

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on July 29, 2003.

Robert G. Mann,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-19836 Filed 8-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-SW-33-AD; Amendment 39-13255; AD 2003-14-51]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; MD Helicopters, Inc., Model MD900 Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This document publishes in the **Federal Register** an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2003-14-51, which was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of the specified MD Helicopters, Inc. (MDHI) helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires checking and inspecting each main rotor blade retention bolt (bolt) and replacing the bolt with an airworthy bolt if necessary. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of a bolt, loss of main rotor blade, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective August 25, 2003, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2003-14-51, issued on July 2, 2003, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 25, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before October 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-SW-33-AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from MD

Helicopters Inc., Attn: Customer Support Division, 4555 E. McDowell Rd., Mail Stop M615-GO48, Mesa, Arizona 85215-9734, telephone 1-800-388-3378, fax 480-891-6782, or on the web at <http://www.mdhelicopters.com>. This information may be examined at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon Mowery, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, 2960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California 90712, telephone (562) 627-5322, fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2003, the FAA issued an Emergency AD (EAD) 2003-13-51 for the specified MDHI model helicopters that contained interim actions until certain investigations were complete. That EAD requires certain checks and inspections of bolt, part number (P/N) 900R3100001-103, replacing the bolt with an airworthy bolt if necessary. That action was prompted by two instances of failure of a bolt.

Since the issuance of that EAD, we have new information that indicates that the pilot check and torque inspection required by the EAD can be limited to certain bolts. We also determined that disassembly and a more detailed inspection of the condition of each bolt is necessary. On July 2, 2003, we superseded EAD 2003-13-51 by issuing EAD 2003-14-51, which requires certain checks and inspections of certain bolts and replacing any bolt with an airworthy bolt if necessary. The EAD also provides terminating action for the requirements of the EAD.

The FAA has reviewed MD Helicopters Service Bulletin SB900-092R1, dated June 30, 2003 (SB), which describes procedures for disassembling and inspecting the bolts.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other MDHI helicopters of the same type design, the FAA issued EAD 2003-14-51 to prevent failure of a bolt, loss of a main rotor blade, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter. The AD requires the following:

- Before further flight, remove, inspect, and reinstall each bolt, unless accomplished previously. If segments do not move freely or a crack is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.
- Thereafter, until the terminating action is accomplished, before each start

of the engines for each bolt with 400 or more hours TIS, do a visual check. A pilot may perform the visual check.

- If a bolt has shifted upward or if there is no gap between the thrust washer and retainer (the gap indicates that the O ring is intact), before further flight, inspect the bolt.

- At specified intervals, until you accomplish the terminating action, for bolts with 400 or more hours TIS, do a cam lever force inspection on each bolt, without removing the bolt.

- Within 30 days, for bolts with 400 or more hours TIS, disassemble, inspect, and reinstall each airworthy bolt. If a crack, fretting, or corrosion is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.

- Before accumulating 400 hours TIS, for each bolt with less than 400 hours TIS, disassemble, inspect, and reinstall each airworthy bolt. If a crack, fretting, or corrosion is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.

Doing the required disassembly and inspections of each bolt, P/N 900R3100001-103, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD. The actions must be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

An owner/operator (pilot), holding at least a private pilot certificate, may perform the visual checks required by paragraph (b) of this AD and must enter compliance into the aircraft maintenance records in accordance with 14 CFR sections 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(v)). A pilot may perform this check because it is a visual check for a gap or movement of the bolt and can be performed equally well by a pilot or a mechanic.

The short compliance time involved is required because the previously described critical unsafe condition can adversely affect the controllability or structural integrity of the helicopter. Therefore, removing, inspecting, and reinstalling each bolt at the specified time intervals, and replacing any unairworthy bolt with an airworthy bolt is required before further flight and this AD must be issued immediately.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and opportunity for prior public comment thereon were impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause existed to make the AD effective immediately by individual letters issued on July 2, 2003, to all known U.S. owners and operators of MDHI Model MD900 helicopters. These conditions still exist, and the AD is hereby published in the **Federal**

Register as an amendment to 14 CFR 39.13 to make it effective to all persons.

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002) which governs the FAA's AD system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. Because we have now included this material in part 39, we no longer need to include it in each individual AD.

The FAA estimates that this AD will affect 32 helicopters of U.S. registry, and the inspections and replacement of a bolt will take approximately 13 work hours per helicopter to accomplish at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$800 per bolt (2 bolts per blade and 5 blades) per helicopter. Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators to be \$283,040, assuming all bolts are replaced.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their mailed comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made:

"Comments to Docket No. 2003-SW-

33-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by Reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

2003-14-51 MD Helicopters, Inc:

Amendment 39-13255. Docket No. 2003-SW-33-AD. Supersedes Emergency AD 2003-13-51, Docket No. 2003-SW-27-AD.

Applicability: Model MD900 helicopters, serial number 900-00008 through 900-00114, with main rotor blade retention bolt (bolt), part number 900R3100001-103, installed, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of a bolt, loss of a main rotor blade, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Before further flight, remove, inspect, and reinstall the bolt in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2.B., of MD Helicopters Service Bulletin SB900-092 R1, dated June 30, 2003 (SB). If segments do not move freely or a crack is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.

(b) Thereafter, before each start of the engines, for each bolt with 400 or more hours time-in-service (TIS) or if the hours TIS is not available for each bolt, visually check each bolt as follows:

(1) Check that the position of each installed bolt has not shifted upward.

(2) Check for a gap between the thrust washer and retainer.

