

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[IA 187-1187; FRL-7569-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Iowa**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the state of Iowa. The purpose of this revision is to approve the 1998 and 2000 updates to the Polk County Board of Health Rules and Regulations, Air Pollution, Chapter V. These revisions will help to ensure consistency between the applicable local agency rules and Federally-approved rules, and ensure Federal enforceability of the applicable parts of the local agency air programs.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by November 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either by mail or electronically. Written comments should be mailed to Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Electronic comments should be sent either to Heather Hamilton at hamilton.heather@epa.gov or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in "What action is EPA taking" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heather Hamilton at (913) 551-7039, or by e-mail at hamilton.heather@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be

addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 25, 2003.

Nat Scurry,*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

[FR Doc. 03-25397 Filed 10-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Parts 70 and 71**

[CA102-OPP; FRL-7571-4]

Proposed Approval of Revision of 34 Clean Air Act Title V Operating Permits Programs**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision of the following 34 Clean Air Act (CAA) title V Operating Permits Programs in the State of California: Amador County Air Pollution Control District (APCD), Bay Area AQMD, Butte County AQMD, Calaveras County APCD, Colusa County APCD, El Dorado County APCD, Feather River AQMD, Glenn County APCD, Great Basin Unified APCD, Imperial County APCD, Kern County APCD, Lake County AQMD, Lassen County APCD, Mariposa County APCD, Mendocino County APCD, Modoc County APCD, Mojave Desert AQMD, Monterey Bay Unified APCD, North Coast Unified AQMD, Northern Sierra AQMD, Northern Sonoma County APCD, Placer County APCD, Sacramento Metro AQMD, San Diego County APCD, San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD, San Luis Obispo County APCD, Santa Barbara County APCD, Shasta County APCD, Siskiyou County APCD, South Coast AQMD, Tehama County APCD, Tuolumne County APCD, Ventura County APCD, and Yolo-Solano AQMD. (EPA's interim approval of Antelope Valley AQMD's title V program expired on January 21, 2003. (Since a full approval of Antelope Valley AQMD's title V program will be

necessary to return the program to the District, EPA will address the title V program in that district in a separate rulemaking action.) This program revision is a response to a Notice of Deficiency (NOD) that EPA published in the **Federal Register**. See 67 FR 35990 (May 22, 2002). The NOD explained EPA's finding that the State's agricultural permitting exemption at Health and Safety Code 42310(e) unduly restricted the 34 local districts' ability to adequately administer and enforce their title V programs. Subsequently, we partially withdrew the title V programs of 34 air districts in California. See 67 FR 63551 (October 15, 2002). On September 22, 2003, the Governor of California signed SB 700, which revised State law to remove the agricultural permitting exemption. The legislation eliminates the exemption and therefore corrects the deficiency we identified in the May 22, 2002 NOD. Therefore, today EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the 34 district title V programs because districts now have the authority to permit all major stationary sources, including those agricultural sources that were formerly exempt from title V under State law. Finalization of this approval is contingent upon our receipt of a legal opinion from the California Attorney General that confirms that the elimination of the agricultural permitting exemption from State law provides the 34 districts with authority to issue title V permits to major stationary agricultural sources.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by November 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this proposed action should be addressed to Gerardo Rios, Chief, Permits Office, Air Division (AIR-3), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, 94105, or sent via e-mail to rios.gerardo@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gerardo Rios, EPA Region IX, at (415) 972-3974 or rios.gerardo@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, "we," "us," or "our" means EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Description of Proposed Action
- III. Effect of EPA's Rulemaking
- IV. Request for Public Comment
- V. Administrative Requirements

I. Background

Title V of the CAA Amendments of 1990 required all State permitting authorities to develop operating permits programs that met certain federal criteria codified at 40 Code of Federal

Regulations (CFR) part 70. On November 30, 2001, we promulgated final full approval of 34 California districts' title V operating permits programs. See 66 FR 63503 (December 7, 2001). Our final rulemaking was challenged by several environmental and community groups alleging that the full approval was unlawfully based, in part, on an exemption in section 42310(e) of the California Health and Safety Code of major agricultural sources from title V permitting. EPA entered into a settlement of this litigation which required, in part, that the Agency propose to partially withdraw approval of the 34 fully approved title V programs in California.

Sections 70.10(b) and 70.10(c) provide that EPA may withdraw a 40 CFR part 70 program approval, in whole or in part, whenever the permitting authority's legal authority does not meet the requirements of part 70 and the permitting authority fails to take corrective action. To commence regulatory action to partially withdraw title V program approval, EPA published the NOD in the **Federal Register**. Pursuant to 40 CFR 70.10(b)(2), publication of the NOD commenced a 90-day period during which the State of California had to take significant action to assure adequate administration and enforcement of the local districts' programs. As described in EPA's NOD, the Agency determined that "significant action" in this instance meant the revision or removal of California Health and Safety Code 42310(e), so that the local air pollution control districts could adequately administer and enforce the title V permitting program for stationary agricultural sources that are major sources of air pollution.

During the 90-day period that the State was provided to take the necessary corrective action, EPA proposed to partially withdraw title V program approval in each of the 34 California districts with full program approval. See 67 FR 48426 (July 24, 2002). Since the State did not take the necessary action to assure adequate administration and enforcement of the title V program within the required time frame, EPA took final action, pursuant to our authority at 40 CFR 70.10(b)(2)(i), to partially withdraw approval of the title V programs for the 34 local air districts listed above.

II. Description of Proposed Action

We are proposing to approve the program revision of the 34 Clean Air Act title V Operating Permits programs in the State of California. However, finalization of this proposed rulemaking

is contingent upon our receipt of a legal opinion from the California Attorney General that confirms that the elimination of the agricultural permitting exemption from State law provides the 34 districts with authority to issue title V permits to major stationary agricultural sources. EPA will not promulgate final approval of the program revision until this legal opinion has been received.

III. Effect of EPA's Rulemaking

Our proposal, if finalized, would result in the 34 districts having title V programs that require all major stationary sources to obtain title V operating permits. It would also terminate EPA's implementation of a part 71 Federal operating permit program for State-exempt major stationary agricultural sources within the jurisdiction of the 34 California air districts listed at the beginning of this proposal. If EPA finalizes this rule, EPA would not issue any permits to these sources, since the 34 districts would have the authority to issue title V permits to major agricultural stationary sources beginning on January 1, 2004. Therefore, if EPA finalizes this rule, EPA will no longer require major stationary agricultural sources to submit part 71 permit applications and will suspend any outstanding application deadlines.

The May 22, 2002, NOD started an 18 month sanctions clock pursuant to CAA section 179(b). CAA Sec. 502(i)(1) and (2), 40 CFR 70.4(k) and 70.10(b)(2)–(4). Finalization of today's proposal would terminate this sanctions clock.

IV. Request for Public Comment

We are soliciting public comment on all aspects of this proposal. Written comments will be considered before taking final action. To comment on today's proposal, you should submit comments by mail (in triplicate if possible) as described in the **ADDRESSES** section listed in the front of this document. We will consider any written comments received by November 7, 2003.

V. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as

meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule proposes to approve pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

This proposed rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely proposes to approve an existing requirement under state law, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing revisions to state operating permit programs submitted pursuant to Title V of the CAA, EPA will approve such revisions provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act and EPA's regulations codified at 40 CFR part 70. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a part 70 program revision for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a part 70 program revision, to use VCS in place of a part 70 program revision that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology

Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Dated: September 29, 2003.

Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 9.

[FR Doc. 03-25545 Filed 10-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 141 and 142

[FRL-7571-7]

RIN 2040-AD37

National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending by 60 days the public comment period for a proposed National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LT2ESWTR), which was published in the Federal Register on August 11, 2003. This extended comment period will afford greater opportunity to all interested parties to review and submit comments on the proposal.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 9, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to: Water Docket, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 4101T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. OW-2002-0039. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the instructions provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical inquiries, contact Daniel Schmelling, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (MC 4607M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-5281. For general information contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline, Telephone (800) 426-4791. The Safe Drinking Water Hotline is open Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays, from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., eastern standard time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The comment period for the proposed LT2ESWTR now ends January 9, 2004. This is an extension of 60 days beyond the comment period established in the **Federal Register** on August 11, 2003. Anyone seeking to submit comments must follow the procedures specified in section I.C. of the proposal as published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 47640, August 11, 2003).

The LT2ESWTR applies to all public water systems that use surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water. This proposed regulation would establish additional risk-targeted treatment requirements for *Cryptosporidium*. It also contains provisions to address risks associated with uncovered finished water storage facilities and to ensure systems maintain microbial protection as they take steps to reduce the formation of disinfection byproducts. See the proposal as published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 47640, August 11, 2003) for information regarding public health concerns, proposed regulatory requirements, implementation schedules, estimated costs and benefits, implementation tools, and other issues.

Dated: October 2, 2003.

Michael H. Shapiro,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 03-25546 Filed 10-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 141, 142 and 143

[FRL-7571-8]

RIN 2040-AD38

National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule; National Primary and Secondary Drinking Water Regulations: Approval of Analytical Methods for Chemical Contaminants; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending by 60 days the public comment period for a proposed National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, the Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (DBPR), which was published in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 2003. This extended comment period will afford greater

opportunity to all interested parties to review and submit comments on the proposal.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 16, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to: Water Docket, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 4101T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. OW-2002-0043. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the instructions provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical inquiries, contact Tom Grubbs, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (MC 4607M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone (202) 564-5262. For general information contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline, Telephone (800) 426-4791. The Safe Drinking Water Hotline is open Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays, from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., eastern standard time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The comment period for the proposed Stage 2 DBPR now ends January 16, 2004. This is an extension of 60 days beyond the comment period established in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 2003. Anyone seeking to submit comments must follow the procedures specified in section I.C. of the proposal as published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 49548, August 18, 2003).

The Stage 2 DBPR applies to all public water systems that add a disinfectant other than ultraviolet light. This proposed regulation would establish revised procedures for monitoring and determining compliance with the maximum contaminant levels for trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. It contains specific provisions for consecutive systems. See the proposal as published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 49548, August 18, 2003) for information regarding public health concerns, proposed regulatory requirements, implementation schedules, estimated costs and benefits, implementation tools, and other issues.

Dated: October 2, 2003.

Michael H. Shapiro,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 03-25547 Filed 10-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P