

(grid bearing) approximately 200 feet to the 359-foot contour;

Thence southerly along said 359-foot contour (msl) as it lies along the Westerly shore of Lake Barkley to a point intersecting the easterly edge of an old road (TN State Plane Coordinates: N 782,160; E 1,445,870), said point being along the westerly bank of Rawls Pond and lying approximately 30 feet east of a Sandstone Bluff;

Thence Southerly along the east edge of said road 0.35 mile to a 6" diameter Well Casing (TN SPC: N 780,439; E 1,446,413);

Thence 5 feet east to a fence; thence Southerly along and with said fence 820 feet to a drainage ditch;

Thence N 65°37'E 675 feet to a 26" diameter Red Oak in an existing fence line (TN SPC: N 779,902; E 1,447,025);

Thence Southeasterly along and with said fence approximately 0.6 mile to a point intersecting the South Boundary of Land Between the Lakes (LBL), said point marked by a concrete monument (Corner 10PS-1, TN SPC: N 777,592; E 1,448,808), as shown on TVA Map title "Land Between the Lakes Reservation", drawing number 421B511-1, dated December, 1968;

Thence Westerly along the South Boundary of LBL approximately 30 feet to a point intersecting the 378-foot contour (msl);

Thence along said 378-foot contour (msl) as it meanders in a northerly direction along the westerly shore of Lake Barkley to the Point of Beginning, said tract of land containing 7,518 acres, more or less.

Exhibit B

The right is reserved as may be necessary for the operation of the Barkley Dam and Lake Barkley Project to occasionally overflow, flood, and submerge that portion of the lands described in the attached Exhibit A lying below elevation 378 mean sea level, and to maintain mosquito control in connection with the operation and maintenance of the Barkley Dam and Lake Barkley Project as authorized by the Act of Congress approved 3 September 1954 (Public Law 780, 83rd Congress, 2d Session) and the continuing right to clear and remove any brush, debris, and natural obstruction which, in the opinion of the representative in charge, may be detrimental to the project; provided that no structure for human habitation shall be constructed or maintained on the land, and further provided that no other structure shall be constructed or maintained on the land and no excavation or filling may be performed except as may be approved in writing by said representative of the United States in charge of the project.

[FR Doc. 03-22877 Filed 9-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Business-Cooperative Service

Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed collection, comments requested.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Business-Cooperative Service's (RBS) intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the Rural Cooperative Development Grants program.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by November 7, 2003, to be assured of consideration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Warman, Program Leader, Cooperative Services, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Stop 3250, Room 4016, South Agriculture Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-3250. Telephone (202) 690-1431.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Rural Cooperative Development Grants.

OMB Number: 0570-0006.

Expiration Date of Approval: November 30, 2003.

Type of Request: Intent to extend the clearance for collection of information under RD Instruction 4282-F, Rural Cooperative Development Grants.

Abstract: The primary purpose of the Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) is to promote understanding, use, and development of the cooperative form of business as a viable option for enhancing the income of agricultural producers and other rural residents. The primary objective of the Rural Cooperative Development Grants program is to improve the economic condition of rural areas through cooperative development. Grants will be awarded on a competitive basis to nonprofit corporations and institutions of higher education based on specific selection criteria.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 hours per grant application.

Respondents: Nonprofit corporations and institutions of higher education.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 75.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Number of Responses: 75.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 2,675 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Brigitte Sumter, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, at (202) 692-0042.

Comments: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of

information is necessary for the proper performance of RBS functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of RBS' estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Brigitte Sumter, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Stop 0742, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 7, 2003.

John Rosso,

Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

[FR Doc. 03-22849 Filed 9-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XY-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping Proceedings: Treatment of Section 201 Duties and Countervailing Duties

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is requesting comments on the appropriateness of deducting section 201 duties and countervailing duties from gross unit price in order to determine the applicable export price or constructed export price used in antidumping duty calculations.

DATES: To be assured consideration, initial comments must be received no later than thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal comments must be received no later than forty-five days from the date of publication of this notice.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Central Records Unit, Room

1870, Pennsylvania Avenue and 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20230; Attention: Section 201 Duties.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Erkul, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482-1277.

Background

Several parties have advocated that the Department deduct countervailing duties, as well as duties imposed under section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974 (section 201 duties), from export price (EP) and constructed export price (CEP) in calculations of dumping margins pursuant to sections 772(c)(2)(A) and 772(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Section 772(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires that the Department deduct from EP and CEP any United States import duties included in the price. This statutory deduction existed prior to the passage of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA), and the URAA did not modify it in any respect. In addition, section 772(d) of the Act requires the Department to deduct U.S. selling expenses from CEP. Once again, there was a similar statutory deduction for U.S. selling expenses under the pre-URAA antidumping law.

The Department is seeking comments on the appropriate treatment of section 201 duties and countervailing duties under these provisions in antidumping duty calculations.

Comments—Deadline, Format, and Number of Copies

Parties wishing to comment should file a signed original and six copies of each set of initial and rebuttal comments. All comments will be available for public inspection and photocopying in the Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room B-099, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. on business days. Each person submitting a comment should include the commenter's name and address, and give reasons for any recommendations. In order to ensure timely and complete distribution of comments, the Department recommends the submission of initial and rebuttal comments in electronic form to accompany the required paper copies. Comments filed in electronic form should be submitted on a DOS formatted 3.5" diskette, Iomega Zip disk, or Compact Disc (CD-R or CD-RW).

Comments received in electronic form will be made available to the public in Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the IA Web site at the following address: <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>.

Any questions concerning file formatting, document conversion, access on the Internet, or other electronic filing issues should be addressed to Andrew Lee Beller, Import Administration Webmaster, at (202) 482-0866, e-mail address webmaster_support@ita.doc.gov.

Hearing

After reviewing all comments and rebuttal comments, the Department will determine if a public hearing is warranted, and, if so, will announce a place and time for that hearing.

Dated: September 3, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-22946 Filed 9-8-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-822]

Certain Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from Canada in response to a request by petitioners, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, National Steel Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation. This review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period of August 1, 2001, through July 31, 2002.

We have preliminarily determined that U.S. sales have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results, we will instruct the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between the export price (EP) or constructed export price (CEP) and the NV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. *See Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 9, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christian Hughes or Elfi Blum-Page, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0190 or (202) 482-0197, respectively.

Background

The Department published the antidumping duty order on CORE from Canada on August 19, 1993 (58 FR 44162). On August 6, 2002, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CORE from Canada (67 FR 50856). On August 30, 2002, the Department received a timely request for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CORE from petitioners. On September 25, 2002, we published a notice initiating an administrative review of CORE for Dofasco Inc. (Dofasco) and Stelco Inc. (Stelco). *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 60210 (September 25, 2002).

On February 25, 2003, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this antidumping duty administrative review from May 3, 2002, until no later than August 31, 2003. Since the 120-day extension falls on a weekend and the next business day is a holiday, the due date is September 2, 2003. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada*, 68 FR 10204 (March 4, 2003).

On July 3, 2003, the Department rescinded the antidumping duty administrative review with respect to Stelco because petitioners withdrew their request for the review and no other party had requested a review of Stelco. *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 41302 (July 11, 2003). Therefore, this administrative review only covers Dofasco.

Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is certain corrosion-resistant steel, and includes flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils