on the wing strut upper end fitting have shown premature failures and rapid crack growth. Also, fatigue tests on the wing strut lower end fittings, stub wing strut pick up fitting, and stub wing front spar assembly have identified appropriate fatigue lives for the respective parts.

What are the consequences if the condition is not corrected? Fatigue loading could result in failure of the wing strut upper end fitting, wing strut lower end fittings, stub wing strut pick

up fitting, or stub wing front spar assembly. Such failure could lead to reduced structural capability or reduced controllability of the airplane.

Is there service information that applies to this subject? Boeing Australia (formerly ASTA) Aerospace Technologies of Australia has issued:

- Nomad Alert Service Bulletin No. ANMD-57-12, Revision 2, dated May 25, 1999;
 - Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, dated February 8, 1996; and
 - Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, Revision 1, dated September 3, 2002.
- What are the provisions of this service information? The service bulletins include procedures for:
- Performing a fatigue inspection of the stub wing strut pick-up fittings for cracks;
 - Replacing the stub wing strut pick-up fittings;
 - Inspecting (visually) the strut to upper strut fittings bolt holes for scoring, ovality, fretting, corrosion, and dimensions;
 - Inspecting (eddy current method) the strut to upper strut fittings bolt holes for cracks;
 - Modifying (line ream) the strut to upper strut fitting bolt holes;
 - Replacing bolts for the strut upper end fittings; and
 - Replacing the strut upper end fittings.

What action did the CASA take? The CASA classified these service bulletins as mandatory and issued these Australian ADs in order to ensure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Australia:

- AD Number AD/GAF-N22/2, Amendment 3, dated January 28, 2003; and
- AD Number AD/GAF-N22/70, Amendment 2, dated January 28, 2003.

FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

What has FAA decided? We have examined the CASA's findings,

reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop on other ASTA Models N22B, N22S, and N24A airplanes of the same type design that are registered in the United States, we are proposing AD action to detect and correct defects in the wing strut upper end fittings, wing strut lower end fittings, stub wing strut pick up fittings, and the stub wing front spar assembly. These defects could result in failure of the fittings or spar assembly and lead to reduced structural capability or reduced controllability of the airplane.

What would this proposed AD require? This proposed AD would require you to incorporate the actions in the previously-referenced service bulletin.

How does the revision to 14 CFR part 39 affect this proposed AD? On July 10, 2002, we published a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs FAA's AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

Costs of Compliance

How many airplanes would this proposed AD impact? We estimate that this proposed AD affects 15 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What would be the cost impact of this proposed AD on owners/operators of the affected airplanes? We estimate the following costs to accomplish the proposed inspection of the wing strut upper end fitting bolt holes:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
12 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$780	Not applicable	\$780	15 × \$780 = \$11,700

We estimate the following costs to accomplish the proposed inspection of the stub wing strut pick up fittings:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
16 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$1,040	Not applicable	\$1,040	15 × \$1,040 = \$15,600

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements of the wing strut upper end fittings that

would be required based on the results of the proposed inspection or on reaching the fatigue life limit. We have

no way of determining the number of airplanes that may need such replacement:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane
10 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$650	\$679	\$650 + \$679 = \$1,329

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements of the wing strut lower end fittings that

would be required based on reaching the fatigue life limit. We have no way

of determining the number of airplanes that may need such replacement:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane
12 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$780	\$193	\$780 + \$193 = \$973

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements of the stub wing strut pick up fittings

that would be required based on the results of the proposed inspection or on reaching the fatigue life limit. We have

no way of determining the number of airplanes that may need such replacement:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane
80 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$5,200	\$985	\$5,200 + \$985 = \$6,185

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements of the stub wing front spar assembly that

would be required based on reaching the fatigue life limit. We have no way

of determining the number of airplanes that may need such replacement:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane
370 workhours × \$65 per hour = \$24,050	\$4,820	\$24,050 + \$4,820 = \$28,870

Regulatory Findings

Would this proposed AD impact various entities? We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Would this proposed AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed AD:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get

a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include "AD Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD" in your request.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

AeroSpace Technologies of Australia Pty Ltd.: Docket No. 2003-CE-37-AD

When Is the Last Date I Can Submit Comments on This Proposed AD Action?

(a) We must receive comments on this proposed airworthiness directive (AD) action by December 4, 2003.

Are Any Other ADs Affected by This Action?

(b) None.

What Airplanes Are Affected by This AD?