(3) An owner/operator (pilot), holding at least a private pilot certificate, may perform the visual check required by this paragraph and must enter compliance into the aircraft maintenance records in accordance with 14 CFR sections 43.11 and 91.417(a)(2)(v).

(c) If a bolt has shifted upward or if there is no gap between the thrust washer and retainer (the gap indicates that the O ring is intact), before further flight, inspect the bolt in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2.B., of the SB.

(d) After accomplishing paragraph (a) of this AD, thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 6 hours TIS, for bolts with 400 or more hours TIS, do a cam lever force inspection on each bolt, without removing the bolt, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraphs 2.B.(3) and 2.B.(6) of the SB.

(e) Within 30 days, for bolts with 400 or more hours TIS, disassemble, inspect, and reinstall each airworthy bolt in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2.C. of the SB, except you are not required to report inspection results to MD Helicopters, Inc. If a crack, fretting, or corrosion is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.

(f) Before accumulating 400 hours TIS, for bolts with less than 400 hours TIS, disassemble, inspect, and reinstall each airworthy bolt in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2.C. of the SB, except you are not required to report inspection results to MD Helicopters, Inc. If a crack, fretting, or corrosion is found, replace the bolt with an airworthy bolt before further flight.

(g) Accomplishing paragraphs (e) or (f) of this AD constitutes terminating action for all of the requirements of this AD.

(h) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Contact the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, for information about previously approved alternative methods of compliance.

(i) The inspections and replacement of a bolt shall be done in accordance with MD Helicopters Service Bulletin SB900-092 R1, dated June 30, 2003. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be

obtained from MD Helicopters Inc., Attn: Customer Support Division, 4555 E. McDowell Rd., Mail Stop M615-GO48, Mesa, Arizona 85215-9734, telephone 1-800-388-3378, fax 480-891-6782, or on the web at <http://www.mdhelicopters.com>. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(j) This amendment becomes effective on August 25, 2003, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2003-14-51, issued July 2, 2003, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on July 29, 2003.

Scott A. Horn,

*Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-19976 Filed 8-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-232-AD; Amendment 39-13259; AD 2003-16-06]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747 Series Airplanes Equipped with General Electric CF6-45 or CF6-50 Series Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Boeing Model 747 series airplanes equipped with General Electric CF6-45 and CF6-50 series engines. This amendment requires an inspection to detect chafing of the fuel line or incorrect clearance between the fuel line and pneumatic duct insulation blanket; a fuel leak check and strut drain test; corrective action if necessary; replacement of the outboard strut fuel line coupling O-rings and retaining rings with new parts; replacement of the pneumatic duct boot with a new part; and, for certain airplanes, installation of a flame arrestor and drain line entry screens. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent leaking fuel line couplings, chafed fuel lines, restricted or clogged strut drain lines, migrating fluids or vapors toward ignition sources, and flashback of external flame into the strut; these conditions could result in an

uncontained engine strut fire. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective September 12, 2003. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of September 12, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Kinney, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM-140S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 917-6499; fax (425) 917-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 747 series airplanes equipped with General Electric CF6-45 and CF6-50 series engines was published in the **Federal Register** on January 29, 2003 (68 FR 4398). That action proposed to require an inspection to detect chafing of the fuel line or incorrect clearance between the fuel line and pneumatic duct insulation blanket; a fuel leak check and strut drain test; corrective action if necessary; replacement of the outboard strut fuel line coupling O-rings and retaining rings with new parts; replacement of the pneumatic duct boot with a new part; and, for certain airplanes, installation of a flame arrestor and drain line entry screens.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Request To Correct Service Bulletin Citations

Two commenters state that there are typographical errors in two of the service bulletin citations specified in the section in the preamble titled "Explanation of Relevant Service Information." The first commenter states that the reference to Boeing Service Bulletin 747-28-2155 should be

747-71-2155. The second commenter states that the reference to Boeing Service Bulletin 747-36-2122 should be 747-54-2122. While the FAA agrees with these corrections and acknowledges that the service bulletin citations were incorrect in the proposed AD, that section of the preamble is not restated in the final rule.

Request To Clarify Certain Paragraphs

One commenter asks that paragraph (e) of the proposed AD be changed, for clarification, to add that the fiberglass fabric pneumatic duct boot is replaced with a new, NOMEX fabric duct boot. We agree and have added the language requested by the commenter to paragraph (e) of this final rule.

The same commenter asks that paragraphs (b) and (f) of the proposed AD be changed, for clarification, to add the term "outboard" to define which strut is affected by those paragraphs. We agree and have added the term requested by the commenter to paragraphs (b) and (f) of this final rule.

Replace Pneumatic Boot Only if Damage Found

One commenter states that it performs the repetitive detailed visual inspections of the pneumatic duct boot at every 1C-check, with replacement of the duct boot if it is damaged. The commenter asks that it be allowed to continue to perform the inspections at every 1C-check, and replace the duct boot only if damaged, instead of replacing the duct boot at the time specified in paragraph (e) of the proposed AD. The commenter asks that its program be included as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) to the proposed AD, if possible.

We do not agree with the commenter. Early replacement of the original boot configuration with a NOMEX boot is critical to having a reliable seal in place. The flight-hour intervals used for maintenance checks may not ensure replacement of the original boot within 12 months. However, if maintenance records indicate that the original boot has been replaced with the new NOMEX fabric part, it is not necessary to repeat that action. Paragraph (e)(2) of this final rule is a continuing requirement which specifies that whenever a damaged boot of the original boot configuration is found it must be replaced before further flight, or within 5 days following detection if there are no leaks. The commenter may submit substantiating data that support a request for an AMOC per paragraph (i) of this AD. No change to the final rule is necessary in this regard.