(c) This AD affects Models N22B, N22S, and N24A airplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

What Is the Unsafe Condition Presented in This AD?

(d) This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Australia. The actions specified in this AD are intended to detect and correct defects in the wing strut upper end fittings, wing strut lower end fittings, stub wing strut pick up fittings, and the stub wing front spar assembly. These defects could result in failure of the fittings or spar assembly and lead to reduced structural capability or reduced controllability of the airplane.

What Must I Do To Address This Problem?

(e) To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Inspect the wing strut upper end fitting bolt holes: (i) Visually inspect for scoring, ovality, fretting, corrosion, and dimensions; and (ii) Inspect, using eddy current inspection, for cracks.	For Models N22S and N24A: Initially inspect before 3,600 hours time-in-service (TIS) on the wing strut upper end fitting or within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Repetitively inspect thereafter at every 900 hours TIS until 14,400 hours TIS are accumulated on the wing strut upper end fitting. For Model N22B: Initially inspect before 5,400 hours TIS on the wing strut upper end fitting or within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Repetitively inspect thereafter at every 1,200 hours TIS until 14,400 hours TIS are accumulated on the wing strut upper end fitting.	Follow the Accomplishment Instructions in Boeing Australia Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Alert Service Bulletin No. ANMD-57-12, Revision 2, dated May 25, 1999.
(2) Complete corrective actions for defects of the wing strut upper end fittings: (i) If a crack is found or the hole in the strut upper end fitting is damaged and will not clean up, replace the wing strut upper end fittings. (ii) If the hole in the strut is oval or damaged, and the oversize line reamer will not repair it: (A) Get a repair scheme from the manufacturer; and (B) Follow this repair scheme. (iii) If scoring, fretting, or corrosion is found, or all dimensions are within limits, line ream the hole and replace the bolt.	Before further flight after the inspection required in paragraph (e)(1) of this AD, unless already accomplished.	Follow the Accomplishment Instructions in Boeing Australia Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Alert Service Bulletin No. ANMD-57-12, Revision 2, dated May 25, 1999; and any repair scheme obtained from Nomad Operations, Aerospace Support Division, Boeing Australia, PO Box 767, Brisbane, QLD 4000 Australia; telephone 61 7 3306 3366; facsimile 61 7 3306 3111. Obtain approval of this repair scheme through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD.
(3) Replace the wing strut upper end fittings	Before further flight when cracks are found by the inspection required in paragraph (e)(1); and upon the accumulation of 14,400 hours TIS on the fitting or within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. For Models N22S and N24A: start repetitive inspections of paragraph (e)(1) of this AD when 7,200 hours TIS are accumulated on the wing strut upper end fitting. For Models N22B: start repetitive inspections of paragraph (e)(1) of this AD when 10,800 hours TIS are accumulated on the wing strut upper end fitting.	Follow the Accomplishment Instructions in Boeing Australia Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Alert Service Bulletin No. ANMD-57-12, Revision 2, dated May 25, 1999.
(4) Replace the wing strut lower end fittings: (i) Get a repair scheme from the manufacturer; and (ii) Follow this repair scheme.	Upon the accumulation of 14,000 hours TIS on the fitting or within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.	Follow a repair scheme from Nomad Operations, Aerospace Support Division, Boeing Australia, PO Box 767, Brisbane, QLD 4000 Australia; telephone 61 7 3306 3366; facsimile 61 7 3306 3111. Get approval of this repair scheme through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD.
(5) Inspect the stub wing strut pick up fittings for cracks.	Initially inspect upon the accumulation of 5,400 hours TIS on the fitting or within the next 300 hours TIS on the fitting after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Repetitively inspect thereafter at every 1,800 hours TIS until 18,800 hours TIS are accumulated on the stub wing strut pick up fitting.	Follow the Accomplishment Instructions in Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, dated February 8, 1996; or Boeing Australia Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, Revision 1, dated September 3, 2002; and the applicable airplane maintenance manual.
(6) Replace the stub wing strut pick up fittings ..	Before further flight when cracks are found after the inspection required in paragraph (e)(5) of this AD, unless already accomplished; and upon the accumulation of 18,800 hours TIS or 300 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.	Follow the Accomplishment Instructions in Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, dated February 8, 1996; or Boeing Australia Aerospace Technologies of Australia Nomad Service Bulletin No. NMD-53-18, Revision 1, dated September 3, 2002; and the applicable airplane maintenance manual.

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(7) Replace the stub wing front spar assembly: (i) Get a repair scheme from the manufacturer; and (ii) Follow this repair scheme.	Upon the accumulation of 25,000 hours TIS on the fitting or within the next 100 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.	Follow a repair scheme from Nomad Operations, Aerospace Support Division, Boeing Australia, PO Box 767, Brisbane, QLD 4000 Australia; telephone 61 7 3306 3366; facsimile 61 7 3306 3111. Get approval of this repair scheme through the FAA at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD.

What About Alternative Methods of Compliance?

(f) You may request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD by following the procedures in 14 CFR 39.13. Send your request to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA. For information on any already approved alternative methods of compliance, contact Ron Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (562) 627-5224; facsimile (562) 627-5210.

How Do I Get Copies of the Documents Referenced in This AD?

(g) You may get copies of the documents referenced in this AD from Nomad Operations, Aerospace Support Division, Boeing Australia, PO Box 767, Brisbane, QLD 4000 Australia; telephone 61 7 3306 3366; facsimile 61 7 3306 3111. You may view these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Is There Other Information That Relates to This Subject?

(h) These Australian ADs also address the subject of this AD: AD Number AD/GAF-N22/2, Amendment 3, dated January 28, 2003, and AD Number AD/GAF-N22/70, Amendment 2, dated January 28, 2003.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 20, 2003.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-26899 Filed 10-23-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

15 CFR Parts 740 and 774

[Docket No. 031016261-3261-01]

RIN 0694-AC95

Computer Technology and Software, and Microprocessor Technology Eligible for Export or Reexport Under License Exception

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) proposes to expand the availability of license exceptions for exports and reexports of computer technology and software, and microprocessor technology on the Commerce Control List (CCL) of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) under Export Classification Control Numbers (ECCNs) 3E002, 4D001 and 4E001. These ECCNs control technology and software that can be used for the development, production, or use of computers, and development and production of microprocessors. The goal of this proposed rule is to solicit public comments to assist BIS in evaluating the effect of the proposed amendments. In addition, this proposed rule requests industry to suggest alternatives for a different method or parameter for controlling exports of computers and microprocessors, and the technology and software therefore.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (four copies) should be sent to Sharron Cook, Regulatory Policy Division, Office of Exporter Services, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., P.O. Box 273, Room 2705, Washington, DC 20230; or one copy e-mailed to: scCook@bis.doc.gov; or faxed to 202-482-3355.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharron Cook, Senior Export Policy Analyst, Office of Exporter Services, Regulatory Policy Division, Bureau of Industry and Security, Telephone: (202) 482-2440.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) proposes to expand license exception availability under the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) for certain exports of computer technology and software and microprocessor technology. Industry has requested that BIS raise the Composite Theoretical Performance (CTP) eligibility level for computer and microprocessor technology and software to correspond with that for equipment, in order to

enable companies to provide access to this technology and software to foreign nationals working in their U.S. and foreign facilities.

Computer Technology and Software

The EAR control the export and reexport of technology and software for the development, production, or use of computers with a CTP greater than 28,000 Millions of Theoretical Operations per Second (MTOPS) under Export Control Classification Numbers (ECCNs) 4D001 and 4E001 of the Commerce Control List (CCL). Such technology and software requires a license, for national security (NS) reasons, to all destinations except Canada. However, ECCNs 4D001 and 4E001 provide that License Exception TSR (section 740.6 of the EAR) is available for exports and reexports of such technology and software: (1) For computers of unlimited CTP to 22 countries; and (2) for computers with a CTP less than or equal to 33,000 MTOPS to countries listed in Country Group B (Supplement No. 1 to part 740). License Exception TSR availability for computer software and technology is inconsistent with License Exception CTP availability for computer hardware in two ways: (1) The countries eligible; and (2) the MTOPS level.

On June 4, 2002, BIS published a notice of inquiry (67 FR 39675), requesting information from industry to assist BIS in evaluating the license exception eligibility level of 33,000 MTOPS for exports and reexports of computer technology and software controlled under ECCNs 4D001 and 4E001. BIS received four comments in response to the notice of inquiry, all stating that the license exception threshold should be adjusted.

This proposed rule would remove License Exception TSR eligibility for certain computer technology and software under ECCNs 4D001 and 4E001, but would make this computer technology and software eligible for License Exception CTP (section 740.7 of the EAR). License Exception CTP currently only applies to computer hardware classified under ECCN 4A003. The 22 countries that are currently eligible to receive technology and